MINDUISM AND BUDDHISM VOLUME II

HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM AN HISTORICAL SKETCH

BY SIR CHARLES ELIOT

In three volumes VOLUME II



ROUTLEDGE & KEGAN PAUL LTD Broadway House 68-74 Carter Lane London, E C.4

CONTENTS

BOOK IV

XVI MAIN FEATURES OF THE MAHAYANA XVII BODDHAATTAB XIX. MAHAYANIST METAPHYBICS XX. MAHAYANIST SCRIPTURES XX. CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA XXII FEOM KANISHKA TO VABUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS BOOK V HINDUISM XXV ŠIVA AND VISHRU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRAGAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SANKARA ŠIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGĀYATS XXXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGĀYATS XXXX. LAETE VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXX. LAETE VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXX. AMAJOAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII ŠĀRNISM 262 274		THE MAHAYANA			
AVII BODBISATIVAS VIII THE BUDDHAS OF MARAYANISM XIX. MARAYANIST METAPHTSICS XX. MARAYANIST SCRIPTURES XX.I CHEONOLOOY OF THE MARAYANA XXII FROM KANISHKA TO VASUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDINISH AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS XXIV DECADENCE OF BUDDHISH IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHINU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISH RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISH BRAGAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAIEM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGAYATS XXXI VIEHUMEN IN SOUTH INDIA XXXX LASTE VISHINUISH IN NOETH INDIA XXXX AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISH AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SANKARA SANKARA SIVAIEM SANGER AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SANKARA SANGER AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SANKARA SANGER AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SANKARA SANGER AND THE SIKHS			PAGE		
NIII THE BUDDHAS OF MARAYANISM XIX. MAHAYANIST METAPHYSICS XX. MAHAYANIST SCRIPTURES XX. CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA XXII FROM KANISHKA TO VASUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS XXIV DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHNU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FATH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRÂGAVATAS AND PLÁSUBATAS XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGÜYATS XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXI. AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXIII SARKHAS ŽEZIMISM XXXIII SARKHAS ŽIRNISM XXXIII SARKHAS ŽIRNISM ZOG ZOG ZOG ZOG ZOG ZOG ZOG ZO		MAIN FEATURES OF THE MAHAYANA	3		
XIX. MAHAYARIST METAPHYSICS XX. MAHAYARIST SCRIPTURES XXI CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA XXII FROM KAMISHKA TO VABUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDIRSM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS XXIV DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHNU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FATH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRAGAVATAS AND PLÉGUATAS XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGUYATS XXXI VISHNUISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANAJAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS	YAII	Воризаттуля	7		
XX. MAHAYANISI SCRIPTURES XXI CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA XXII FROM KANISHKA TO VARUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDINSM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS XXIV DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV ŠIVA AND VISHNU XXVI FRATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRÂGAVATAS AND PLÉSUPATAS XXVIII. ŠANKABA ŠIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGŪVATS XXXI VISHNUISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXI. LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXI. AMAJOAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII ŠĀRNISM • 262	//III	THE BUDDHAR OF MARAYANISM	26		
XXI CHRONOLOGY OF THE MARRAYANA XXII FROM KANISHKA TO VARUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS BOOK V HINDUISM XXV ŠIVA AND VISHRU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRADAVATAS AND PLÉSUPATAS XXVIII. SANKARA ŠIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINDĀVATS XXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA XXX. LASTE VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXI. LASTE VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXI. AMALOAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII ŠĀRNISM . 262 274	XIX.	MAHAYARIST METAPHYBICS	30		
XXII FROM KAMISHKA TO VASUBANDRU XXIII INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS AND DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHINU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRACAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SARKAHA SIVAIRM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINCAVATS XXIX VIRHUMEM IN SOUTH INDIA XXXX LATER VISHINUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXX AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SARKHAM \$228	XX.	**			
EXIII INDIAN BUDDITISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILORIMS AND DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHINU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRACAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SANKAHA SIVAIEM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGAYATS XXXII VIEHUUSEM IN NOBTH INDIA XXXX. LATER VISHINUISM IN NOBTH INDIA XXXX. AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXII SANKHA . 262 XXXXII SANKHA . 262 XXXXII SANKHA . 274	XXI	CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA			
XXIII INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PLORIMS AND DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA BOOK V HINDUISM XXV SIVA AND VISHINU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRACAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SARKAHA SIVAIRM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINCAYATS XXIX VIRHNUEM IN SOUTH INDIA XXXX LATER VISHINUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXX AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXI SARKHIM • 262 XXXXII SARKHIM • 274	XXII	FROM KANISHKA TO VASUBANDRU	76		
BOOK V HINDUISM XXV ŠIVA AND VISENU 136 XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH 166 XXVIII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRÂGAVATAS AND PLÂUPATAS 206 XXVIII. SANKARA ŠIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINDŪVATS 228 XXIX VIEHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA 242 XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NOBTH INDIA 242 XXXI AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS 262 XXXII ŠĀRNISK 274	XXIII		90		
HINDUISM XXV ŠIVA AND VISHNU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRÂGAVATAS AND PÂSUPATAS XXVIII. ŠANKARA ŠIVAISM IN SOUTRERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINGÂVATS XXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NOBTH INDIA XXXI. AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII. ŠĀRISM - 202 XXXII. ŠĀRISM - 274	XXIV	DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA	107		
XXV SIVA AND VISHMU XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FATTE XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRADAVATAS AND PASUPATAS XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIR LINDAVATS XXXIX VIRHNUEM IN SOUTH INDIA XXXX. LATTE VISHMUISM IN NORTH INDIA XXXX. AMALDAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXI SANKHIM • 274		воок у			
XXVI FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRIGAVATAS AND PISUPATAS XXVIII. SANKABA SIVAIEM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIE LINGAVATS XXXIX VIEHUUEM IN SOUTH INDIA XXXX. LATER VIEHUUISM IN NOETH INDIA XXXXI AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXXI SIKHISM • 274		HINDUISM			
FAITH XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BEROAVATAS AND PLEUPATAS XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIE LINGÜYATS XXXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NOETH INDIA XXXI AMALOAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SANKARA SANTISM • 262 XXXII SANTISM • 274	XXV	SIVA AND VISHOU	136		
XXVII. THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BRÂGAVATAS AND PÂSUPATAS 186 XXVIII. ŚANKARA ŚIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHNIE LINGÂVATS 206 XXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA 242 XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NOETH INDIA 242 XXXII AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS 262 XXXII ŚARTISM - 274	XXVI	FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE SECT-FAITH	168		
XXVIII. SANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHMIR LINGÜYATS 206 XXIX VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA 228 XXX. LATER VISHNUISM IN NOBTH INDIA 242 XXXI AMALOAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS 262 XXXII SANCTHE - 274	XXVII.	THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BHÂGAVATAS AND PIEUPATAS			
XXIX VIBRUISM IN SOUTH INDIA 228 XXX. LATER VIBRUISM IN NORTH INDIA 242 XXXII AMALOAMATION OF HIMDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS 262 XXXII SARTISM 274	XXVIII.	Sankara Sivaism in Southern India. Kasenie Linoxyats			
XXX. LATER VISHBUISH IN NORTH INDIA 242 XXXI AMALOAMATION OF HINDUISH AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS 262 XXXII SARTISM 274	XXIX	VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA			
XXXI AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS XXXII SARTISM 2274	XXX.	T 37			
XXXII SIATISM • 262			44Z		
XXXII SIRTISK . 274		AND THE SIKHS	282		
YYYIII Broken Done	IIXXX	Sixtism .			
and the same and t	MXXXIII	Ников Рипозорну	291		

BOOK IV THE MAHAYANA

First published 1921 Reprinted 1954 Reprinted 1957 Reprinted 1962

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY
LUND HUMPHRIES
LONDON BRADFORD

,

CHAPTER AVI

MAIN FEATURES OF THE MAHAYANA

THE obscurest period in the history of Buddhism is that which follows the reign of Asoka but the enquirer cannot grope for long in these dark ages without atumbling upon the word Mahayana This is the name given to a movement which in its various phases may be regarded as a philosophical school a sect and a church and though it is not always easy to dolino its relationship to other schools and sects it certainly became a prominent aspect of Buddhism in India about the beginning of our era besides achieving onduring triumphs in the Far East The word signifies Great Vehicle or Carriage that is a means of conveyance to salvation and is contrasted with Hinayana the Little Vehicle a name beatewed on the more conservative party though not willingly accepted by thom The simplest description of the two Vohioles is that given by the Chinese traveller I-Ching (635-713 A.D.) who saw thom both as hving realities in India Ho says! Those who worship Bodhisattvas and read Mahayana Sutras are called Mahayanists while those who do not do this are called Hinayanists In other words the Mahayanists have scriptures of their own not included in the Hinayanist Canon and adore superhuman beings in the stage of existence immediately below Buddhahood and practically differing little from Indian deities Many characteristics could be added to I-Ching's description but they might not prove universally true of the Mahayana nor entirely absent from the Hinayana, for however divergent the two Vohioles may have become when separated geographically for instance in Coylon and Japan it is clear that when they were in contact as in

¹ Sanakrit, Moldydan; Chinose, Tu Ch éng (pronounced Toi Bhéng in many southern provinces); Japanese, Dui-jé; Tibetan, They-pa-cken-po; Mongolian, Téld-feigén; Sanakrit, Hinogéna; Chinose, Henao-Ch éng Japanese, Shé-jé; Tibetan, They-demen; Hongolian Utikhén-feipén. In Sanakrit the synonyma agra-yana and utiama-yana are ako found.

Record of Boddhist practices. Transl. Takakuse 1805 p. 14. Hatan Chuang seems to have thought that acceptance of the logicalryshhimi (Nanjio 1170) was seemtial for a Mahayanist. See his life, transl. by Beal, p. 39 transl. by Julien, p. 50.

as spells charms and the worship of goddesses and with mis placed ingenuity fitted them into Buddhism. I shall treat of it in a subsequent chapter for it is chronologically late. The silence of Hasan Chuang and I-Ching Implies that in the seventh century it was not a noticeable aspect of Indian Buddhism.

Although the record of the Mahayana in literature and art is clear and oven hrilliant it is not easy either to trace its rise or connect its development with other events in India Its annals are an interminable list of names and doctrines but hring before us few hving personalities and hence are dull They are like a record of the Christian Church's fight against Arians Monophysites and Nestorians with all the great figures of Byzantine history omitted or called in question Hence I fear that my readers (if I have any) may find these chapters repellent a must of hypotheses and a catalogue of ancient paradoxes I can only urge that if the history of the Mahayana is uncertain its teaching fanciful and its scriptures tedious yet it has been a force of the first magnitude in the secular history and art of China Japan and Tibet and even to-day the most metaphysical of its sacred books the Diamond Cutter has probably more readers than Kant and Hegel.

Since the early history of the Mahayana is a matter for argument rather than process statement it will perhaps be best to begin with some account of its doctrines and literature and proceed afterwards to chronology I may however mention that general tradition connects it with King Kanishka and asserts that the great doctors Aśvaghosha and Nagarjuna lived in and immediately after his reign. The attitude of Kanishka and of the Council which he summoned towards the Mahayana is far from clear and I shall say something about this difficult subject below Unfortunately his date is not beyond dispute for while a considerable consensus of opinion fixes his accession at about 78 a.D some scholars place it earlier and others in the second century A.D. 1 Apart from this It appears established that the Snkhavati vyûha which is definitely Mahayamst was translated into Chinese between 147 and 186 a.D. We may assume that it was then already well known and had been com posed some time before so that, whatever Kanishka s date may

¹ The date 58 n.c. has probably few supporters among scholars now especially alter Marshall s discoveries.



CHAPTER \VII

BODHISATTVAS

LET us now consider these doctrines and take first the worship of Bodhisattvas This word means one whose essence is know ledge hat is used in the technical sense of a being who is in process of obtaining but has not yet obtained Buddhahood The Pali Canon shows little interest in the personality of Bodhisattvas and regards them simply as the preliminary or larval form of a Buddha cither Sakyamuni' or some of his predecessors. It was incredible that a being so superior to ordinary humsolty as a Buddha should be soddenly produced in a human family nor could he be regarded as an incarnation in the strict sense. But it was both logical and edifying to suppose that he was the product of a long evolution of virtue of good deeds and noble resolutions extending through countless ages and culminating in a being superior to the Devas Such a being awaited in the Tushita heaven the time fixed for hu appearance on earth as a Buddha and his birth was accom panied by marvels But though the Pali Canon thus recognizes the Bodhisattva as a type which if rare yet makes its appear ance at certain intervals it leaves the matter there. It is not suggested that saints should try to become Bodhisattvas and Boddhas or that Bodhisattvas can be helpers of mankinds But both these trains of thought are natural developments of the older ideas and soon made themselves prominent. It is a characteristic doctrine of Mahayanism that men can try and should try to become Bodhisattvas.

¹ In dealing with the Mahayanists, I use the expression Sikyamuni in preference to Gotama. It is their own title for the teacher and it seems incongruous to use the Purely human name of Gotams in describing doctrines which represent him as superhuman.

But Kings Hain byn-shin of Burma and Sit Süryavamaa Rima of Siam have left inscription recording their desire to become Boddhaa. See my chapters on Burma and Siam below Kahayandat ideas may easily have entered these countries from China, but even in Ceylon the sides of becoming a Buddha or Boddhaattra is not unknown. See Messad of a Mysole CF 25. 1915, p. xviii and di-

terrible furious the slayer of ovil beings the destroyer and hall also as carrying skulls and being the mother of the Vedas Hore we have if not the borrowing by Buddhists of a Saiva deity at least the grafting of Saiva conceptions on a Bodhisattyn

The second great Bodhisattva Manjuáris has other similar names such as Manjunatha and Manjughosha the word Manju meaning sweet or pleasant. Ho is also Vagisvara the Lord of Speech and Kumarabhuta the Prince which possibly implies that ho is the Buddha seldest son charged with the government under his direction. Ho has much the same hterary history as Avalokita not being mentioned in the Pali Canon nor in the carlier Sanskrit works such as the Lalita vistara and Divyava dana But his name occurs in the Sukhavati vyuha he is the principal interlocutor in the Lankavatara sutra and is extelled in the Rainakaranda vyûha sûtras. In the greater part of the Lotus he is the principal Bodhisattva and instructs Maitreya because though his youth is eternal he has known many Buddhas through innumerable ages The Lotus' also recounts how he visited the depths of the sea and converted the inhahl tants thereof and how the Lord taught him what are the duties of a Bodhisattva after the Buddha has entered finally into Nirvana As a rule he has no consort and appears as a male Athene all intellect and chastity but sometimes Lakshmi or Sarasyati or both are described as his consorts

His worship prevailed not only in India hut in Acpal Tibet China Japan and Java Fa Hisien states that he was honoured in Central India and Hsüan Chuang that there were stupas dedicated to him at Muttra' Ho is also said to have been incarnate in Atisa the Tibetau reformer and in Vairocana who introduced Buddhism to Khotau but great as is his beneve lence he is not so much the helper of human beings which is Avalokita's special function as the personification of thought

¹ Chinese, Han-chu-shih li or Wén-shu; Japanese Honju; Tibetan, hJam pahi dhyans (pronounced Jam yang).

Mañju is good Samskrii, but it must be confessed that the name has a Central Asian ring.

Translated into Chinese 270 a.p. Chapa, Xt and XIII.

A special work Manjuárivikridita (Nanjio, 184 185) translated into Chinese 313 A.D. is quoted as describing Manjuári's transformations and exploits.

Haftan Chuang also relates how he assisted a philosopher called Ch en na (w Dinnaga) and hade him study Mahayanist books.

have had time to become eciebrated and the visits paid to India by distinguished Chineso Buddhists would be likely to create the impression that China was a centre of the faith and frequented by Bodhisattvas. We hear that Vajrabedhi (about 700) and Prajāa (782) both went to China to adore Mañjuśri In 824 a Tibetan envoy arrived et the Chinese Court to ask for an image of Mañjuśri and later the Grand Lamas officially recognized that he was incarnate in the Emperor. Another legend relates that Mañjuśri came from Wu t ai-Shan to adore a miraculous lotus? that appeared on the lake which then filled Nepal. With a hlow of his sword he cleft the mountain barrier and thus drained the valley and introduced civilization. There may be hidden in this some tradition of the introduction of culture into Nepal hut the Acpaleso legends are late and in their collected form do not go back beyond the sixteenth century.

After Avalokita and Manijush the most important Bodhisattva is Maitreya' also called Ajita or unconquered who is the only one recognized by the Pali Canon. This is because he does not stand on the same footing as the others. They are super human in their origin as well as in their career whereas Maitreya is simply a being who like Gotama has lived innumerable lives and ultimately made himself worthy of Buddhahood which he awaits in heaven. There is no reason to doubt that Gotama regarded himself as one in a series of Buddhas the Pali semptures relate that he mentioned his predecessors by name and also spoke of unanimetered Buddhas to come. Novertheless Maitreya or Metteyya is rarely mentioned in the Pali Canon.

¹ Some of the Tautras, e.g. the Mahācinakramācāra, though they do not connect Mahījuirt with China, represent some of their most surprising noveliles as having been brought thence by ancient sages like V saishtha.

J.R.A.S now series, MIL 522 and J.A.S.B 1882, p. 41 The name Hanchn perhaps contributed to this belief.

⁵ It is described as a Svayambhū or spontaneous manifestation of the Adi Buddha.

Sanskrit, Maitreya; Pali, Metteyya; Chinese, Mi U; Japanese, Miroku; Mongol, Maidari; Tibetan, Byama-pa (pronounced Jampa). For the history of the Maitreya idea see especially Peri, B.E.F.E O 1911 pp. 439-457

⁸ But a Siamese inscription of about 1331 possibly influenced by Chlurse Mahayanirm speaks of the ten Bodhisattras headed by Matterya. See B.E.F.E O 1917 No. 2, pp. 20-31.

^{*} E.s. in the Mahaparinibbana Stira.

Dig. Nik. XXVL 25 and Buddhavamas, XXVII. 10 and even this last verse is said to be an addition.

3

As among the Sivaltes so among the Vishnuites of the south history begins with poet-saints. They are called the twelve Arvars! For the three earliest no historical hasis has been found but the later ones seem to be real personalities. The most revered of them is Namm arvar also called Sathagopa whose images and pictures may be seen overwhere in south India and receive the same reverence as figures of the grds. He may have lived in the seventh or eighth century a n. s.

The chronology of the Arrars is exceedingly vague but if the praises of Siva were sung by poet-saints in the seventh century it is probable that the Vishun worshippers were not behindhand. Two circumstances argue a fairly early date First Nathamuni is said to have arranged the hymns of the Arvars and he probably lived about 1000 a.D. Therefore the Arvars must have become classics by this date. Secondly the Bhagarata Purana' says that in the Kali age the worshippers of Narayana will be numerous in the Dravidian country though in other parts found only here and there and that these who drink the water of the Kavari and other southern rivers will mostly be devotees of Vasadeva. This passage must have been written after a Vishinite movement had begun in the Dravidian country.

The hymns attributed to the Ârvars are commonly known by the name of Prabandham or Nalayiram and are accepted by the Tengalai Vishnuites as their canonical scriptures. The whole collection contains 4000 verses arranged in four parts, and an

Also spalt Alvar and Azhvar. The Tamil pronunciation of this difficult letter varies in different districts. The word apparently means one who is drowned or immersed in the divine love. CL duki the deep sea; Atkal, being deep or being immersed.

An educated Valahnava told me at firirangam that devas and saints receive the same homaga.

It is possible that the poems attributed to Namm arrar and other saints are really is ter compositions. See Eps Incl. vol. viii. p. 204

4 xi. 6, 28-40.

Bhandarkar (Voisin, and Seiters, p. 50) thinks it probable that Kulasekhara one of the middle Arvars, lived about 1130. But the argument is not conclusive and it seems to me improbable that he lived after Nathamumi.

The first called Mudal Ayiram couniris of nine hymns ascribed to various saints such as Perlykrvar and Andal. The second and third each consist of a single work the Perlya-tiru mort and the Tiru way mort ascribed to Tiru mangal and

India and China, the distinction was not always sharp But in general the Mahayana was more popular, not in the sense of being simpler, for parts of its teaching were exceedingly abstruse, but in the sense of striving to invent or include doctrines agree-It was less monastic than the older able to the masses Buddhism, and more emotional, waimer in charity, more personal in devotion, more ornate in art, literature and ritual. more disposed to evolution and development, whereas the Hinayana was conservative and rigid, secluded in its cloisters and open to the plausible if unjust accusation of selfishness The two sections are sometimes described as northern and southern Buddhism, but except as a rough description of their distribution at the present day, this distinction is not accurate, for the Mahayana penetrated to Java, while the Hinayana reached Central Asia and China But it is true that the development of the Mahayana was due to influences prevalent in northern India and not equally prevalent in the South terms Palı and Sanskrit Buddhism are convenient and as accurate as can be expected of any nomenclature covering so large a field

Though European writers usually talk of two Yanas or Vehicles the great and the little and though this is clearly the important distinction for historical purposes, yet Indian and Chinese Buddhists frequently enumerate three These are the Śrâvakayâna, the vehicle of the ordinary Bhikshu who hopes to become an Arhat, the Pratyekabuddhayana for the rare beings who are able to become Buddhas but do not preach the law to others, and in contrast to both of these the Mahayana or vehicle of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas As a rule these three Vehicles are not regarded as hostile or even incompatible. Thus the Lotus sutra1, maintains that there is really but one vehicle though by a wise concession to human weakness the Buddha lets it appear that there are three to suit divers tastes And the Mahayana is not a single vehicle but rather a train comprising many carriages of different classes It has an unfortunate but distinct later phase known in Sanskrit as Mantrayana and Vajrayâna but generally described by Europeans as Tantrism This phase took some of the worst features in Hinduism, such

¹ Saddharma Pundarika, chap iii For brevity, I usually cite this work by the

Sing sing the marriage song

The sovereign Cod hath come to ms house as ms husband

I obtained God as my bridegroom so great has been my good fortune

A mother beareth not in mind

Ill the faults her son committeth

O God I am the childs

Why blottest thou not out my sine?"

"My Father is the great Lord of the Earth To that Father how shall I co??

The writings of Kabir's disciples such as the Sukh Nidhan attributed to Srut Goral (and written according to Westcott about 1729) and the still later Amar Mul which is said to be representative of the modern Kabirpanth show a greater in clination to Pantheism though easte and idolates are still condemned In these works which relate the conversion of Dharm Das afterwards one of Kabir s principal followers Kabir is identified with the Creator and then made a pantheistic deity much as Arishna in the Bhagavad gita? He is also the true Guru whose help is necessary for salvation. Stress is further laid on the doctrine of Sabda or the divine word. Hindu theology was familiar with this expression as signifying the eternal self-existent revelation contained in the Vedas Kabir appears to have held that articulate sound is an expression of the Deity and that every letter as a constituent of such sound has a meaning. But these letters are due to Maya, in reality there is no plurality of sound. Ram seems to have been selected as the divine name because its brevity is an approach to this unity but true knowledge is to understand the Letterless One that is the real name or essence of God from which all differen tiation of letters has vanished. Apart from some special metaphors the whole doctrine set forth in the Sukh Nidhan

¹ Macaulific VL pp. 230 200 207 197

Westcott Le p. 144 I am the creator of this world. I am the seed and the tree. all are contained in me.—I is a within all and affilire within me. and much to the same select. Feen in the brims of the Ādi Cranth we find such phrases as "Now thou and I have become one (Macaulife vs. p. 180.)

This identification of habir with the delty is interesting as being a modern xample of what probably happened in the case of Arithma. Similarly those who collected the hymns which form the sacred books of the bilks and Kaburpanthis repeated the process which in earlier agrae produced the Rig Veda.

a grain of mustard seed is also greater than all worlds. The brief exposition of his doctrine which we possess starts from and emphasizes the human self This self is Brahman The doctrino of Uddalaka1 takes the other side of the equation he starts with Brahman and then asserts that Brahman is the soul. But though he teaches that in the beginning there was one only without a second yet he seems to regard the subsequent produots of this Being as external to it and permeated by it. But to Yamavalkva is ascribed an important modification of these doctrines namely that the Atman is nnknowable and transcendental. It is unknowshie because since it is essentially the knowing subject it can be known only by itself it can never become the object of knowledge and language is inadequate to describe it All that can be said of it is net; net; that is no no it is not anything which we try to predicate of it. But he who knows that the individual soul is the Atman becomes Atman being it he knows it and knows all the world he perceives that in all the world there is no plurality. Here the later doctrine of Maya is adumhrated though not formulated. Any system which holds that in reality there is no plurality or like some forms of Mahayanist Buddhism that nothing really exists implies the operation of this Maya or illusion which makes us see the world as it appears to us It may be thought of as mere ignorance as a failure to see the universe as it really is but no doubt the later view of Maya as a creative energy which feshions the world of phenomens is closely connected with the half mythological conceptions found in the Pancaratra and Saiva philosophy which regard this creative illusion as a female force—a goddess in fact—inseparably associated with the deity

The philosophy of the Upanishads like all religions thought in India is avowedly a quest of happiness and this happiness is found in some form of union with Brahman He is perfect bliss and whatever is distinct from him is full of suffering? But this sense of the suffering inherent in existence is less marked in the older Upanishads and in the Vedånts than in Buddhism and the Sankhya. Those systems make it their hasis and first principle in the Vedånts the temperament is the same

Chând, Up. vr. See Doumen, Philosophy of the Upunishade. Ato nyad ârtam. Bribad Âr m. several times.

have been, Mahayanist doctrines must have been in existence about the time of the Christian era, and perhaps considerably earlier. Naturally no one date like a reign or a council can be selected to mark the beginning of a great school. Such a body of doctrine must have existed piecemeal and unauthorized before it was collected and recognized and some tenets are older than others. Enlarging I-Ching's definition we may find in the Mahayana seven lines of thought or practice. All are not found in all sects and some are shared with the Hinayana but probably none are found fully developed outside the Mahayana. Many of them have parallels in the contemporary phases of Hinduism

- I A belief in Bodhisattvas and in the power of human beings to become Bodhisattvas
- 2 A code of altrustic ethics which teaches that everyone must do good in the interest of the whole world and make over to others any merit he may acquire by his virtues. The aim of the religious life is to become a Bodhisattva, not to become an Arhat
- 3 A doctrine that Buddhas are supernatural beings, distributed through infinite space and time, and innumerable. In the language of later theology a Buddha has three bodies and still later there is a group of five Buddhas.
- 4 Various systems of idealist metaphysics, which tend to regard the Buddha essence or Nirvana much as Brahman is regarded in the Vedanta
- 5 A canon composed in Sanskrit and apparently later than the Pali Canon
- 6 Habitual worship of images and elaboration of ritual There is a dangerous tendency to rely on formulæ and chaims
- 7 A special doctrine of salvation by faith in a Buddha, usually Amitâbha, and invocation of his name Mahayanism can exist without this doctrine but it is tolerated by most sects and considered essential by some

be remembered that its meaning is not so much that the world and individual existences are Illusory in the strict sense of the word as phenomenal. The only true reality is self-conscious thought without an object. When the mind attains to that it ceases to be human and individual at is Brahman But when ever it thinks of particular objects neither the thoughts nor the objects of the thoughts are real in the same sense. They are appearances phenomena This universe of phenomena includes not only all our emotions and all our perceptions of the external world but also what might be supposed to be the deepest truths of religion such as the personality of the Creator and the wanderings of the soul in the maze of transmigration. In the same sense that we suffer pain and pleasure it is true that there is a personal God (lávara) who emits and reabsorbs the world at regular intervals and that the soul is a limited existence passing from body to body. In this sense the soul as in the Sankhya philosophy is surrounded by the upadhis certain limiting conditions or disguises which form a permanent psychical equipment with which it remains invested in all its innumerable bodies. But though these doctrines may be true for those who are in the world for those souls who are agenta unjoyers and sufferers they cease to be true for the soul which takes the path of knowledge and sees its own identity with Brahman It is by this means only that omancips tion is attained for good works bring a reward in kind and hence inevitably lead to new embodiments new creations of Maya. And even in knowledge we must distinguish between the knowledge of the lower Brahman or personal Deity (Isvara) and of the higher indescribable Brahman! For the orthodox Hindu this distino

The same distinction occurs in the works of Meister Eckhart († 1327 a.p.) who in many ways approximates to Indian thought, both Buddhist and Vedinist. He makes a distinction between the Godhead and God. The Godhead is the reresier but unsweaked; it is described as wordless "(14) janvallya sud a!), the name less nothing." It he immoreshed rest." But God is the manifestation of the Godhead the uttered word. All that is in the Godhead is one Therefore we can say nothing. He is above all names, above all nature. God works so doeth not the Godhead Therein are they distinguished in working and in not working. The end of all things is the hidden darkness of the sternal Godhead unknown and never to be known." (Quotred by Ranka Jones, Rushes in Higher and Lower Rrahman is to be found in the Upanishade but it is probably the best means of larmonizing the discrepances in those works which Indian theologians feet bound to explain ways

connection with this sensualistic philosophy goes back to a legend found in the Upanishads' that he taught the demons false knowledge whose reward lasts only as long as the pleasure lasts in order to compass their destruction. This is similar to the legend found in the Puranas that Vishan became incarnate as Buddha in order to lead astray the Daityas. But though such words as Carvaka and Nastika are used in later literature as torms of learned abuse the former seems to denote a definite school although we cannot connect its history with dates places or personalities. The Carvakas are the first system examined in the Sarva-darsana-sangraha which is written from the Vedantist standpoint and beginning from the worst systems of philosophy ascends to those which are relatively correct This account contains most of what wo know about their doctrines? but is obviously biassed it represents them as cynical voluntuanes holding that the only end of man is sensual enjoyment We are told that they admitted only one source of knowledge namely perception and four elements earth water fire and air and that they held the sool to be identical with the body Such a phrase as my body they considered to be metapherical as apart from the body there was no ego who owned it. The soul was supposed to be a physical product of the four elements just as sugar combined with a ferment and other ingredients produces an intoxicating liquor Among verses described as said by Brihaspati occur the following remarkable lines

There is no beaven no liberation nor any soul in another world Nor do the acts of the åiramas or castes produce any reward. If the animal slafin in the Jyotshtoms sacrifice will go to heaven Why does not the ascrificer immointe his own father?

While life remains let a man live happily: let him feed on butter even if he runs into debt.

When once the body becomes ashes, how can it ever return?"

The aothor of the Dabistan who lived in the seventeenth century also mentions the Carvakas in somewhat similar terms.

Brahmanical authors often couple the Cârvâkas and Bod dhists This lumping together of offensively heretical sects may

¹ Maitr Up. vtt. 8,

⁴ See also Suall in Masion, 1908, pp. 277 ff. and the article Materialism (Indian) in E.R.F. For another instance of another materialism see the views of Physis set forth in Dig. Nik. xxiii. The Birthiad A. Up. mt. 2. 13 implies that the idea of body and spirit being disintegrated at death was known though perhaps not reliabed. Translation by Shes and Troyer vol. ii. no. 701–71.

In the Pah Canon we hear of Arhats, Pacceka Buddhas, and perfect Buddhas For all three the ultimate goal is the same, namely Nirvana, but a Pacceka Buddha is greater than an Arhat, because he has greater intellectual powers though he is not omniscient, and a perfect Buddha is greater still, partly because he is omniscient and partly because he saves others But if we admit that the career of the Buddha is better and nobler, and also that it is, as the Introduction to the Jâtaka recounts, simply the result of an earnest resolution to school himself and help others, kept firmly through the long chain of existences, there is nothing illogical or presumptuous in making our goal not the quest of personal salvation, but the attainment of Bodhisattvaship, that is the state of those who may aspire to become Buddhas In fact the Arhat, engrossed in his own salvation, is excused only by his humility and is open to the charge of selfish desire, since the passion for Nirvana is an ambition like any other and the quest for salvation can be best followed by devoting oneself entirely to others But though my object here is to render intelligible the Mahayanist point of view including its objections to Hinayanism, I must defend the latter from the accusation of selfishness The vigorous and authoritative character of Gotama led him to regard all mankind as patients requiring treatment and to emphasize the truth that they could cure themselves if they would try But the Buddhism of the Palı Canon does not ignore the duties of loving and instructing others1, it merely insists on man's power to save himself if properly instructed and bids him do it at once "sell all that thou hast and follow me" And the Mahayana, if less self-centred, has also less self-reliance, and self-discipline It is more human and charitable, but also more easygoing it teaches the believer to lean on external supports which if well chosen may be a help, but if trusted without discrimination become paralyzing abuses And if we look at the abuses of both systems the fossilized monk of the Hinayana will compare favourably

¹ E g in Itivuttakam 75, there is a description of the man who is like a drought and gives nothing, the man who is like rain in a certain district and the man who is Sabbabhûtânukampako, compassionate to all creatures, and like rain falling everywhere Similarly 16 84, and elsewhere, we have descriptions of persons (ordinary disciples as well as Buddhas) who are born for the welfare of gods and men bahujanahitâya, bahujanasukhâya, lokânukampâya, atthâya, hitâya, sukhâya devamanussânam,

Ω

with the tantric adept. It was to the corruptions of the Mahayana rather than uf the Hinayana that the decay of Buddhism in India was due

The career of the Bodhisattva was early divided into stages (bhûmi) each marked by the acquisition of some virtue in his triumphant course. The stages are variously reckoned as five seven and ten. The Mahavastul which is the carliest work where the progress is described enumerates ten without distinguishing them very clearly Later writers commonly look at the Bodhi sattva s task from the humbler point of view of the beginner who wishes to learn the initiatory stages. For them the Bodhisativa is primarily not a supernatural being or even a saint but simply a religious person who wishes to perform the duties and enjoy the privileges of the Church to the full much like a communicant in the language of contemporary Christianity We have a manual for those who would follow this path in the Bodhicaryavatara of Santidova which in its humility sweetness and fervent piety has been rightly compared with the De Imitatione Christi In many respects the virtues of the Bodhi sattva are those of the Arhat His will must be strongous and concentrated he must cultivate the strictest morality patience energy meditation and knowledge. But he is also a devotee a bhakta he adores all the Buddhas of the past present and future as well as sundry superhuman Bodhisattyas, and he coufesses his ains not after the fashion of the Patimokkha but hy accoming himself before these heavenly Protectors and vowing to mn no more

Santideva lived in the seventh century hut tells us that he follows the scriptures and has nothing new to say This seems to be true for though his book being a manual of devotion presents its subject-matter in a dogmatic form its main ideas are stated and even elaborated in the Lotus Not only are eminent figures in the Church such as Samputra and Ananda there designated as future Buddhas but the same dignity is predicted wholesale for five hundred and again for two thousand

Ed. Senart, vol. z. p. 142

The Bodhicaryavatara was edited by Minavell 1889 and also in the Journal of the Buddlin Text Society and the Bibhotheca Ind . De la \allie Poussin published parts of the text and commentary in his Bouldist one and also a translation in 1907

monks while in Chapter x is sketched the course to be followed by "young men or young ladies of good family" who wish to become Bodhisattvas. The chief difference is that the Bodhicaiyâvatâra portrays a more spiritual life, it speaks more of devotion, less of the million shapes that compose the heavenly host more of love and wisdom, less of the merits of reading particular sûtras. While rendering to it and the faith that produced it all honour, we must remember that it is typical of the Mahayana only in the sense that the De Imitatione Christi is typical of Roman Catholicism, for both faiths have other sides

Sântideva's Bodhisattva, when conceiving the thought of Bodhi or eventual supreme enlightenment to be obtained, it may be, only after numberless births, feels first a sympathetic joy in the good actions of all living beings He addresses to the Buddhas a prayer which is not a mere act of commemoration, but a request to preach the law and to defer their entrance into Nırvana He then makes over to others whatever ment he may possess or acquire and offers himself and all his possessions, moral and material, as a sacrifice for the salvation of all beings This on the one hand does not much exceed the limits of danam or the virtue of giving as practised by Sâkyamuni in previous births according to the Pali scriptures, but on the other it contains in embryo the doctrine of vicarious merit and salvation through a saviour The older tradition admits that the future Buddha (eg in the Vessantara birth-story) gives all that is asked from him including life, wife and children To consider the surrender and transfer of ment (pattidâna in Pali) as parallel is a natural though perhaps false analogy But the transfer of Karma is not altogether foreign to Brahmanic thought, for it is held that a wife may share in her husband's Karma nor is it wholly unknown to Sinhalese Buddhism² After thus deliberately rejecting all personal success and selfish aims, the neophyte makes a vow (pranidhana) to acquire enlightenment for the good of all beings and not to swerve from the rules of life and faith requisite for this end He is then a "son

¹ The career of the Bodhisattva is also discussed in detail in the Avatamsaka sûtra and in works attributed to Nâgârjima and Sthiramati, the Lakshana-vimuktahridaya śâstra and the Mahâyâna dharma-dhâtvaviśeshata śâstra I only know of the Society of the Societ

^{*} See Childers, Pali Dict s v Patti, Pattianuppadânam and Puñño

2

of Boddha' a phrase which is merely a natural metaphor for saving that he is one of the household of faith1 hot still paves the way to later ideas which make the celestral Bodhisattys an emanation or spiritual son of a celestial Boddhe

Asanga gives a more technical and scholastic description of the ten bhumus or stages which mark the Bodhisattya s procues towards complete enlightenment end culminate in e phase bearing the remarkable but ancient name of Dharmamecha known also to the Yoga philosophy The other stages are called mudita (iovial) esmala (immaculate) prabhakari (light giving) arcismati (radiant) duriqua (hard to gain) obhimukhi (facing because it faces both transmigration and Nirvena) duramound (far-going) acald (immovable) sadhumati (good minded)

The incarnate Buddhas and Bodhisattvas of Tibet are a travesty of the Mehavana which on Indian soil adhered to the sound doctrine that saints ere known by their achievements as men and cannot be selected among infant producies? It was the general though not universal opinion that one who had cotered on the career of a Bodhisattva could not fall so low as to be reborn in any state of punishment but the spirit of humility and self-effacement which has always marked the Boddhist ideal tended to represent his triumph as localculably distant Mesowhile although in the whirl of births he was on the upward grade he yet had his ups end downs and there is no evidence that Indian or Far Eastern Buddhists arrogated to themselves special claims and powers on the ground that they were well advanced in the career of Buddhahood. The yew to suppress self and follow the light not only in this life but in all future births contains so element of faith or fantasy bot has any religion formed a nobler or even equivalent picture of the soul a destiny or hult a better staircase from the world of men to the immeasurable spheres of the superbuman?

One aspect of the story of Sakvamuni and his antecedent births thus led to the idea that all may become Buddhas An

I It occurs in the Pall Canon, a.e. Itivat k m 100. Tassa me tumbe outta crass, make to ifth dh mm if.

^{*} See Sylvain Lévi, Makdydag-ratedles lden, Introduction and passim For much additional information about the Bhami see De la Vallée Poussin s article "Bodhi settys" in E.R.F.

Eminent doctors such as Någårjuna and Asanga are often described as Bodhi sattvas just as eminent Hindu sarbers, e.g. Caltanya, are described as Avatáras, B, IL,

equally natural development in another direction created celestial and superhuman Bodhisattvas The Hinayana held that Gotama, before his last birth, dwelt in the Tushita heaven enjoying the power and splendour of an Indian god and it looked forward to the advent of Maitreya But it admitted no other Bodhisattvas, a consequence apparently of the doctrine that there can only be one Buddha at a time. But the luxuriant fancy of India, which loves to multiply divinities, soon broke through this restriction and fashioned for itself beautiful images of benevolent beings who refuse the bliss of Nirvana that they may alleviate the sufferings of others1 So far as we can judge, the figures of these Bodhisattvas took shape just about the same time that the personalities of Vishnu and Siva were acquiring consistency The impulse in both cases is the same, namely the desire to express in a form accessible to human prayer and sympathetic to human emotion the forces which rule the universe But in this work of portraiture the Buddhists laid more emphasis on moral and spiritual law than did the Brahmans they isolated in personification qualities not found isolated in nature. Siva is the law of change, of death and rebirth, with all the riot of slaughter and priapism which it entails Vishnu is the protector and preserver, the type of good energy warring against evil, but the unity of the figure is smothered by mythology and broken up into various incarnations But Avalokita and Manjuśrî, though they had not such strong roots in Indian humanity as Siva and Vishnu, are genii of purer and brighter presence They are the personifications of kindness and knowledge Though manifold in shape, they have little to do with mythology, and are analogous to the archangels of Christian and Jewish tradition and to the Amesha Spentas of Zoroastrianism With these latter they may have some historical connection, for Persian ideas may well have influenced Buddhism about the time of the Christian era However difficult it may be to prove the foreign origin of Bodhisattvas, few of them have a clear origin in India and all of them

¹ The idea that Arhats may postpone their entry into Nirvana for the good of the world is not unknown to the Pali Canon According to the Maha Parin Sutta the Buddha himself might have done so Legends which cannot be called definitely Mahayanist relate how Pindola and others are to taily until Maitreya come and how Kâśyapa in a less active rôle awaits him in a cave or tomb, ready to revive at his advent See J A 1916, ii pp 196, 270

are much better known in Contral Asia and China. But they are represented with the appearance and attributes of Indian Devas as is natural since even in the Pall Canon Devas form the Buddha's retinue The early Buddhists considered that these spirits, whether called Bodhisatty as or Dovas had attained their high position in the same way as Sakyamuni himself that is by the practice of moral and intellectual virtues through countless existences but subsequently they came to be regarded as emanations or sons of superhuman Buddhas. Thus the Karanda vyûha relates how the original Adı Buddha produced Avalokita hy meditation and how ho in his turn produced the universe with its gods

Millions of unnamed Bodhisattvas are freely mentioned and even in the older books copious lists of names are found! but two Avalokita and Manjuan tower above the rest among whom only few have a definite personality The tantne school counts eight of the first rank Mastrova (who does not stand on the same footing as the others) Samantahhadra Mahasthana prapts and above all Kahiticarbha have some importance especially in China and Japan

Avalokitas in many forms and in many ages has been one of the principal derties of Asia but his origin is obscure. His main attributes are plain. Ho is the personification of divine mercy and pity but even the meaning of his name is doubtful In its full form it is Avalokitekvara often rendered the Lord who looks down (from heaven) This is an appropriate title for the God of Mercy but the obvious meaning of the participle avalokta in Sanskrit is passive the Lord who is looked at Kern? thinks it may mean the Lord who is everywhere visible as a very present help in trouble or olse the Lord of View like the epithet Drishtiguru applied to Siva. Another form of the name is Lokesvara or Lord of the world and this suggests that avalokta may be a synonym of loka meaning the visible uni verse. It has also been suggested that the name may refer to the small image of Amitabha which is set in his diadem and thus looks down on him. But such small images set in the head of a larger figure are not distinctive of Avalokita, they are found

¹ B.e Trans, chap. 1.

De la Vallée Ponsein a article "Avalokita" in E.R.E may be consulted. Lotus, S.B B xxt, p. 407

in other Buddhist statues and paintings and also outside India, for instance at Palmyia. The Tibetan translation of the name¹ means he who sees with bright eyes. Hsuan Chuang's rendering Kwan-tzŭ-tsai² expresses the same idea, but the more usual Chinese translation Kuan-yin or Kuan-shih-yin, the deity who looks upon voices or the region of voices, seems to imply a verbal misunderstanding. For the use of Yin or voice makes us suspect that the translator identified the last part of Avalokite-śvara not with Îśvara lord but with svara sound³

Avalokiteśvara is unknown to the Pali Canon and the Milinda Pañha So far as I can discover he is not mentioned in the Dıvyâvadâna, Jâtakamâlâ or any work attributed to Aśvaghosha His name does not occur in the Lalita-vistara but a list of Bodhisattvas in its introductory chapter includes Mahâkarunâcandın, suggesting Mahâkaruna, the Great Compassionate, which is one of his epithets. In the Lotus4 he is placed second in the introductory list of Bodhisattvas after Manjuśri But Chapter xxiv, which is probably a later addition, is dedicated to his praises as Samantamiikha, he who looks every way or the omnipresent In this section his character as the all-merciful saviour is fully developed. He saves those who call on him from shipwieck, and execution, from robbers and all violence and distress He saves too from moral evils, such as passion, hatred and folly He grants children to women who worship him This power, which is commonly exercised by female deities, is worth remarking as a hint of his subsequent transformation into a goddess For the better achievement of his merciful deeds, he assumes all manner of forms, and appears ın the guise of a Buddha, a Bodhisattva, a Hindu deity, a goblin, or a Brahman and in fact in any shape. This chapter was translated into Chinese before 417 AD and therefore can hardly be later than 350 He is also mentioned in the Sukhâvatî-vyûha

* Meaning apparently the seeing and self-existent one Cf Ta-tzŭ-tsai as a name of Siva

¹ aPyan-ras gzigs rendered in Mongol by Nidubär-udzäkei. The other common Mongol name Ariobalo appears to be a corruption of Aryâvalokita

³ A maidservant in the drama Mâlatîmâdhava is called Avalokitâ. It is not clear whether it is a feminine form of the divine name or an adjective meaning looked at, or admirable

⁴ S B E XXI. pp 4 and 406 ff It was translated in Chinese between A D 265 and 316 and chap XXIV was separately translated between A.D 384 and 417 See Nanjio, Catalogue Nos. 136, 137, 138

The records of the Chinese pilgrims Fa Hsien and Hsiian Chuang¹ indicate that his worship prevailed in India from the fourth till the seventh century and we are perhaps justified in dating its beginnings at least two centures earlier. But the absence of any mention of it in the writings of Aśvaghesha is remarkable²

Avalokita is connected with a mountain called Potals or Potalaka The name is borne by the palace of the Grand Lama at I haven and by another Lamaistic establishment at Johol in north China. It reappears in the sacred island of P u to near Ningpo In all these cases the name of Avalokita s Indian residence has been transferred to foreign shrines. In India there were at least two places called Potala or Potataka-one at the mouth of the Indus and one in the south. No certain connection has been traced between the former and the Bodhisattva hut in the seventh century the latter was regarded as his abode. Our information about it comes mainly from Hetian Chuang? who describes it when speaking of the Malakuta country and as near the Mo-lo-va (Malaya) mountain But apparently he did not visit it and this makes it probable that it was not a religious centre but a mountain in the south of which Buddhists in the north wrote with little precision4. There is no ovidence that Avalokita was first worshipped on this Potalaka though he is often associated with mountains such as Lapota in Magadha and Valayati in Kataha. In fact the councetion of Potala with Avalokita remains a mystory

Avalokita has like most Bodhisativas many names Among the principal are Mahakaruna the Great Compassionate one Lokanatha or Lokesvara the Lord of the world and Padmaphni or letus-handed. This last refers to his appearance as portrayed in statues and miniatures. In the older works of art his figure

¹ Heffan Chuang (Watters, m. °16, 224) relates how an Indian sage recited the Sol-hain dhârani before Kuan ta'ü taal's image for three years.

As will be noticed from time to time in these pages, the sudden appearance of now delties in Ind! literature often seems strange. The fact is that until delties are generally reconsided. ** d' d'works pay no attention to them.

Watters, vol. 71. pp. 225 ff. It is said that Potal va is also mentioned in the Harywa-ching or Avat masts after. Thetan tradition connects it with the Sakya family See Couras de Korte. Thotan studies reprinted 1912, pp. 23-34.

Just as the Ja Vevatira sitra purports to have been dell —I at Lealoparu somedra-sadaya-lithous rendered in the Chinese translation as in the city of Lanka on the number of the Makaya mountain on the border of the sea."

Bon Foucher, Iconographie be Higus, 1900, pp. 100, 102.

in other Buddhist statues and paintings and also outside India, for instance at Palmyia. The Tibetan translation of the name¹ means he who sees with bright eyes. Hsuan Chuang's rendering Kwan-tzŭ-tsai² expresses the same idea, but the more usual Chinese translation Kuan-yin or Kuan-shih-yin, the deity who looks upon voices or the region of voices, seems to imply a verbal misunderstanding. For the use of Yin or voice makes us suspect that the translator identified the last part of Avalokite-śvara not with Îśvara lord but with svara sound³

Avalokitesvara is unknown to the Pali Canon and the Milinda Pañha So far as I can discover he is not mentioned in the Dıvyâvadâna, Jâtakamâlâ or any work attııbuted to Aśvaghosha His name does not occur in the Lalita-vistara but a list of Bodhisattvas in its introductory chapter includes Mahâkarunâcandın, suggesting Mahâkarına, the Great Compassionate, which is one of his epithets. In the Lotus 4 he is placed second in the introductory list of Bodhisattvas after Manjuśri But Chapter xxiv, which is probably a later addition, is dedicated to his praises as Samantamikha, he who looks every way or the omnipresent In this section his character as the all-merciful saviour is fully developed. He saves those who call on him from shipwieck, and execution, from robbers and all violence and distress He saves too from moral evils, such as passion, hatred and folly He grants children to women who worship him This power, which is commonly exercised by female deities, is worth remarking as a hint of his subsequent transformation into a goddess For the better achievement of his merciful deeds, he assumes all manner of forms, and appears ın the guise of a Buddha, a Bodhisattva, a Hindu deity, a goblin, or a Brahman and in fact in any shape. This chapter was translated into Chinese before 417 AD and therefore can hardly be later than 350 He is also mentioned in the Sukhâvatî-vyûha

² Meaning apparently the seeing and self existent one Cf Ta-tzu tsai as a name of Siva

sPyan ras gzigs rendered in Mongol by Nidubär üdzäkei. The other common Mongol name Ariobalo appears to be a corruption of Aryavalokita

³ A maidservant in the drama Mâlatîmâdhava is called Avalokitâ. It is not clear whether it is a feminine form of the divine name or an adjective meaning looked at, or admirable

⁴ SBE xx1 pp 4 and 406 ff It was translated in Chinese between AD 265 and 316 and chap xxiv was separately translated between AD 384 and 417 See Nanjio, Catalogue Nos. 136, 137, 138

The records of the Chinese pilgrams Fa Hsien and Hsünn Chuang' indicate that his worship prevailed in India from the fourth till the seventh century and we are perhaps justified in dating its beginnings at least two centures earlier. But the absence of any mention of it in the writings of A6 aghosha is remarkable?

Avalokita is connected with a mountain called Potala or Potalaka. The name is borne by the palace of the Grand Lama at Lhassa and by onother Lamaistic establishment at Jehol in north China. It reannears in the sacred island of P u t'e near Ningpo In all these cases the name of Avalokita's Indian residence has been transferred to foreign shrines. In Indio there were at least two places called Potola or Potalaka-one at the month of the Indus and one in the south. No certain connection has been traced between the former and the Bodhisattva but in the seventh century the latter was regarded as his abode. Our information about it comes mainly from Hsuan Chuang' who describes it when speaking of the Malakuta country and as near the Mo-lo-ya (Malaya) mountain. But apparently he did not visit it and this makes it probable that it was not a religious centre but a mountain in the south of which Buddhists in the north wrote with little precision. There is no ovidence that Avalokita was first worshipped on this Potalaka though he is often associated with mountains such as Kapota in Magadha and Valavoti in Kotaha. In fact the connection of Potala with Avalokita remains a mystery

Avalokita has like most Bodhisattvas many names Among the principal are Mahakaruna the Great Compassionate one Lokanatha or Lokesvara the Lord of the world and Padmapani or iotus-handed. This last refers to his appearance as portrayed in statues and miniatures. In the older works of art his figure

¹ H ⁿ n Chuang (Watters, H. ⁿ15 224) relates how an Indian sage recited the Edi-hain dhārani before Kuan tzū tsal's image for three years.

As will be noticed from time to time in these pages, the sudden appearance of new deities in Indian literature often seems strange. The fact is that until deities are generally recognized, at ref "d works may no attention to them.

are generally in consisted, at and all works pay no attention to them.

* Watters, vol. II. pp. 228 ff. It is said that Pots! he is also mentioned in the
Hwa-yen-ching or Ave: meshs after. Thetan tradition connects it with the filtre

family Boo Cooma de Korda, Tibetan studies reprinted 1912, pp. 32-34.

4 Just as the La Pavattar softra purports to have been delivered at Lankspura sensular-moleys-fithers rendered in the Chinese transfellon as "in the city of La k on the summit of the Malaya mountain on the border of the sea.

Ben Foucher Iconographie bowdillique, 1900, pp. 100, 102.

is human, without redundant limbs, and represents a youth in the costume of an Indian prince with a high jewelled chignon, or sometimes a crown. The head-dress is usually surmounted by a small figure of Amitâbha. His right hand is extended in the position known as the gesture of charity. In his left he callies a red lotus and he often stands on a larger blossom. His complexion is white or red. Sometimes he has four aims and in later images a great number. He then callies besides the lotus such objects as a book, a rosaly and a jug of nectar.

The images with many eyes and aims seem an attempt to represent him as looking after the unhappy in all quarters and stretching out his hands in help³ It is doubtful if the Bodhisattvas of the Gandhara sculptures, though approaching the type of Avalokita, represent him rather than any other, but nearly all the Buddhist sites of India contain representations of him which date from the early centuries of our era⁴ and others are preserved in the miniatures of manuscripts⁵

He is not a mere adaptation of any one Hindu god Some of his attributes are also those of Brahmâ. Though in some late texts he is said to have evolved the world from himself, his characteristic function is not to create but, like Vishnu, to save and like Vishnu he holds a lotus. But also he has the title of Iśvara, which is specially applied to Siva. Thus he does not issue from any local cult and has no single mythological pedigree but is the idea of divine compassion represented with such materials as the art and mythology of the day offered

He is often accompanied by a female figure Târâ⁶ In the tantric period she is recognized as his spouse and her images, common in northern India from the seventh century onwards,

¹ Varamudra

² These as well as the red colour are attributes of the Hindu deity Brahmâ

A temple on the north side of the lake in the Imperial City at Peking contains a gigantic image of him which has literally a thousand heads and a thousand hands. This monstrous figure is a warning against an attempt to represent metaphors literally

⁴ Waddell on the Cult of Avalokita, JRAS 1894, pp 51 ff thinks they are not earlier than the fifth century

See especially Foucher, Iconographie Bouddhique, Paris, 1900

⁶ See especially de Blonay, Etudes pour servir à l'histoire de la déesse bouddhique Târâ, Paris, 1895 Târâ continued to be worshipped as a Hindu goddess after Buddhism had disappeared and several works were written in her honour See Raj Mitra, Search for Sh. MSS iv 168, 171, x. 67

show that sho was adored as a female Bodhisattva In Tibet Tara is an important delty who assumes many forms and oven before the tantrio influence had become prominent she seems to have been associated with Avaiokita In the Dharma sangraha she is named as one of the four Devis and she is mentioned twice under the name of To io Pu-sa hy Hsüan Chrang who saw a statue of her in Valsals and another at Tiladhaka in Magadha. This last stood on the right of a greantic figure of Boddha Avalokita being on his left!

Hsüan Chuang distinguishes To lo (Târâ) and Kuan tzü tasi The latter under the name of Knan yin or Kwannon has become the most popular goddess of China and Japan but is apparently a form of Avalokita. The god in his desire to help mankind assumes many shapes and among these divino womanhood has by the suffrage of millions been judged the most appropriate But Târâ was not originally the same as Kuan yin though the fact that she accompanies Avalokita and shares his attributes may have made it easier to think of him in femolo form.

The circumstances in which Avalokita became o goddess ore obscure. The Indian images of him are not feminine although his sex is hardly noticed before the tantne period. He is not a male deity like Krishna hut a strong hright spirit and like the Christian archangels above sexual distinctions. No female form of him is reported from Tibet and this confirms the idea that none was known in Indias and that the change was made in China. It was probably facilitated by the worship of Tara and of Haritf an ogress who was converted by the Buddha and is frequently represented in her regenerate state carraing a child

But many schol is think that the formula Om manipadme hum which is supposed to be addressed to Avalokita, is really an invocation to a form of Sakti called Manipadma. A Negaless inscription says that "The Saktas call him Sakti (E.R.E vol. II. p. 200 and J.d. III. 1921, but this may be merely a way of saying that he is identical with the great gods of all sects.

About the time of Hailan Chuang a travels Sarvajāāmitra wrote a hymn to Tārā which has been preserved and published by do Blonay 1801

[•] Chinese Bridshitz say Tara and Kuan Yin are the same but the diff renes between them is this. Tara is an Indian and Yam its goldless associated with Aralokita and in origin analogous to the Saktis of Tantrism. Kwan yin is a female form of Aralokita who can asseme all shapes. The original Kwan yin was a male delity mask Kuan-yin sare not unknown in China and are said to be the rule in Korea. But Tara and Kuan yin may jurity be described as the same in so far as they are attempts to embody the idea of dirino pity in a Madonna.

She is mentioned by Hsuan Chuang and by I-Ching who adds that her image was already known in China The Chinese also worshipped a native goddess called T'ien-hou or T'ou-mu Kuanvin was also identified with an ancient Chinese heroine called Miao-shên¹ This is parallel to the legend of Ti-tsang (Kshitigarbha) who, though a male Bodhisattva, was a virtuous maiden in two of his previous existences Evidently Chinese religious sentiment required a Madonna and it is not unnatural if the god of mercy, who was reputed to assume many shapes and to give sons to the childless, came to be thought of chiefly in a feminine form. The artists of the T'ang dynasty usually represented Avalokita as a youth with a slight moustache and the evidence as to early female figures does not seem to me strong2, though a priori I see no reason for doubting their existence In 1102 a Chinese monk named P'u-ming published a romantic legend of Kuan-yin's earthly life which helped to popularize her worship In this and many other cases the later developments of Buddhism are due to Chinese fancy and have no connection with Indian tradition

Târâ is a goddess of north India, Nepal and the Lamaist Church and almost unknown in China and Japan Her name means she who causes to cross, that is who saves, life and its troubles being by a common metaphor described as a sea Târâ also means a star and in Puranic mythology is the name given to the mother of Buddha, the planet Mercury Whether the name was first used by Buddhists or Brahmans is unknown, but after the seventh century there was a decided tendency to give Târâ the epithets bestowed on the Saktis of Siva and assimilate her to those goddesses. Thus in the list of her 108 names she is described among other more amuable attributes as

¹ Harlez, Livre des esprits et des immortels, p 195, and Doré, Recherches sur les supersitions en Chine, pp 94-138

² See Fenollosa, Epochs of Chinese and Japanese Art, I pp 105 and 124, Johnston, Buddhist China, 275 ff Several Chinese deities appear to be of uncertain or varying sex Thus Chun ti is sometimes described as a deified Chinese General and sometimes identified with the Indian goddess Marîoî. Yû ti, generally masculine, is sometimes feminine See Doré, lc 212 Still more strangely the Patriarch Aśvaghosha (Ma Ming) is represented by a female figure On the other hand the monk Ta Shêng (c 705 A.D) is said to have been an incarnation of the female Kuan Yin. Mañjuśri is said to be worshipped in Nepal sometimes as a male, sometimes as a female See Bendall and Haraprasad, Nepalese MSS p lavii.

knowledge, and meditation It is for this that he has in his hands the sword of knowledge and a book. A beautiful figure from Java bearing these emblems is in the Berlin Museiim¹ Miniatures represent him as of a yellow colour with the hands (when they do not carry emblems) set in the position known as teaching the law². Other signs which distinguish his images are the blue lotus and the lion on which he sits

An interesting fact about Mañjuśrî is his association with China³, not only in Chinese but in late Indian legends mountain Wu-t'ai-shan in the province of Shan-si is sacred to him and is covered with temples erected in his honour4 The name (mountain of five terraces) is rendered in Sanskrit as Pancasîrsha, or Pancasikha, and occurs both in the Svayambhû Purâna and in the text appended to miniatures representing Mañjuśrî The principal temple is said to have been erected between 471 and 500 AD I have not seen any statement that the locality was sacred in pre-Buddhist times, but it was probably regarded as the haunt of deities, one of whom perhaps some spirit of divination was identified with the wise Mañjuśrî It is possible that during the various inroads of Græco-Bactrians, Yueh-Chih, and other Central Asian tribes ınto India, Mañjuśrî was somehow imported into the pantheon of the Mahayana from China or Central Asia, and he has, especially in the earlier descriptions, a certain pure and abstract quality which recalls the Amesha-Spentas of Persia But still his attributes are Indian, and there is little positive evidence of a foreign origin I-Ching is the first to tell us that the Hindus beheved he came from China 5 Hsuan Chuang does not mention this belief, and probably did not hear of it, for it is an interesting detail which no one writing for a Chinese audience would have omitted We may therefore suppose that the idea arose in India about 650 AD By that date the temples of Wu-t'ai-Shan would

¹ It is reproduced in Grunwedel's Buddhist Art in India Translated by Gibson, 1901, p 200

² Dharmacakramudra

For the Nepalese legends see S Levi, Le Nepal, 1905-9

For an account of this sacred mountain see Edkins, Religion in China, chaps

⁵ See I tsing, trans Takakusu, 1896, p 136 For some further remarks on the possible foreign origin of Mañjuśrî see below, chapter on Central Asia The verses attributed to King Harsha (Nanjio, 1071) praise the reliquaries of China but without details

He is, however, frequently alluded to in the exegetical Pali literature, in the Anagata-vamsa and in the earlier Sanskrit works such as the Lalita-vistara, the Divyâvadâna and Mahâvastu In the Lotus he plays a prominent part, but still is subordinate to Manjuśri Ultimately he was eclipsed by the two great Bodhisattvas but in the early centuries of our era he received much respect. His images are frequent in all parts of the Buddhist world he was believed to watch over the propagation of the Faith1, and to have made special revelations to Asanga² In paintings he is usually of a golden colour his statues, which are often gigantic, show him standing or sitting in the European fashion and not cross-legged He appears to be represented in the earliest Gandharan sculptures and there was a famous image of him in Udyana of which Fa-Hsien (399 114 AD) speaks as if it were already ancient3 Hsuan Chuang describes it as well as a stupa erected 4 to commemorate Sakyamum's prediction that Maitreya would be his successor On attaining Buddhahood he will become lord of a terrestrial paradise and hold three assemblies under a dragon flower tree 5, at which all who have been good Buddhists in previous births will become Arhats I-Ching speaks of meditating on the advent of Maitreya in language like that which Christian piety uses of the second coming of Christ and concludes a poem which is incorporated in his work with the aspiration "Deep as the depth of a lake be my pure and calm meditation Let me look for the first meeting under the Tree of the Dragon Flower when I hear the deep rippling voice of the Buddha Maitreyas." But messianic

1 See e g Watters, Yttan Chwang, I 239

² See Watters and Péri in BEFEO 1911, 439 A temple of Maitreya has been found at Turfan in Central Asia with a Chinese inscription which speaks of him as an active and benevolent deity manifesting himself in many forms

enormously fat smiling monk In the Liang dynasty there was a monk called Pu-tai (Jap Hotei) who was regarded as an incarnation of Maitreya and became a popular subject for cancature. It would appear that the Bodhisattva himself has become superseded by this cheerful but undignified incarnation.

The stupa was apparently at Benares but Hsuan Chuang's narrative is not clear and other versions make Rajagriha or Śravasti the scene of the prediction.

⁵ Campa This is his bodhi tree under which he will obtain enlightenment as Sâkyamını under the Ficus religiosa Each Buddha has his own special kind of bodhi tree

⁶ Record of the Buddhist religion, Trans Takakusu, p 213 See too Watters, Yuan Chwang, n 57, 144, 210, 215

ideas were not much developed in either Buddhism or Hinduism and perhaps the figures of both Maltreya and Kalki owe some thing to Persian legends about Sacshyant the Saviour

The other Bodhisattvas though landed in special treatises, have left little impression on Indian Buddhism and have obtained in the Far East most of whatever importance they possess. The makers of images and miniatures assign to each his proper shape and colour but when we read about them we feel that we are dealing not with the objects of real worship or oven the products of a lively imagination but with names and figures which have a value for picturesquo but conventional art

Among the best known is Samantabhadra the all gracious who is still a popular deity in Tibet and the patron saint of the sacred mountain Omei in China, with which he is associated as Mahjusti with Win tai-shan. He is represented as green and riding on an elephant. In Indian Buddhism he has a moderately prominent position. He is mentioned in the Dharmasangraha and in one chapter of the Lotus he is charged with the special duty of protecting these who follow the iaw. But the Chinese pulgrims do not mention his worship

Mahasthamaprapta is a somewhat similar figure. A chapter of the Lotus (XIX) is dedicated to him without however giving any clear tides of his personality and he is extelled in several descriptions of Sukhavati or Paradise especially in the Amitayurdhyana-sûtra. Together with Amitabhs and Avalokita he forms a triad who rule this Happy Land and are often represented by three images in Chinese temples.

Vsjrspani is mentioned in many lists of Bodhisattvas (e.g. in the Dharmasangraha) but is of somewhat doubtful position as Hsūan Chuang calls him a deva. Historically his recognition

as a Bodhleattra is interesting for he is merely Indra trans formed into a Buddhist The mysterious personages called Vajradhara and Vajrasattva who in later times are even

* # 9 Watters, L p. 228, H. 215.

¹ Chinese P'u belen See Johnston, Frees Paking to M-adulty for an interesting account of Mt. Omei.

⁸ Or M Marham. Cottoner Talabih-ohlh. He appears to be the Arkst Mand galykyana deified. In China and Japan there is a marinol tendency to regard all Bodhiastivas as ancient worthles who by their vows and withous have riess to their passant high position. But these cohemorabile explanations are vector in the Far Eart and the real origin of the Bodhibertyan may be quite different.

identified with the original Buddha spirit, are further developments of Vajrapâni. He owes his elevation to the fact that Vajra, originally meaning simply thunderbolt, came to be used as a mystical expression for the highest truth

More important than these is Kshitigarbha, Ti-tsang or Jızō¹ who in China and Japan ranks second only to Kuan-yin Visser has consecrated to him an interesting monograph² which shows what strange changes and chances may attend spirits and how ideal figures may alter as century after century they travel from land to land We know little about the origin of Kshitigarbha The name seems to mean Earth-womb and he has a shadowy counterpart in Akâśagarbha, a similar deity of the air, who it seems never had a hold on human hearts The Earth is generally personified as a goddess³ and Kshitigarbha has some slight feminine traits, though on the whole decidedly masculine The stories of his previous births relate how he was twice a woman in Japan he was identified with the mountain goddess of Kamado, and he helps women in labour, a boon generally accorded by goddesses In the pantheon of India he played an inconspicuous part4, though reckoned one of the eight great Bodhisattvas, but met with more general esteem in Turkestan, where he began to collect the attributes afterwards defined in the Far East It is there that his history and transformations become clear

He is primarily a deity of the nether world, but like Amitabha and Avalokita he made a vow to help all living creatures and specially to deliver them from hell. The Taoists pictured hell as divided into ten departments ruled over by as many kings, and Chinese fancy made Ti-tsang the superintendent of these functionaries. He thus becomes not so much a Saviour as the kindly superintendent of a prison who preaches to the inmates and willingly procures their release. Then we hear of six Ti-tsangs, corresponding to the six worlds of sentient beings, the gracious spirit being supposed to multiply his personality in

¹ Kshitigarbha is translated into Chinese as Ti tsang and Jizō is the Japanese pronunciation of the same two characters

² In Oslasiai Zieft 1913-15 See too Johnston, Buddhist China, chap viii

The Earth goddess is known to the earliest Buddhist legends. The Buddha called her to witness when sitting under the Bo tree

Three Sûtras, analysed by Visser, treat of Kshitigarbha They are Nanjio, Nos. 64, 65, 67

25

order to minister to the wants of all. He is ofton represented as a monk staff in hand and with shaven head. The origin of this guise is not clear and it perhaps refers to his previous hirths. But in the eighth century a monk of Chiu Hual was regarded as an incarnation of Ti tsang and after death his body was gilded and enshrined as an object of worship. In later times the Bodhisattva was confused with the incarnation in the same way as the perily figure of Pu tai commonly known as the laughing Buddha has been substituted for Maitreya in Chinese iconography.

In Japan the cult of the six Jizos became very popular They were regarded as the delties of roads2 and their efficies ultimately superseded the ancient phallic gods of the crossways In this martial country the Bodhisattva assumed yet another chameter as Shogun Jizo a militant priest riding on horseback? and wearing a helmet who became the patron saint of warmors and was even identified with the Japanese war god Hachiman Until the seventeenth century Jizo was worshipped principally by soldiers and priests but subsequently his cult spread among all classes and in all districts. His benovolent activities as a guide and saviour were more and more emphasized he heals sickness he lengthens life he leads to heaven he saves from hell he even suffers as a substitute in hell and is the special protector of the souls of children amid the penis of the under world. Though this modern figure of Jizo is wrought with ancient maternals it is in the main a work of Japanese senti ment

A celebrated monastery in the portion of An hul which Hea to the south of the Yang tae. See Johnston, Buildhist China chaps, viii 1x and x.

s There is some reason to think that even in Turkestan Kahitigarbha was a god of roda.

In A nam too Jizô is represented on horseback.

CHAPTER XVIII

THE BUDDHAS OF MAHAYANISM

This mythology did not grow up around the Buddha without affecting the central figure. To understand the extraordinary changes of meaning both mythological and metaphysical which the word Buddha undergoes in Mahayanist theology we must keep in mind not the personality of Gotama but the idea that he is one of several successive Buddhas who for convenience may be counted as four, seven or twenty-four but who really form an infinite series extending without limit backwards into the past and forwards into the future. This belief in a series of Buddhas produced a plentiful crop of imaginary personalities and also of speculations as to their connection with one another, with the phenomena of the world and with the human soul

In the Pali Canon the Buddhas antecedent to Gotama are introduced much like ancient kings as part of the legendary history of this world. But in the Lalita-vistara (Chap xx) and the Lotus (Chap vii) we hear of Buddhas, usually described as Tathâgatas, who apparently do not belong to this world at all, but rule various points of the compass, or regions described as Buddha-fields (Buddha-kshetra). Their names are not the same in the different accounts and we remain dazzled by an endless panorama of an infinity of universes with an infinity of shining Buddhas, illuminating infinite space.

Somewhat later five of these unearthly Buddhas were formed into a pentad and described as Jinas² or Dhyâni Buddhas (Buddhas of contemplation), namely, Vairocana, Akshobhya, Ratnasambhava, Amitâbha and Amoghasiddhi In the fully developed form of this doctrine these five personages are

¹ In Mahâparınıb Sut 1 16 the Buddha is made to speak of all the other Buddhas who have been in the long ages of the past and will be in the long ages of the future

² Though Dhyâm Buddha is the title most frequently used in European works it would appear that Jina is more usual in Sanskrit works, and in fact Dhyâm Buddha is hardly known outside Nepalese literature Ratnasambhava and Amo ghasiddhi are rarely mentioned apart from the others According to Getty (Gods of Northern Buddhism, pp 26, 27) a group of six, including the Âdi Buddha himself under the name of Vajrasattva, is sometimes worshipped

produced by contemplation from the Ådi Buddha or original Buddha spirit and themselves produce various reflexes including Bodhisativas human Buddhas and goddesses like Tārā. The date when these beliefs first became part of the accepted Mahayana ereed cannot be fixed but prohably the symmetrical arrangement of five Buddhas is not antenor to the tantne period of Buddhism

The most important of the five are Valrocana and Amitabha Akshobhyn is mentioued in both the Lotus and Smaller Sukha vati with as the chief Buddha of the eastern quarter and a work purporting to be a description of his paradise still extant in Chinese 1 ls said to have been translated in the time of the Eastern Han dynasty But even in the har East he did not find many worshippers. More enduring has been the glory of Vairocana who is the chief delty of the Shingon seet in Jupan and is represented by the gigantle image in the temple at Nara In Java he seems to have been regarded as the principal and supreme Buddha The name occurs in the Mahavastu as the designation of an otherwise unknown Buddha of luminous attributes and in the Lotus we hear of a distant Buddha world cailed Vairocana rasmi pratimandita, embellished by the rays of the sun3 Vairocana is clearly a derivative of Virocana a recognized title of the sun in Sanskrit and is rendered in Chinese hy Ta fih meaning great Sun How this solar deits first came to be regarded as a Buddhn is not known but the connection between a Buddin and light has always been recognized. Even the Pali texts represent Gotama as being luminous on some occasions and in the Mahayanist scriptures Buddhas are radiant and light giving beings surrounded by halos of prodigious extent and emitting flashes which illuminate the depths of space. The visions of innumerable paradises in all quartors containing jewelled stupas and lighted by refulgent Buddhas which are frequent in these works seem founded on astronomy vaporized under the influence of the idea that there are millions of universes all countly transitory and unsubstantial. There is no reason, so

 $^{^1}$ About the same period Sira and Vishnu were we shipped in five forms. See below Book v chap. III. see 3 ad fix.

Nanjio, Cal. No. 28.

³ Virocena also occurs in the Chândogya Up. VIII. 7 and 8 as the name of an Asura who misunderstood the teaching of Prajapati. Verocana is the name of an Asura in Sam. Nik. z. x. 1 8.

far as I see, to regard Gotama as a mythical solar hero, but the celestial Buddhas¹ clearly have many solar attributes. This is natural. Solar deities are so abundant in Vedic mythology that it is hardly possible to be a benevolent god without having something of the character of the sim. The stream of foreign religions which flowed into India from Bactria and Persia about the time of the Christian era brought new aspects of sun worship such as Mithra, Helios and Apollo and strengthened the tendency to connect divinity and light. And this connection was peculiarly appropriate and obvious in the case of a Buddha, for Buddhas are clearly revealers and light-givers, conquerors of darkness and dispellers of ignorance

Amitâbha (or the Buddha of measureless light), rising suddenly from an obscure origin, has like Avalokita and Vishnu become one of the great gods of Asia He is also known as Amitâyus or measureless life, and is therefore a god of light and immortality. According to both the Lotus and the Smaller Sukhavatî-vyûha he is the lord of the western quarter but he is unknown to the Lalita-vistara It gives the ruler of the west a lengthy title2, which suggests a land of gardens Now Paradise, which has biblical authority as a name for the place of departed spirits, appears to mean in Persian a park or enclosed garden and the Avesta speaks of four heavens, the good thought Paradise, the good word Paradise, the good deed Paradise and the Endless Lights³ This last expression bears a remarkable resemblance to the name of Amitabha and we can understand that he should rule the west, because it is the home to which the sun and departed spirits go Amitâbha's Paradise is called Siikhâvatî or Happy Land In the Puranas the city of Varuna (who is suspected of having a non-Indian origin) is said to be situated m the west and is called Sukha (Linga P and Vayu P) or Mukhya (so Vishnu P and others) The name Amitâbha also occurs in the Vishnu Purana as the name of a class of gods and it is curious that they are in one place4 associated with other

¹ The names of many of these Buddhas, perhaps the majority, contain some word expressive of light such as Aditya, prabhâ or tejas

² Chap xx Pushpavalivanarājikusumitābhijña.

Pure Land (Chinese Ch'ing t'u, Japanese Jo do) has also a Persian ring about it See further in the chapter on Central Asia

Vishnu P, Book III chap II.

⊁∧m]

TOTAL 3

deities called the Mnkhyas The worship of Amitāhha so far as its history can be traced goes back to Saraha the teacher of Nāgārjuna Ho is said to have been a Sudra and his name seems un Indian This supports the theory that this worship was foreign and imported into India¹

This worship and the doctrine on which it is hased are an almost complete contradiction of Gotama's teaching for they amount to this that religion consists in faith in Amitahha and prayer to him in return for which ho will receive his followers after death in his paradise. Let this is not a late travesty of Boddhism hut a relatively early development which must have begun about the Christian era. The principal works in which it is preached are the Greater Sukhavati vyuha or Description of the Happy Land translated into Chinese between 147 and 186 A D the lesser work of the same name translated in 402 A D and the Sûtra of meditation on Amitâvus* translated in 424 The first of these works purports to be a discourse of Sakyamuni himself delivered on the Vnlture's Peak in answer to the questions of Ananda He relates how innumerable ages ago there was a monk called Dharmakara who with the help of the Buddha of that period made a vow or vows' to become a Buddha hut on conditions That is to say he rejected the Buddhahood to which he might become ontitled unless his merits obtained certain advantages for others and having ohtained Buddhahood on these conditions he can now cause them to be fulfilled. In other words he can apportion his vast store of accumulated merit to such persons and in such manner as he chooses The gist of the conditions is that he should when he ohtained Buddhahood be lord of a paradise whose inhahitants live in unbroken happiness until they obtain Nirvana All who have thought of this paradise ten times are to be admitted therein unless they have committed gnovous sin and Amitahha will appear to them at the moment of death so that their thoughts may not be troubled The Buddha shows Ananda a

¹ See below: Section on Central Asia, and Grünwedel, Mythologie, 31: 36 and notes: Taranatha (Shlefner), p. 93 and notes.

³ Amitáyur-dhyána-altra. All three wochs are translated in S.B.F. vol. XLEX.
³ Prapidhána. Not only Amitábha bat all Bodhisattras (cupculally Avalokita and K hitigarbha) are supposed to have made such vows. This idea is very common in China and Japan but goes back to Indian sources. See e.g. Lotus. XIV.

miraculous vision of this paradise and its joys are described in language recalling the account of the New Jerusalem in the book of Revelation and, though coarser pleasures are excluded, all the delights of the eye and ear, such as jewels, gardens, flowers, rivers and the songs of birds await the faithful

The smaller Sukhâvatî-vyûha, represented as preached by Sâkyamuni at Srâvasti, is occupied almost entirely with a description of the paradise. It marks a new departure in definitely preaching salvation by faith only, not by works, whereas the previous treatise, though dwelling on the efficacy of faith, also makes merit a requisite for life in heaven. But the shorter discourse says dogmatically "Beings are not born in that Buddha country as a reward and result of good works performed in this present life. No, all men or women who hear and bear in mind for one, two, three, four, five, six or seven nights the name of Amitâyus, when they come to die, Amitâyus will stand before them in the hour of death, they will depart this life with quiet minds and after death they will be born in Paradise."

The Amitâyur-dhyâna-sûtra also purports to be the teaching of Sâkyamini and has an historical introduction connecting it with Queen Vaidehî and King Bimbisâra. In theology it is more advanced than the other treatises it is familiar with the doctrine of Dhaima-kâya (which will be discussed below) and it represents the rulers of paradise as a triad, Amitâyus being assisted by Avalokita and Mahasthâmaprâpta. Admission to the paradise can be obtained in various ways, but the method recommended is the practice of a series of meditations which are described in detail. The system is comprehensive, for salvation can be obtained by mere viitue with little or no prayer but also by a single invocation of Amitâyus, which suffices to free from deadly sins

Strange as such doctrines appear when set beside the Pali texts, it is clear that in their origin and even in the form which they assume in the larger Sukhavatî-vyîha they are simply an exaggeration of ordinary Mahayanist teaching² Amitâbha is

¹ These Bodhisattvas are also mentioned but without much emphasis in the Greater Sukhavati-vyûha

² Even in Hinayanist works such as the Nidânskathâ Sumedha's resolution to become a Buddha, formed as he lies on the ground before Dipankara, has a resemblance to Amîda's vow He resolves to attain the truth, to enable mankind to cross the sea of the world and only then to attain Nirvana

merely a monk who devotes himself to the religious life namely seeking bodh for the good of others. Ho differs from overy day devotees only in the degree of sanctity and success obtained by bis exertions. The operations which he performs are nothing bot examples on a stupendoos scale of parinamena or the assignment of one sown ments to others. His paradise though in popular esteem equivalent to the Persian or Christian heaven is not really so strictly speaking it is not an ultimate ideal but a blessed region in which Nirvana may be obtained without toil or care.

Though this teaching had brilliant soccess in China and Japan where it still flourishes the worship of Amitabha was never predominant in India. In Nepal and Tibet he is one among many deities the Chinese pilgrims hardly mention him his figure is not particularly frequent in Indian iconography! and except in the works composed specially in his honour he appears as an incidental rather than as a necessary figure. Tho whole doctrine is hardly streuuous enough for Indians To pray to the Buddha at the end of a sinful life enter his paradise and ohtain ultimate Nirvana in comfort is not only open to the same charge of egoism as the Hinayana scheme of salvation bot is much easier and may lead to the abandonment of religious offort And the Hindu who above all things likes to busy himself with his own salvation, does not take kindly to these expedients Nomerous delties promise a long spell of beaven as a reward for the mere atterance of their names? yet the believer continoes to labour earnestly in ceremonies or meditation. It would be interesting to know whether this doctrine of salvation by the ntterance of a single name or prayer originated among Boddhists or Brahmans In any case it is closely related to old ideas about the magic power of Vedle verses

The five Jinas and other supernatural personages are often regarded as manifestations of a single Buddha force and at last this force is personlified as Adi Buddha. This admittedly

¹ Bee Foucher Iconographic Bouddlines dans l'Inde.

The Bhagavad-gith states quite clearly the dectrine of the death-bed prayer (vm. od isst). "He who haves this body and departs membering me in his last moments come to my (>> Whatever form (of delty) he remembers when he finally leaves this body to that he goes having been used to ponder on if

See art. Adi-Buddh in E.R.E. Assags in the Sûtrê! Vêra (IX, 77) condemns the doctrine of Adi Buddha, showing that the term was known them even if its

theistic form of Buddhism is late and is recorded from Nepal, Tibet (in the Kâlacakra system) and Java, a distribution which implies that it was exported from Bengal¹ But another form in which the Buddha-force is impersonal and analogous to the Parabrahma of the Vedânta is much older Yet when this philosophic idea is expressed in popular language it comes very near to Theism As Kern has pointed out, Buddha is not called Deva or Îśvara in the Lotus simply because he is above such beings He declares that he has existed and will exist for incalculable ages and has preached and will preach in innumerable millions of worlds His birth here and his nirvana are illusory, kindly devices which may help weak disciples but do not mark the real beginning and end of his activity. This implies a view of Buddha's personality which is more precisely defined ın the doctrine known as Trikâya or the three bodies² and expounded in the Mahâyâna-sûtrâlankâra, the Awakening of Faith, the Suvarna-prabhâsa sûtra³ and many other works It may be stated dogmatically as follows, but it assumes somewhat divergent forms according as it is treated theologically or metaphysically

A Buddha has three bodies or forms of existence is the Dharma-kâya, which is the essence of all Buddhas It is true knowledge or Bodhi It may also be described as Nirvana and also as the one permanent reality underlying all phenomena and all individuals. The second is the Sambhoga-kâya, or body had not the precise dogmatic sense which it acquired later His argument is that no one can become a Buddha without an equipment (Sambhâra) of merit and knowledge Such an equipment can only be obtained from a previous Buddha and therefore the series of Buddhas must extend infinitely backwards

1 For the prevalence of the doctrine in mediaval Bengal see B K Sarkar, Folklore Element in Hindu Culture, which is however sparing of precise references The Dharma or Nırañjana of the Sûnya Purâna seems to be equivalent to Adi-Buddha

Sometimes the Âdı Buddha is identified with Vajrasattva or Samantabhadra, although these beings are otherwise classified as Bodhisattvas. This appears analogous to the procedure common in Hinduism by which a devotee declares that his special deity is all the gods and the supreme spirit

2 It would appear that some of the Tantras treat of five bodies, adding to the three here given others such as the Anandakâya, Vajrakâya and Svabhâvakâya For this doctrine see especially De la Vallée Poussin, JRAS 1906, pp 943-997 and Museon, 1913, pp 257ff Jigs med nam mka, the historian of Tibetan Buddhism, describes four See Huth, Ges d Bud in d Mongoles, vol 11 pp 83-89 Hinduism also assigns to living beings three bodies, the Karana sarira, lingas. and sthulas

³ Translated into Chinese by Dharmaraksha between 397 and 439 A D

of culeymeni that is to say the radiant and superhuman form in which Buddhas appear in their paradises or when otherwise manifesting themselves in cele tial splendour. Tho thurd is the Nirmana kaya or the body of transformation that is to say the human form worn hy Sikvamuni or any other Buddha and regarded as a transformation of his true nature and almost a distortion because it is so partial and inadequate an expression of it Later theology regards Amitabha Amitayus and Sakya muni as a series corresponding to the three bodies. Amitabha does not really express the whole Dharma kayn which is incapable of personification but when he is necurately dis tinguished from Amitayus (and frequently they are regarded as synonyms) he is made the more remote and etherest of the two Amitavus with his rich ornaments and his flask containing the water of eternal life is the ideal of a splendidis beneficent saviour and represents the Sambhoga kaya! Sikyamuni is the same beneficent being shrunk into human form. But this is only one aspect and not the most important of the doctrine of the three bodies. We can easily understand the Samhhoga kaya and Nirmana kava they correspond to a deity such as Vishnu and his incarnation Krishna and they are puzzling in Buddhism simply because we think naturally of the older view (not entirely discarded by the Mahayana) which makes the human Buddha the crown and apex of a series of lives that find in hilm their fulfilment But it is less casy to understand the Dharma kava

The word should perhaps be translated as hody of the law and the thought originally underlying it may have been that the essential nature of a Buddha that which makes him a Buddha is the law which he preaches. As we might say the teacher lives in his teaching while it survives he is active and not dead.

The chauge from metaphor to theology is illustrated by Haūan Chuang when he states to doubt quoting from his edition of the Pitakas) that Gotama when dying said to those around him Say not that the Tathagata is undergoing final

¹ The prototype of the Sambhoga käya is found in the Pall Canon, for the Buddha says (Mahāparinih, Sut. III. 22) that when he appears among the different classes of gods his form and voice are similar to theirs.

Watters, vol. H. p. 38. Epititual resence" is Fa shën in Chinese i e. Dharma kiya. Another passage is quoted to the effect that henceforth the observances of all my disciples comulting the Tathigata s Fa-shën, eternal and imperinhable "

extinction his spiritual presence abides for ever unchangeable " This apparently corresponds to the passage in the Pali Canon¹, which runs "It may be that in some of you the thought may arise, the word of the Master is ended we have no more a teacher But it is not thus that you should regard it The truths and the rules which I have set forth, let them, after I am gone, be the Teacher to you" But in Buddhist writings, including the oldest Palı texts, Dharma or Dhamma has another important meaning It signifies phenomenon or mental state (the two being identical for an idealistic philosophy) and comprises both the external and the internal world Now the Dhaima-kâya is emphatically not a phenomenon but it may be regarded as the substratum or totality of phenomena or as that which gives phenomena whatever reality they possess and the double use of the word dharma rendered such divagations of meaning easier² Hindus have a tendency to identify being and knowledge According to the Vedanta philosophy he who knows Brahman, knows that he himself is Brahman and therefore he actually is Brahman In the same way the true body of the Buddha is prajñâ or knowledge³ By this is meant a knowledge which transcends the distinction between subject and object and which sees that neither animate beings nor manimate things have individuality or separate existence. Thus the Dhaimakâya being an intelligence which sees the illusory quality of the world and also how the illusion originates4 may be regarded as the origin and ground of all phenomena As such it is also called Tathâgata-garbha and Dharma-dhâtu, the matrix or storehouse of all phenomena On the other hand, masmuch as it is beyond them and implies their unreality, it may also be regarded as the annihilation of all phenomena, in other words as Nirvana fact the Dharma-kâya (or Bhûta-tathatâ) 18 sometimes⁵ defined in words similar to those which the Pali Canon makes the Buddha use when asked if the Perfect Saint exists after death "it is neither that which is existence nor that which is non-

¹ Mahâparınıb Sut vi ı.

3 See Ashtasahasrıka Prajna paramıta, chap IV, near beginning

² Something similar might happen in English if think and thing were pronounced in the same way and a thing were believed to be that which we can think

⁴ It is in this last point that no inferior intelligence can follow the thought of a Buddha

⁵ The Awakening of Faith, Teitaro Suzuki, p 59

existence nor that which is at once existence and non-existence nor that which is neither existence nor non-existence. In more theological language it may be said that according to the general opinion of the Mahayanists a Buddha attains to Nirvana by the very act of becoming a Buddha and is therefore beyond overathing which we call existence. Yet the compassion which he feels for mankind and the good harms which he has accumulated cause a human image of him (Nirmāna hāya) to appear among men for their instruction and a superhuman image perceptible yet not material to appear in Laradise.

CHAPTER XIX

MAHAYANIST METAPHYSICS

Thus the theory of the three bodies, especially of the Dharmakâya, is bound up with a theory of ontology Metaphysics became a passion among the travellers of the Great Vehicle as psychology had been in earlier times. They may indeed be reproached with being bad Buddhists since they insisted on speculating on those questions which Gotama had declared to be unprofitable and incapable of an answer in human language He refused to pronounce on the whence, the whither and the nature of things, but bade his disciples walk in the eightfold path and analyse the human mind, because such analysis conduces to spiritual progress India was the last country in the world where such restrictions were likely to be observed Much Mahayanist literature is not religious at all but simply metaphysics treated in an authoritative and ecclesiastical manner The nature and origin of the world are discussed as freely as in the Vedânta and with similar results the old ethics and psychology receive scant attention Yet the difference is less than might be supposed Anyone who reads these treatises and notices the number of apparently eternal beings and the talk about the universal mind is likely to think the old doctrine that nothing has an âtman or soul, has been forgotten impression is not correct, the doctrine of Nairatmyam is asserted so uncompromisingly that from one point of view it may be said that even Buddhas do not exist The meaning of this doctrine is that no being or object contains an unchangeable permanent self, which lives unaltered in the same or in different bodies On the contrary individual existences consist of nothing but a collection of skandhas or a santâna, a succession or series of mental phenomena In the Palı books this doctrine is applied chiefly to the soul and psychological enquiries The Mahayana applied it to the external world and proved by ingenious arguments that nothing at all exists Similarly the doctrine of Karma is maintained, though it is seriously modified by the admission that merit can be tran ferred from one personality to another. The Mahayana continued to teach that an net once performed affects n particular series of mental states until its effect is exhausted or in popular language that nn individual enjoys or suffers through a series of births the con-equences of previous acts. Even the instance of Amitāhha's paradise though it strains the doctrine of Karma to the utmost does not repudiato it. For the believer performs an act—to wit the invocation of Amitāhha—to which has been attached the wonderful result that the performer is rehorn in a blessed state. This is not essentially different from the idea found in the Palic Canon that attentions paid to a Buddha may be rewarded by a happy rebirth in hearen!

Mahayanist metaphysics, like all other departments of this theology are beset by the difficulty that the authorities who treat of them are not always in accord and do not pretend to be in accord. The idea that vimety is permissible in belief and conduct is deeply rooted in later Buddhism there are many vehicles some better than others no doubt and some very ramshackle but all are canable of conveying their passengers to salvation. Nominally the Malinyana was divided into only two schools of philosophy practically every important trentise propounds a system with features of its own. The two schools are the Logacaras and Madhyamikas? Both are identists and deny the reality of the external world but whereas the loghcaras (also called Vijiianavadins) admit that Vijiiana or con ciousness and the senes of states of which it consists are real the Madhya mikas refuse the title of reality to both the subjective and the objective world and hence gained a reputation of lx ing complete millists Probably the Madhyamikas are the older school

Both schools attach importance to the distinction between relative and absolute knowledge. Relative knowledge is true for human beings living in the world that is to say it is not more false than the world of appearance in which they live. The Hinayanist dootrines are true in this sense. Absolute knowledge

¹ E.ş in Mahāparinib But. rv 57 the Buddha says. There has been laid up by Canda the smith (who had given blim his last meal) a harma redounding to length of life to good fortune to good fame to the inheritance of hours, and of sovereign power."

Strictly speaking Madhyamaka is the name of the school Madhyamika of its adherents. Both forms are used a.g. Madhyam k Varikas and Madhy mik sutra.

rises above the world of appearance and is altogether true but difficult to express in words. The Yogâcâra makes three divisions, dividing the inferior knowledge into two It distinguishes first illusory knowledge (parikalpita) such as mistaking a piece of rope for a snake or belief in the existence of individual souls Secondly knowledge which depends on the relations of things (paratantra) and which though not absolutely wrong is necessarily limited, such as belief in the real existence of ropes and snakes And thirdly absolute knowledge (parinishpanna), which understands all things as the manifestation of an underlying principle The Mâdhyamikas more simply divide knowledge into samvriti-satya and paramartha-satya, that is the truth of everyday life and transcendental truth The world and ordinary religion with its doctrines and injunctions about good works are real and true as samvriti but in absolute truth (paramartham) we attain Nirvana and then the world with its human Buddhas and its gods exists no more The word sûnyam or sûnyatû, that is void, is often used as the equivalent of paramartham Void must be understood as meaning not an abyss of nothingness but that which is found to be devoid of all the attributes which we try to ascribe to it The world of ordinary experience is not void, for a great number of statements can be made about it, but absolute truth is void, because nothing whatever can be predicated of it Yet even this colourless designation is not perfectly accurate1, because neither being nor not-being can be predicated of absolute truth It is for this reason, namely that they admit neither being nor not-being but something between the two, that the followers of Nagarjuna are known as the Mâdhyamikas or school of the middle doctrine, though the European reader is tempted to say that their theories are extreme to the point of being a reductio ad absurdum of the whole system Yet though much of their logic seems late and useless sophistry, its affinity to early Buddhism cannot be denied The fourfold proposition that the answer to certain questions cannot be any of the statements "is," "is not," "both is and is not," "neither is nor is not," is part of the earliest known stratum of Buddhism The Buddha himself is represented as

¹ Någårjuna says Sûnyam iti na vaktavyam asûnyam iti va bhavet Ubhayam nobhayam ceti pråjñåptyartham tu kathyate, "It cannot be called void or not void or both or neither but in order to somehow indicate it, it is called Sûnyatâ"

saying that most people hold either to a belief in being or to a belief in not being. But neither belief is possible for one who considers the question with full knowledge. That things have being is one eatreme that things have no being is the other extreme. These extremes have been avoided by the Tathagata and it is a middle doctrine that he teaches "namely dependent origination as explained in the chain of twelve links. The Madhyamika theory that objects have no absolute and independent existence but appear to exist in virtue of their relations is a restatement of this ancient dictum.

The Mahayanist doctors find an ethical meaning in their negations. If things possessed srabhdia real absolute self determined existence then the four truths and especially the cessation of suffering and attainment of sanctity would be impossible. For if things were due not to causation but to their own self-determining nature (and the Hindus always seem to understand real existence in this sense) cessation of evil and attainment of the good would be alike impossible the four Nehle Truths imply a world which is in a state of constant becoming that is a world which is not really existent.

But for all that the doctrino of sünyată as stated in the Mādhyamika aphorisms ascribed to Nāgārjuna leaves an im pression of andacious and ingenious sophistry. After laying down that every object in the world exists only in relation to every other object and has no self-existence the treatise proceeds to prove that rest and motion are allike impossible. We speak about the path along which we are passing but there is really no such thing for if we divide the path accurately it always proves separable into the part which has been passed over and the part which will be passed over. There is no part which is being passed over. This of course amounts to a demal of the existence of present time. Time consists of past and future separated by an indivisible and immeasurable instant. The minimum of time which has any meaning for us implies a change and two elements a former and a subsequent. The present minime or the present hour are fallacious expressions?

Sam. Nik. xxtt. 90, 16,

Gotama, the founder of the Nykya philosophy also admitted the force of the arguments against the orderence of possibilities but regarded them as a reductio ad charactem. Shadworth Hodgson in his Philosophy of Reference, vol. L. p. 253 also treats of the greation.

Therefore no one ever is passing along a path. Again you cannot logically say that the passer is passing, for the sentence is redundant the verb adds nothing to the noin and vice versa but on the other hand you clearly cannot say that the non-passer is passing. Again if you say that the passer and the passing are identical, you overlook the distinction between the agent and the act and both become inreal. But you cannot maintain that the passer is different from the passing, for a passer as distinct from passing and passing as distinct from a passer have no meaning "But how can two entities exist at all, if they exist neither as identical with one another nor as different from one another?"

The above, though much abridged, gives an idea of the logic of these sûtras They proceed to show that all manner of things, such as the five skandhas, the elements, contact, attachment, fire and fuel, origination, continuation and extinction have no real existence Similar reasoning is then applied to religious topics the world of transmigration as well as bondage and liberation are declared non-existent. In reality no soul is in bondage and none is released Similarly Karma, the Buddha himself, the four truths, Nirvana and the twelve links in the chain of causation are all unreal This is not a declaration of scepticism It means that the Buddha as a human or celestial being and Nirvana as a state attainable in this world are concervable only in connection with this world and therefore, like the world, unreal No religious idea can enter into the unreal (that is the practical) life of the world unless it is itself unreal This sounds a topsy turvy argument but it is really the same as the Advarta doctrine The Vedanta is on the one hand a scheme of salvation for liberating souls which transmigrate inceasingly in a world ruled by a personal God But when true knowledge is attained, the soul sees that it is identical with the Highest Brahman and that souls which are in bondage and God who rules the world are illusions like the world itself But the Advasta has at least a verbal superiority over the Madhyamika philosophy, for in its terminology Brahman is the real and the existent contrasted with the world of illusion The result of giving to what the Advarta calls the real and existent the name of sûnyatâ or

¹ The Sânkhya philosophy makes a similar statement, though for different reasons

void is disconcerting. To say that everything without distinction is non-existent is much the same as saying that everything is existent It only means that a wrong sense is habitually given to the word exist as if it meant to be self-contained and without relation to other objects Unless we can make a verhal contrast and accept that there is something which does exist it seems fintile to insist on the unreality of the world Yet this mode of thought is not confined to text-books on logic. It invades the scriptures and appears (for instance) in the Diamond Cutter's which is still one of the most venerated hooks of devotion in China and Japan. In this work the Buddha explains that a Bodhisattva must resolve to deliver all living beings and yet must understand that after he has thus delivered unnumerable beings no one has been delivered. And why? Because no one is to be called a Bodhisattva for whom there exists the idea of a being or person. Similarly a saint does not think that he is a saint for if he did so think he would believe in a self and a person. There occur continually in this work phrases cast in the following form what was preached as a store of ment that was preached as no store of ment? by the Tathagata and there fore it is called a store of merit. If there existed a store of merit. the Tathagata would not have preached a store of merit is to say if I understand this dark language rightly accumulated ment is part of the world of illusion which we live in and by speaking of it as he did the Buddha implied that it, like every thing else in the world is really non-existent. Did it belong to the sphere of absolute truth he would not have spoken of it as if it were one of the things commonly but erroneously supposed to exist. Finally we are told of the highest knowledge. Even the smallest thing is not known or perceived there therefore it is called the highest perfect knowledge. That is to say perfect knowledge transcends all distinctions at recognises the illusory nature of all individuality and the truth of sameness the never changing one behind the ever-changing many. In this sense it 18 said to perceive nothing and know nothing One might expect that a philosophy thus prone to use the

¹ Vajraonhedika See S.B.E vol. XLIX. It was translated into Chinese by Kumirajiya (384-417 A.D.).

Or in other repetitions of the same formula, beings, k³ good things, signs, etc. etc.

language of extreme nihilism would slip into a destructive, or at least negative system. But Mahayanism was pulled equally strongly in the opposite direction by the popular and mythological elements which it contained and was on the whole inclined to theism and even polytheism quite as much as to atheism and acosmism. A modern Japanese writer says that Dharma-kâya "may be considered to be equivalent to the Christian conception of the Godhead." This is excessive as a historical statement of the view current in India during the early centuries of our era, but it does seem true that Dharma-kâya was made the equivalent of the Hindu conception of Param Brahma and also that it is very nearly equivalent to the Chinese Tao?

The work called Awakening of Faith³ and ascribed to Aśvaghosha is not extant in Sanskrit but was translated into Chinese in 553 AD Its doctrine is practically that of the Yogâcâra school and this makes the ascription doubtful, but it is a most important treatise. It is regarded as authoritative in China and Japan at the present day and it illustrates the triple tendency of the Mahayana towards metaphysics, mythology, and devotional piety It declares that faith has four aspects Three of these are the three Jewels, or Buddha, the Law and the Church, and cover between them the whole field of religion and morality as generally understood The exposition is tinged with a fine unselfish emotion and tells the believer that though he should strive not for his own emancipation but for the salvation of others yet he himself receives unselfish and supernatural assistance He is remembered and guarded by Buddhas and Bodhisattvas in all quarters of the Universe who are eternally trying to liberate mankind by various expedients (upâya) By expedient is meant a modified presentment of the truth, which is easier of comprehension and, if not the goal, at least on the road to it, such as the Paradise of Amitabha4

Translated from the Chinese by Teitaro Suzuki, 1900 The translation must be used with care, as its frequent use of the word soul may lead to misunderstanding

¹ Soyen Shaku, Sermons of a Buddhist Abbot, p 47

^{*} See for a simple and persuasive statement of these abstruse doctrines a charming little book called Wu Wei by H Borel

Asanga's work Mahayana satralankara (edited and translated by S Lévi) which covers much of the same ground is extant in Sanskrit as well as in Chinese and Tibetan translations. It is a lucid and authoritative treatise but does not appear to have ever been popular, or to be read now in the Far East. For Yogâcâra see also Muséon, 1904, p 370

But the remaining aspect of faith which is the one that the author puts first in his enumeration and treats at great length is to believe in the fundamental truth that is to think joyfully By suchness (in Sanskrit bhûta-tathatd in Chinese Ohen in) is meant absolute truth as contrasted with the relative truth of ordinary experience. The word is not illuminating nor likely to excite religious emotion and the most that can be said for it is that it is less dreary than the void of Nagarjuna Another and more positive synonym is dharma-dhâtu the all embracing totality of things. It is only through our ignorance and subjectivity that things appear distinct and individuate Could we transcend this subjectivity isolated objects would cease to exist. Things in their fundamental nature cannot be named or explained they are beyond the range of language and perception they have no signs of distinction but possess absolute sameness (samatå) From this totality of things nothing can be excluded and to it nothing can be added. Yet it is also sûnyatå negation or the vold because it cannot be said to possess any of the attributes of the world we live in neither existence nor non-existence nor unity nor plurality can be predicted of it According to the celebrated formula of Nagarjuna known as the eight Nos there is in it neither production (utpdda) nor destruction (uccheda) nor annihilation (nirodha) nor persistence (sasvatd) nor unity (ekartha) nor plurality (nanartha) nor coming in (dgamana) nor going out (nurgama) But when we perceive that both subject and object are unreal we also see that suchness is the one reality and from that point of view it may be regarded as the Dharma kaya of all Buddhas It is also called Tathagata garbha the womb or store-house of the Buddha from which all individual existences are evolved under the law of causation but this aspect of it is already affected by ignorance for in Bhûta tathata as known in the light of the highest truth there is neither causation nor production The Yogacara employs the word sunyata (void) though not so much as its sister school hut it makes special use of the term dlays vyfidns the receptacle or store of consciousness. This in so far as it is superindividual is an aspect of suchness but when it affirms and particularises itself it becomes cutta that is the human mind, or to be more

¹ The discussion of total in Kathavatthu, xix, 5 seems to sound an early phase of these speculations.

accurate the substratum of the human mind from which is developed manas, or the principle of will, self-consciousness and self-affirmation. Similarly the Vedanta philosophy, though it has no term corresponding to alaya-vijana, is familiar with the idea that Brahman is in one aspect immeasurable and allembracing but in another is infinitesimal and dwells in the human heart or that Brahman after creating the world entered into it Again another aspect of suchness is enlightenment (bodhi), that is absolute knowledge free from the limitations of subject and object This "is the universal Dharma-kâya of the Tathâgatas" and on account of this all Tathâgatas are spoken of as abiding in enlightenment a priori This enlightenment may be negative (as śûnyâta) in the sense that it transcends all relations but it may also be affirmative and then "it transforms and unfolds itself, whenever conditions are favourable, in the form of a Tathagata or some other form in order that all beings may be induced to bring their store of ment to maturity1"

It will be seen from the above that the absolute truth of the Mahayanists varies from a severely metaphysical conception, the indescribable thing in itself, to something very like an allpervading benevolent essence which from time to time takes shape in a Buddha And here we see how easy is the transition from the old Buddhism to a form of pantheism. For if we admit that the Buddha is a superhuman intelligence appearing from time to time according to a certain law, we add little to this statement by saying that the essence or spirit of the cosmos manifests itself from time to time as a Buddha Only, such words as essence or spirit are not really correct. The world of individuals is the same as the highest truth, the same as the Dharma-kâya, the same as Nirvana It is only through ignorance that it appears to be different and particularized Ignorance, the essence of which consists in believing in the distinction between subject and object, is also called defilement and the highest truth passes through various stages of defilement ending with that where under the influence of egoism and passion the external world of particulars is believed to be everything. But the various stages may influence one another2 so that under a higher influence the mind which is involved in subjectivity

Awakening of Faith, Teitaro Suzuki, pp 62 and 70
 The process is generally called Vasana or perfuming

begins to long for Nirvana Yet Nirvana is not something different from or beyond the world of experience it does not really involve annihilation of the skandhas Just as in the Advaita he who has the true knowledge sees that he himself and everything else is Brahman so for the Mahayanist all things are seen to be Nirvana to be the Dharma kava It is sometimes1 said that there are four kinds of Nirvana (a) absolute Nirvana which is a synonym of the Dharma kava and in that sense universally present in all beings (b) upadhisesha nirvana the state of enlightenment which can be attained during life while the body with its limitations still remains (c) anupadhisesha nurvana a higher degree of the same state attained after death when the hindrances of the body are removed (d) Nirvana without abode or apratishthita nirvana. Those who attain to this understand that there is no real antithesis between Samsara and Nirvana2 they do not seek for rest or emancipation but devote themselves to beneficent activity and to leading their fellows to salvation. Although these statements that Nirvana and Samears are the same are not at all in the manner of the older Buddhism vet this ideal of disinterested activity combined with Nirvana is not inconsistent with the portrait of Gotama preserved in the Pali Canon.

The Mahayanist Buddhism of the Far East makes free use of such phrases as the Buddha in the heart the Buddha mind and the Buddha nature. These seem to represent such Sanskrit terms as Buddhatva and Bodhietta which can receive either an ethical or a metaphysical emphasis. The former line of thought is well shown in Santideva, who treats Bodhleitta as the initial impulse and motive power of the religious life com himms intellectual illumination and unselfish devotion to the good of others. Thus regarded it is a guiding and stimulating principle somewhat analogous to the Holy Spirit in Christianity But the Bodhietta is also the essential quality of a Buddha (and the Holy Spirit too is a member of the Trinity) and in so far as a man has the Bodhietta he is one with all Buddhas

¹ Vijila matra Statra. Chines version quoted by Teltaro Surnki. Onli nes of Maldydan Buddhirm, p. 343. Apparently both upidhi and upadhi are used in Buddhist Bandrit. Upidi is the Pall form.

So the Midhyamite Statra (XXV 19) states that there is no difference between 8 mairs and Nirviae. Cl. Rabindranath Tagore, Sarkawa pp. 160-164. E. g. Bolinios-plavatira, chap. i., walled praise of the Bodhleitta.

This conception is perhaps secondary in Buddhism but it is also as old as the Upanishads and only another form of the doctrine that the spirit in every man (antaryâmin) is identical with the Supreme Spirit. It is developed in many works still popular in the Far East¹ and was the fundamental thesis of Bodhidharma, the founder of the Zen school. But the practical character of the Chinese and Japanese has led them to attach more importance to the moral and intellectual side of this doctrine than to the metaphysical and pantheistic side.

 $^{^1}$ E g the P'u t'i han li hang lun (Nanjio, 1304), translated from Nâgârjuna, and the Ta Ch'êng-fa chieh wu ch'a pieh lun, translated from Sthiramati (Nanjio, 1258)

CHAPTER XX

MAHAYANIST SCRIPTURES

In a previous chapter I have discussed the Pall Canon and I shall subsequently have something to say about the Chinese and Tibetan Canons which are libranes of religious and edifying works rather than sacred books similar to the Vedas or the Bible. My present object is to speak of the Sanskirt literature chiefly sutras which appeared contemporaneously with the rise of Mahayanism in India.

The Mahayanist senptures are the largest body of sacred writings oxtant in the world but it is not easy either to define the limits of the Canon or to say when it was put together According to a common tradition Kanishka played for the Church of the Great Vehicle much the same part as Asoka for the Theravadins and summoned a Council which wrote commentanes on the Tripitaka. This may be reasonably held to include a recension of the text commented on but we do not know what that text was and the brief and perplexing accounts of the Council which we possess indicate not that it gave its imprimatur to Mahayanist sutras but that it was specially concerned with the Abhidharma works of the Sarvastivadin school

In any case no Canon formed in the time of Kanishka can have been equivalent to the collections of writings accepted to day in Chuna and Tibet for they contain works later than any date which can be assigned to his reign as do also the nine sacred books revered in Nopal. It was agreed among Indian Buddhiats that the scriptures were divided among the three Pitakas or baskots but we may surmise that there was no manimity as to the precise contents of each basket. In India the need for unanimity in such matters is not felt. The Brah mans always recognized that the most holy and most jealously preserved scriptures could exist in various reconsions and the Mahabharata shows bow generations of respectful and uncritical hearers may allow adventitious matter of all sorts to

be incorporated in a work Something of the same kind happened with the Pitakas We know that the Pali recension which we possess was not the only one, for fragments of a Sanskrit version have been discovered

There was probably a large floating literature of sutras, often presenting several recensions of the same document worked up in different ways Just as additions were made to the list of Upanishads up to the middle ages, although the character of the later works was different from that of the earlier, so new sutras, modern in date and in tone, were received in the capacious basket And just as the Puranas were accepted as sacred books without undermining the authority of the Vedas, so new Buddhist scriptures superseded without condemning the old ones Various Mahayanist schools had their own versions of the Vinaya which apparently contain the same rules as the Pali text but also much additional narrative, and Asanga quotes from works corresponding to the Pali Nikâyas, though his doctrine belongs to another age1 The Abhidharma section of the Pah Canon seems however to have been peculiar to the Theravâda school The Sarvâstıvâdın Pıtaka of the same name was entirely different and, judging from the Chinese Canon, the Mahayanısts gave the title to philosophic works by such authors as Asanga and Vasubandhu, some of which were described as revelations from Maitreya

Specially characteristic of Mahayanist Buddhism are the Vaipulya² sutras, that is sutras of great extension or development. These works, of which the Lotus is an example, follow the same scheme as the older sutras but are of wider scope and on a much larger scale, for they often consist of twenty or more chapters. They usually attempt to give a general exposition of the whole Dharma, or at least of some aspect of it which is

¹ In the Mahâyâna sûtrâlankâra he quotes frequently from the Samyukta and Ekottara Âgamas, corresponding to the Samyutta and Anguttara Nikâyas of the Pali.

² A reading Vaitulya has also been found in some manuscripts of the Lotus discovered at Kashgar and it is suggested that the word may refer to the sect of Vetulias or Vetulyakas mentioned in the Commentary on the Kathâvatthu as holding that the Buddha really remained in the Tushita heaven and sent a phantom to represent him in the world and that it was Ananda, not the Buddha, who preached the law See Kern, Vers en Med der K Ak v Wetenschappen, Letterk, R 4 D viii pp 312-9, Amsterdam, 1907, and De la Vallée Poussin's notice of this article in J R A S 1907, pp 434 6 But this interpretation does not seem very probable

oxtulled as sufficient for the right conduct of life. The chief speaker is usually the Buddha who is introduced as teaching on the Vulture Peak or some other well known locality and surrounded by a great assemblage many of whom are super human beings. The occasion of the discourse is communly signalized by his sending forth rays of light which illuminate the universe until the scene includes other worlds. As early as the Anguttara Nikāyal we find references to the danger of a taste for urnate and poetic sutras and these compositions seem to be the outcome of that taste. The literary ideas and methods which produced them are illustrated by the Sutraiankāra of Aáva ghosha a collection of edifying tales many of which use the materials supplied by the Pali Nikāyas and Vinaya but present them in a more effective and artistic form. It was thought a pious task to amplify and embellish the simple narratives handed down by tradition.

The Mahayanist scriptures are composed in Sansknt not in Pall but it is only rarely -for instance in the works of Asyaghosha -that Buddhist Sanskrit conforms to the rules of the classical language Usually the words deviate from this standard both In form and meaning and often suggest that the text as we have it is a sanskritized version of an older work in some popular dialect brought into partial conformity with literary usage. In the poetical portions this process of sanskritization encountered greater difficulties than in proso because metre and prosody often refused to admit the changes required by grammar so that this poetical dialect cannut be called either Sanskrit Pali ur Magadhi but remains a mixture of learned and popular speech But Sanskrit did nut become a sacred language for the Mahayanists like Latin for Roman Catholics It is rather Pali which has assumed this position among the Hluayanists for Burmese and Sinhalese translations of the Pitakas acquired nu authority But in the nurth the principle that every man might read the Buddha's word in his own vernacular was usually respected and the populations of Contral Asia the Chinese the Tibetans and the Mongols translated the scriptures into their

¹ IV 160. S.

³ See Cullavagga, v 33. The meaning evidently is that the Buddha a words are not to be enshrised in an artificial literary form which will prevent them from being popular.

own languages without attaching any superstitious importance to the original words, unless they were Dhâranîs or spells

About the time of the Christian era or perhaps rather earlier, greater use began to be made of writing for religious purposes The old practice of reciting the scriptures was not discontinued but no objection was made to preserving and reading them in written copies According to tradition, the Pali scriptures were committed to writing in Ceylon during the reign of Vattagâmani, that is according to the most recent chronology about 20 B C, and Kanishka caused to be engraved on copper plates the commentaries composed by the council which he summoned In Aśvaghosha¹ we find the story of a Brahman who casually taking up a book to pass the time lights on a copy of the Sutra of the Twelve Causes and is converted But though the Buddhists remained on the whole true to the old view that the important thing was to understand and disseminate the substance of the Master's teaching and not merely to preserve the text as if it were a sacred formula, still we see growing up in Mahayanist works ideas about the sanctity and efficacy of scripture which are foreign to the Pali Canon Many sutras (for instance the Diamond Cutter) extol themselves as all-sufficient for salvation the Prajñâ-pâramitâ commences with a salutation addressed not as usual to the Buddha but to the work itself, as if it were a deity, and Hodgson states that the Buddhists of Nepal worship their nine sacred books Nor was the idea excluded that certain words, especially formulæ or spells called Dhâranî, have in themselves a mysterious efficacy and potency² Some of these are cited and recommended in the Lotus³ In so far as the repetition of sacred words or spells is regarded as an integral part of the religious life, the doctrine has no warrant in the earlier teaching It obviously becomes more and more promment in later works But the idea itself is old, for it is clearly the same that produced a belief in the Brahmanic mantras, particularly the mantras of the Atharva Veda, and early Buddhism did not reject mantras in their proper place the derties present themselves to the Buddha and offer to teach him a formula which will protect his disciples from the attacks of evil spirits Hsuan Chuang even states that the council which

¹ Sûtrâlankâra, 1 2

² See Waddell, "The Dharani cult" in Oslasiat Zisft 1912, pp 155 ff 3 Chap xxi, which is however a later addition ⁴ Dig Nik. 32

sat at Rājagriha after the Buddha a death compiled five Pitakas one of which consisted of Dhāranis¹ and it may be that the collection of such texts was begun as early as the collection of discourses and rules. But for many centuries there is no evidence that they were in any way confounded with the Dharma

The Mahayanist scriptures are so voluminous that not oven the clergy were expected to master any considerable part of them. Indeed they make no claim to be a connected whole The theory was rather that there were many vehicles plying on the road to salvation and many guide books. No traveller thought of taking the whole library but only a few volumes which suited him. Most of the Chinese and Japanese seets avowedly base themselves upon three sutras selected according to the taste of each school from the hundreds quoted in catalogues. Thus the Tien t al seet has for its scriptures the Lotus the Nirvāna-sūtra and the Prajūā pāramītā while the Shin shu seet admits only the three Amidist sutras.

The following are the names of some of the principal Mahayanist scriptures Comparatively few of them have been published in Europe and some exist only in Chinese or Japanese translations

1 Prajūž pāramitā or transcendental knewledgo³ is a generio name given to a whole literature consisting of treatises on the doctrine of śūnyatā which vary greatly in length. They are classed as sutras being described as discourses delivered by the Buddha on the Vulture Peak. At least ton are knewn besides excerpts which are sometimes described as substantive works. The great collection translated into Chinese by Haūan Chuang is said to consist of 200 000 verses and to comprise extrem different sutras. The earliest translation of oue of these treatises into Chinese (Nanjio 5) was made about 170 a d and

The Mahavyutpatti (65) gives a list of 105 sutras.

The word paramitk mes as an adjective yone to the further shore or transcendent. As a feminine substantive it means a transcendent virtue or perfection.

¹ Wattern, Yaon Choung H. p. 160.

⁸⁻os Walksor Prajadpiramild in Quellen der Religionsgrachichte, pp. 15 ff. 8.B.E XIIX. Nanjao, Catalogue Noa. 1-20 and Rajendralia Mitra s Nepolaes Buddita Lieraturs, pp. 171 ff. veridous are mentioned consisting of 125,000 ceta, 10,000 v = 4, 25,000 ceta, 10,000 verses and 8000 ceta a respectively (Bimil by at the beginning of the Mahabblaria we are told that the Epic consists of 8800 verses, of \$4,000 and of 100,000.) Of these the last or Ashterbarrith has been published in the Bibliothere Indica and the second or Sai. Ab wilk is in process

everything indicates that portions of the Prajñâ-pâramitâ are among the earliest Mahayanist works and date from about the first century of our era Prajñâ not only means knowledge of the absolute truth, that is to say of śûnyatâ or the void, but is regarded as an ontological principle synonymous with Bodhi and Dharma-kâya. Thus Buddhas not only possess this knowledge in the ordinary sense but they are the knowledge manifest in human form, and Prajñâ is often personified as a goddess All these works lay great stress on the doctrine of śûnyatâ, and the non-existence of the world of experience. The longest recension is said to contain a polemic against the Hinayana.

The Diamond Cutter is one of the best known of these transcendental treatises and the two short works called Heart of the Prajñâpâramitâ, which are widely read in Japan, appear to be brief abstracts of the essence of this teaching

2 The Saddharma-pundarîka, or Lotus of the Good Law¹, is one of the best known Mahayanist sutras and is highly esteemed in China and Japan. It purports to be a discourse delivered by Sākyamuni on the Vulture Peak to an assemblage of Bodhisattvas. The Lotus clearly affirms the multiplicity of vehicles, or various ways of teaching the law, and also the eternity of the Buddha, but it does not emphasize, although it mentions, the doctrine of śûnyatâ. The work consists of two parts of which the second (chaps xxi-xxvi) is a later addition. This second part contains spells and many mythological narratives, including one of an ancient Bodhisattva who burnt himself alive in honour of a former Buddha. Portions of the Lotus were translated into Chinese under the Western Tsin Dynasty 265–316 A D. and it is quoted in the Mahâ-prajñâ-pâramitâ-sâstra ascribed to Nâgârjina². The first part is

of publication It is in prose, so that the expression "verses" appears not to mean that the works are Gâthâs A Khotanese version of the Vajracchedikâ is edited in Hoernle's Manuscript Remains by Sten Konow The Sanskrit text was edited by Max Müller in Anecdota Oxoniensia

¹ The Sanskrit text has been edited by Kern and Nanjio in Bibliotheca Buddhica, translated by Burnouf (Le Lotus de la bonne Loi), 1852 and by Kern (Saddharmapundarîka) in S B E vol. xxi

² There appears to have been an earlier Chinese version of 255 AD but it has been lost. See Nanjio, p. 390. One of the later Chinese versions alludes to the existence of two recensions (Nanjio, No. 139). See BEFEO 1911, p. 453. Fragments of a shorter and apparently earlier recension of the Lotus have been discovered in E. Turkestan. See JRAS 1916, pp. 269-277.

probably not later than the first century AD. The Lotus is unfortunately accessible to English readers only in a most importic translation by the late Professor Kern but it is a great religious poem which starting from humanity regards religion as cosmic and universal, rather than something mainly concerned with our earth. The discourses of Sakyamuni are accompanied in it by stupendous miracles columnating in a grand cosmic phantasmagona in which is evoked the stupa containing the body of a departed Buddha that is a shrine containing the cernal truth

- 3 The Lahta vistara1 is a life of Sakvamuni up to the com mencement of his mission. Though the setting of the story is miraculous and Buddhas and Bodhisattyas innumerable are freely spoken of, yet the work does not enunciate the character istic Mahayanist doctrines so definitely as the other treatises here enumerated. It is said to have originally belonged to the school of the Sarvastivadins and to have been sobsequently accepted by the Mahayanists and though it is not an epic but a collection of ballads and legends yet it often reads as if it were a preliminary study for Asyaghosha's Buddhacarita It contains Sanskrit versions of old legends which are almost verbal renderings of the Pali text but also new material and seems to be conscious of relating novelties which may arouse scepticism for it interrupts the narrative to anathematize those who do not believe in the miracles of the Nativity and to extol the merits of faith (fraddha not bhakti) It is probably covral with the earlier Gandharan art but there are no facts to fix its fata5
- 4 The Lankāvatāra gives an account of the revelation of the good Law by Sākyamuni when visiting Lanka It is pre sumably subsequent to the period when Ceylon had become a

The early Chinese translations seem doubtful. One said to have been made

under the later Han has been lost. See Nanjio No. 159

¹ Edited by Rajendralais littra in the Bibliothera Indice and partially translated in the same series. A later critical edition by Leimann, 1902-8.

⁸ See Burnoul Introduction, pp. 455 ff. and J.R.A.B. 1905, pp. 831 ff. Rajen drall, Mitra, Napoline Buddiet Literature, p. 113. A brief analysis is given in J.A.S.B. June 1905 according to which the sitra professes to be the work of a human author. June of the clan of Käryäyna born at Campå. An edition of the Sandritt text published by the Buddisht Taxt Bookely is cited but I have not seen it. Crin translations were made in 443 and 515 but the first is incomplete and does not so supend with our 8- brit text.

centre of Buddhism, but the story is pure fancy and unconnected with history or with older legends. It relates how the Buddha alighted on Mt Malaya in Lanka Ravana came to pay his respects and asked for definitions of virtue and vice which were given The Bodhisattva Mahâmati (apparently Mañjuśrî) proceeded to propound a series of more abstruse questions which are answered at considerable length. The Lankavatara represents a mature phase of speculation and not only criticizes the Sânkhya, Pâsupata and other Hindu schools, but is conscious of the growing resemblance of Mahayanism to Brahmanic philosophy and tries to explain it It contains a prophecy about Nâgârjuna and another which mentions the Guptas, and it appears to allude to the domination of the Huns This allusion would make its date as late as the sixth century but a translation into Chinese which is said to correspond with the Sanskrit text was made in 513 If so the barbarians referred to cannot be the Huns An earlier translation made in 443 does not agree with our Sanskrit text and perhaps the work existed in several recensions

- 5 The Suvarna-prabhâsa or Glitter of Gold¹ is a Vaipulya sûtra in many ways resembling the Lotus It insists on the supernatural character of the Buddha He was never really born nor entered into Nirvana but is the Dharma-kâya The scene is laid at Râjagriha and many Brahmanic deities are among the interlocutors It was translated into Chinese about 420 A D and fragments of a translation into Uigur have been discovered in Turkestan² The contents comprise philosophy, legends and spells
- 6 Ganda-vyûha³ or the Structure of the World, which is compared to a bubble. The name is not found in the catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka but the work is said to be the same as the Avatamsaka sûtra which is popular in the Far East under the name of Hua-yên in China or Ke-gon in Japan. The identity of the two books could not have been guessed from the extracts and analyses which have been published but is guaranteed by

Abstract by Rajendralala Mitra, Nepalese Buddhist Lit p 241

² See Nanjio, No 127 and F W K Muller in Abhandl der K Preuss Akad der Wissenschaften, 1908 The Uigur text is published in Bibliotheca Buddhica, 1914 Fragments of the Sanskrit text have also been found in Turkestan

³ Abstract by Raj Mitra, Nepalese Buddhist Lit pp 90 ff The Śikshâsamuccaya cites the Ganda-vyûha several times and does not mention the Avatamsaka.

high aothantics. It is possible however that the Ganda vyuha is only a portian of the larger work called Avatamaska. So far as can be judged from the extracts this text praches in a fully developed farm the doctrines of Sûnyatê Dharma kâya tha omnipresence af the Boddha and the redemption of the world by the exertions of Boddhattvas. Let it seems to be early for a portion of it was translated into Chinese about 170 a d (Aanjie 102) and about 405 kumârajiya translated a commentary on it asenbed to Nagăriuna (Aanjie 1180)

7 Tathagata-guhyaka This work is known by the analysis of Rejendralala Mitra from which it appears to be a Tantra of the worst class and probably late. Its proper title is said to be Sriguhyasamaja. Watanabe states that the work catalogued by Nanjle under No. 1027 and translated into Chineso about 1000 A.D is an expurgated version of it. The Sikshāsamuecaya cites the Tathagata-guhya saitra severai times. The relations of

these works to one another are not quite clear

8 Samādhirāja* is a Vyākaraņa or narratīva describing different forms af meditatian of which the Samādhirāja is tha greatest and best. The sceno is laid on the Vuiture e Peak and the principal interlocutors are Sākyamuni and Candraprabha a neh man af Rājagiha. It appears to be the same as the Candrapradipa-sūtra and is a complete and copious treatise which not only expounds the topic from which it takes its name but inedentally enumerates the chief principles of Mahayanism Watanabe* states that it is the Yūch teng san mei-ching (Nanjio 191) translated about 450 and again in 557 a D

9 Dasabhômikram. An account of the ten stages io the career of a Bodhisattva before he can attain to Buddhahood The scene is laid in the paradise of Indra where Såkyamuni was temporarily sojourning and the principal interlocutor is a Bodhisattva named Vajingarbba. It is said to be the same as the Dasabhômika-aûtra first translated lote Chinese about 300 A p

Abstract by Raj Mitra, Nepolem Buddhist Lit, pp. 81 ff. Quoted in

Šintideva s Borthio-vyšvatára, vm. 100. Sec J.R.A.S 1911 603.

¹ The statement was first made on the authority of Takakum quoted by Winternitz in Ges. Ind. Lif. II. i. p. 4... Watanabo in J.R.A.S. 1011 663 makes an equally definite statement as to the identity of the two works. The identity is confirmed by Palliot in J.A. 1914. II. pp. 118-121

Abstract by Raj Mitra, A spolese Buddhed Lift, pp. 81 ff.

(Nanjio, 105 and 110) but this work appears to be merely a portion of the Ganda-vyûha or Avatamsaka mentioned above

These nine works are all extant in Sanskrit and are known in Nepal as the nine Dharmas, the word Dharma being an abbreviation for *Dharmaparyâya*, revolution or exposition of the law, a term frequently used in the works themselves to describe a comprehensive discourse delivered by the Buddha. They are all quoted in the Sikshâsamuccaya, supposed to have been written about 650 and No similar collection of nine seems to be known in Tibet or the Far East and the origin of the selection is obscure. As however the list does not include the Svayambhû Purâna, the principal indigenous scripture of Nepal, it may go back to an Indian source and represent an old tradition.

Besides the nine Dharmas, numerous other sûtras exist in Sanskrit, Chinese, Tibetan and the languages of Central Asia Few have been edited or translated and even when something is known of their character detailed information as to their contents is usually wanting. Among the better known are the following

- 10 One of the sûtras most read in China and admired because its style has a literary quality unusual in Buddhist works is commonly known as the Lêng-yen-ching. The full title is Shou-lêng-yen-san-mei-ching which is the Chinese transliteration of Sûrangama Samâdhi¹. This sutra is quoted by name in the Sikshâsamuccaya and fragments of the Sanskrit text have been found in Turkestan². The Sûrangama-Samâdhi Sûtra has been conjectured to be the same as the Samâdhirâja, but the accounts of Rajendralala Mitra and Beal do not support this theory. Beal's translation leaves the impression that it resembles a Pali sutta. The scene is laid in the Jetavana with few miraculous accessories. The Buddha discusses with Ânanda the location of the soul and after confuting his theories expounds the doctrine of the Dharma-kâya. The fragments found in Turkestan recommend a particular form of meditation.
- 11 Târanâtha informs us that among the many Mahayanist works which appeared in the reign of Kanishka's son was the

¹ Translated in part by Beal, Catena of Buddhist Scriptures, pp 286-369 See also Teitaro Suzuki, Outlines of Maháyána, p 157 For notices of the text see Nanjio, Nos 399, 446, 1588 Fa Hsien, chap xxix For the equivalence of Shou lêng yen and Sûrangama see Nanjio's note to No 399 and Juhen, Méthode, 1007 and Vasilief, p 175

² See Sikshas, ed Bendall, pp 8,91 and Hoernle, Manuscript remains, 1 pp 125 ff

Ratna-kûţa-dharma paryâya in 1000 sections and the Ratnakûţa is cated not only by the Sikshasamuccaya hat hy Asanga1 The Tibetan and Chinese canons contain sections with this name comprising forty-eight or forty nino items among which are the three important treatises about Amitabha a paradise ond many dialogues called Paripriccha that is questions put by some personage human or superhuman and furnished with appropriate replies2 The Chinese Ratnakûta is said to have been compiled by Bodhiruchi (693-713 A D) hut of course ho is responsible only for the selection not for the composition of the works included Section 14 of this Ratnakûta is said to be identical with chapters 11 and 12 of the Mulasarvastivadin Vinava*

12 The Guna karanda vyaha and Karanda vyaha are said to be two recensions of the same work the first in verse the second in prose Both are devoted to the praise of Avalokita who is represented as the presiding deity of the universe. Ho has refused to enter Buddhahood himself until all hving creatures attain to true knowledge and is specially occupied in procuring the release of those who suffer in hell. The Guna karanda vyuha contains a romarkable occount of the origin of the world which is said to be absent from the prose version The primeval Buddha spirit Adi Buddha or Svavamhhu produces Avalekita hy meditation and Avalekito produces the material world and the gods of Hinduism from his body Siva from his forehead, Narayana from his heart and so on As such doctrines are not known to have appeared in Indian Buddhism before the tenth century it seems prohable that the versified edition is late But a work with the title Ratna karandaka vyûha-sûtra was translated into Chinese in 270 and the Karanda vyûha is said to have been the first work translated into Tibetan 4

¹ Mahayana-chiral | 14ra, xxx. 29.

A.g. the Rashtra-pala paripricoha edited in Sanskrit by Finet, Biblioth. Buddhicz, 1901 The Sa krit text seems to agree with the Chinese version. The real number of sitras in the Rain with secons to be 48, two being practically the same but premuted as uttered on different occasions,

There is another somewhat simil collection of stitres in the Chinese Canon called Ta Tel or Mahammiphia but unlike the Ratnaküta it seems to contain few well-known or popular works.

I know of these works only by Raj Mitra s abstracts, Mepal, Bud. Lil. pp. 95 and 101 The prose text is said to have been published in Samskrit at Calentta, 1873.

- The Karunâ-pundarîka¹ or Lotus of Compassion is mainly occupied with the description of an imaginary continent called Padmadhâtu, its Buddha and its many splendours It exists in Sanskrit and was translated into Chinese about 400 A D. (Nanjio, No 142)
- 14 The Mahâvairocanâbhisambhodhi called in Chinese Ta-11h-ching or Great Sun sutra should perhaps be mentioned as it is the principal scripture of the Chên-yen (Japanese Shingon) school It is a late work of unknown origin. It was translated into Chinese in 724 a D but the Sanskrit text has not been found

There are a great number of other sutras which are important for the history of literature, although little attention is paid to them by Buddhists at the present day Such are the Mahayanist version of the Mahâparınırvâna recounting the death and burial of the Buddha and the Mahâsannıpâta-sûtra, which apparently includes the Sûryagarbha and Candragarbha sûtras All these works were translated into Chinese about 420 AD and must therefore be of respectable antiquity

Besides the sutras, there are many compositions styled Avadânas or pious legends² These, though recognized by Mahayanısts, do not as a rule contain expositions of the Sûnyatâ and Dharma-kâya and are not sharply distinguished from the more imaginative of the Hinayanist scriptures3 But they introduce a multiplicity of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas and represent Sâkyamunı as a superhuman worker of miracles

They correspond in many respects to the Pali Vinaya but teach right conduct not so much by precept as by edifying stories and, like most Mahayanist works they lay less stress upon monastic discipline than on unselfish virtue exercised throughout successive existences There are a dozen or more collections of Avadânas of which the most important are the Mahâvastu and the Divyâvadâna The former is an encyclopædic work which contains inter alia a life of Sakyamını It describes itself as

¹ Raj Mitra, Nepalese Buddhist Lit pp 285 ff The Sanskrit text was published for the Buddhist Text Society, Calcutta, 1898

² Avadana 15 primarily a great and glorious act hence an account of such an act

³ The Avadâna sataka (Feer, Annales du Musée Guimet, XVIII) seems to be entirely Hinayanist

⁴ Edited by Senart, 3 vols 1882-1897 Windisch, Die Komposition des Mahavastu, 1909 Article "Mahavastu" in ERE

belonging to the Lokottaravadins a section of the Aryamaha sanghikas. The Lokottaravadins were an ancient sect precursors of the Mahayana rather than a branch of it and much of the Mahavastn is parallel to the Pali Canon and may have been composed a century or two before our cra But other parts seem to belong to the Gandharan period and the mention of Chinese and Hunnish writing points to a much later date! If It was originally a Vinaya treatise It has been distended out of all recognition by the addition of legends and anecdotes but it still retains a certain amount of matter found also in the Pali and Tibetan Vinayas. There were probably several recensions in which successive additions were made to the original nucleus One interpolation is the lengthy and important section called Dasabhûmika, describing the career of a Bodhisattva It is the only part of the Mahavastn which can be called definitely Mahayanist The rest of the work marks a transitional stage in doctrino just as its language is neither Prakrit or Sanskrit but some ancient vernacular brought into partial conformity with Sanskrit grammar No Chinese translation is known.

The Divyåvadāna³ is a collection of legends, part of which is known as the Asokāvadāna and gives an edifying life of that pious monarch. This portion was translated into Chinese A D 317-420 and the work probably dates from the third century of our era. It is loosely constructed considerable portions of it seem to be identical with the Vinaya of the Sarvāstivādins and others with passages in the works of Aśvaghosha.

The Avadanaa lie on the borderland between scripture and pious literature which uses human argument and refers to scripture for its anthonty Of this literature the Mahayanist church has a goodly collection and the works ascribed to such doctors as Aévaghoaha Nagarjuna Asanga and Vasubandhu hold a high place in general esteem The Chinese Canon places many of them in the Pitakas (especially in the Ahhidharma Pitaka) and not among the works of miscellaneous writers

The Mahayanist scriptures are still a living force In Nepal the nine Dharmas receive superstitious homage rather than

So too do the words Horspithaka (astrologer), Ujjbebhaka († Uzbek), Peli yelsh († Felix). The word Yogichra (t. 170) may refer simply to the practice of Yogis and not to the school which bore this n m

Edited by Cowell and Nell, 1886. See Nanjio, 1344.

intelligent study, but in Tibet and the Far East the Prajñapâramitâ, the Lotus and the sutras about Amitâbha are in daily use for public worship and private reading. I have heard the first-named work as well as the Lêng-yen-ching expounded, that is, read aloud with an extempore paraphrase, to lay congregations in China, and the section of it called the Diamond Cutter is the book which is most commonly in the hands of religious The Lotus is the special scripture of the Nichiren sect in Japan but is universally respected. The twenty-fourth chapter which contains the praises of Avalokita is often printed separately The Amitabha sûtras take the place of the New Testament for the Jodo and Shin sects and copies of them may also be found in almost every monastery throughout China and Annam The Suvarnaprabhâsa is said to be specially popular among the Mongols I know Chinese Buddhists who read the Hua-yen (Avatamsaka) every day Modern Japanese wiiters quote frequently from the Lankâvatâra and Kâśyapa-parıvarta but I have not met with any instance of these works being in popular use

I have mentioned already the obscurity surrounding the history of the Mahayanist Canon in India and it may seem to throw doubt on the authenticity of these scriptures Unauthentic they certainly are in the sense that European criticism is not likely to accept as historical the discourses which they attribute to the Buddha and others, but there is no reason to doubt that they are treatises composed in India early in our era and representing the doctrines then prevalent The religious public of India has never felt any difficulty in accepting works of ment and often only very moderate ment as revelations, whether called Upanishads, Puranas, Sutras or what not Only rarely have such works received any formal approbation, such as recognition by a council Indeed it is rather in Ceylon, Burma, Tibet and China than in India itself that authoritative lists of scriptures have been compiled. The natural instinct of the Hindus was not to close the Canon but to leave it open for any additions which might be vouchsafed

Two sketches of an elastic Mahayanist Canon of this kind are preserved, one in the Sikshâsamuccaya¹ attributed to Sântideva, who probably flourished in the seventh century, and

¹ Edited by Bendall in Bibl Buddhica

the other in a little work called the Doration of the Law reporting a discourse by an otherwise unknown Nandimitra said to have heed in Ceylon 800 years after the Buddha's death. The former is a compendium of doctrine illustrated by quotations from what the author regarded as scripture. He cites about a hundred Mahayanist sutras refers to the Vinaya and Divya vadana hat not apparently to the Abhidharma. He mentions no Tantras' and not many Dharanis.

The second work was translated by Hsuan Chuang and was therefore prohably written before 600 A D 2 Otherwise there is uo external evidonce for fixing its dato. It represents Nandi mitra as explaining ou his deathbed the steps taken by the Buddha to protect the True Law and in what works that Law is to be found. Lake the Chinese Tripitaks it recognizes both Mahayanist and Hinayanist works but ovidently prefers the former and styles them collectively Bodhisattva Pitaka It onumerates about fifty sutras by namo beginning with the Praina paramita the Letus and other well known texts. Then comes a list of works with titles ending in Samadhi followed by others called Paripriecha or questions A now category seems to be formed by the Buddhavatameaka sutra with which the sutras about Amitabha s Paradiso are associated Thon comes the Maha-annipata sutra associated with works which may correspond to the Ratoakûţa division of the Chinese Canon The writer adds that there are hundreds of myriads of similar sutras classified in groups and categories. He montions the Vinaya and Ahhidharma without further particulars whoreas in describing the Hinayanist versions of these two Pitakas ho gives many details

The importance of this list lies in the fact that it is Indian rather than in its date for the earliest catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka compiled about 510 is perhaps older and certainly

Nanjio, No. 1466. For a learned discussion of this work see Lévi and Chavannes in J.A. 1916, Nos. 1 and II.

It is not likely that the Tathagatha-gubya-stirs which it quotes is the same as the Tantra with a similar name analysed by Rajendralal Mitra.

Watters, J.R.A.S. 1898, p. 331 says there seems to have been an earlier tra 1 flor.

Many works with this title will be found in Nanjio.

But the Chinese title seem rather to reps and Ratnarial.

See Nanjio, pp. zill zvil.

ampler But if the catalogue stood alone, it might be hard to say how far the selection of works in it was due to Chinese taste But taking the Indian and Chinese evidence together, it is clear that in the sixth century Indian Mahayanists (a) tolerated Hinayanist scriptures while preferring their own, (b) made little use of the Vinaya or Abhidharma for argument or edification, though the former was very important as a code, (c) recognized extremely numerous sutias, grouped in various classes such as Mahâsannipâta and Buddhâvatamsaka, (d) and did not use works called Tantras Probably much the same is true of the fourth century and even earlier, for Asanga in one work¹ quotes both Maha- and Hinayanist scriptures and among the former cites by name seventeen sutras, including one called Paripricchâ or questions

Mahâyâna sûtrâlankâra See Lévi's introduction, p 14 The "Questions" sutra is Brabma paripricchâ

CHAPTER XXI

CHRONOLOGY OF THE MAHAYANA

In the previous chapters I have enumerated some features of Mahayanism such as the worship of Bodhisattvas leading to mythology the defication of Buddhas entailing a theology as complicated as the Christian creeds the combination of mota physics with religion, and the rise of new scriptores conscerning all these innovations. I will now essay the more difficult task of arranging these phecomena in some sort of chronological setting.

The voluminous Chinese literature concerning Buddhism offers valuable assistance for the Chinese unlike the Hindus have a natural disposition to write simple narratives recording facts and dates. But they are diarists and chroniclers rather than historians. The Chinese pilgrims to India give a good account of their itinerary and experiences hint they have little idea of investigating and arranging past events and merely recount traditions connected with the places which they visited In spite of this their statements have considerable historical value and on the whole harmonize with the literary and archeological data furnished by India

The Tibetan Lema Târanātha who completed his History of Indian Buddhism¹ in 1608 is a less satisfactory authority He merits attention but also scopticism and caution. His work is a compilation but is not to be despised on that ground for the Tibetan translations of Sanakrit works offer a rich mine of information about the history of the Mahayana. Unfortunately few of these works take the historical point of view and Târa nâthas own method is as uncritical as his materials. Dire confusion prevails as to chronology and even as to names¹ so

¹ Translated by Schlefour 1860. Tärandtha informs us (p. 281) that his chief suthwittle were the history of Kabemeodrabhdrs, the Buddhapurins of Indra data and Bhataghati's history of the succ. — of the Adryas.

The Tibetans goverally translate instead of transliterating Indian names. It is as if an English history of Greece were to speak of Leader of the People instead of Agestians.

that the work is almost useless as a connected account, though it contains many interesting details

Two epochs are of special importance for the development of later Indian Buddhism, that of Kanishka and that of Vasubandhu and his brother Asanga The reader may expect me to discuss at length the date of Kanishka's accession, but I do not propose to do so for it may be hoped that in the next few years archæological research in India or Central Asia will fix the chronology of the Kushans and meanwhile it is waste of time to argue about probabilities or at any rate it can be done profitably only in special articles At present the majority of scholars place his accession at about 78 AD, others put it back to 58 B C and arrange the Kushan kings in a different order1, while still others2 think that he did not come to the throne until the second century was well advanced The evidence of art, particularly of numismatics, indicates that Kanishka reigned towards the end of his dynasty rather than at the beginning, but the use of Greek on his coins and his traditional connection with the beginnings of the Mahayana are arguments against a very late date If the date 78 AD is accepted, the conversion of the Yueh-chih to Buddhism and its diffusion in Central Asia cannot have been the work of Kanishka, for Buddhism began to reach China by land about the time of the Christian era3 There is however no reason to assume that they were his work Kanishka, like Constantine, probably favoured a winning cause, and Buddhism may have been gradually making its way among the Kushans and their neighbours for a couple of centuries before his time In any case, however important his reign may

The catalogues of the Chinese Tripitaka state that An Shih Kao (148–170 a d) translated the Mârgabhûmi sûtraof Sangharaksha, who was the chaplain of Kanishka But this unfortunately proves nothing except that Kanishka cannot have been very late. The work is not a scripture for whose recognition some lapse of time must be postulated. An Shih kao, who came from the west, may very well have translated a recent and popular treatise.

¹ They place Kamshka, Vâsishka, Huvishka and Vasudeva before Kadphises I and Kadphises II

² E g Staël Holstein who also thinks that Kanishka's tribe should be called Kusha not Kushan Vincent Smith in his latest work (Oxford History of India, p 130) gives 120 A D, as the most probable date

My chief difficulty in accepting 78-123 AD as the reign of Kanishka is that the Chinese Annals record the doings of Pan Ch'ao between 73 and 102 in Central Asia, with which region Kanishka is believed to have had relations, and yet do not mention his name. This silence makes it prima facie probable that he lived either before or after Pan Ch'ao's career

texts and inscriptions goes to show that the Buddhists of Asoka's time held the chief doctrines subsequently professed by the Simhalese Church and did not hold the other set of doctrines known as Mahayanist That these latter are posterior in time is practically admitted by the books that teach them, for they are constantly described as the crown and completion of a progressive revelation Thus the Lotus illustrates the evolution of doctrine by a story which curiously resembles the parable of the produgal son except that the returned penitent does not recognize his father, who proceeds to reveal gradually his name and position, keeping back the full truth to the last Similarly it is held in the Far East that there were five periods in Sâkyamuni's teaching which after passing through the stage of the Hınayana cıılmınated ın the Prajñâ-pâramıtâ and Amıtâbha sutras shortly before his death. Such statements admit the historical priority of the Hinayana it is rudimentary (that is early) truth which needs completion and expansion Many marries demur to the assumption that primitive Buddhism was marries demur to the assumption that primitive Buddhism was married buddhism was ma a system of ethics purged of superstition and mythology And $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ in a way they are right Could we get hold of a primitive Buddhist, we should probably find that miracles, magic, and superhuman beings played a large part in his mind and that the Buddha did not appear to him as what we call a human teacher In that sense the germs of the Mahayana existed in the lifetime of Gotama But the difference between early and later Buddhism lies in this, that the deities who surround the Buddha in the Pali Pitakas are mere accessories his teaching would not be affected if they were all removed But the Bodhisattvas in the Lotus or the Sutra of the Happy Land have a doctrinal significance

Though in India old ideas persist with unusual vitality, still even there they can live only if they either develop or gather round them new accretions As one of the religions of India, Buddhism was sensitive to the general movement of Indian thought, or rather it was a part of that movement We see as clearly in Buddhist as in non-Buddhist India that there was a tendency to construct philosophic systems and another tendency to create deities satisfying to the emotions as well as to the intellect and yet another tendency to compose new scriptures But apart

1 Chap ry 171893



from this parallel development at becomes clear after the Christian era that Buddhism is becoming surrounded by Handu ism. The influence is not indeed one sided, there is interdopen dence and interpenetration but the net result is that the general Indian features of each religious period overpower the specially Buddhist features and in the end we find that while Hinduism has only been profoundly modified Buddhism has vanished

If we examine the Pali Pitakas including the heresics mentioned in the Kathavatthu we find that they contain the germs of many Mahayanist Ideas Thus side by side with the human portrait of the Buddha there is the doctrine that he is one in a series of supernatural teachers each with the same his history and this life is connected with the whole course of nature as is shown by the sympathetic carthquakes which mark its crises. His hirth is supernatural and had he willed it he could have lived until the end of the present haipa1 So too the nature of a Buddha when he is released from form that is after death is deep and unfathomnblo as the ocean? The Kntha vatthu condomns the ideas (thus showing that they existed) that Buddhas are born in all quarters of the universe that the Buddha was superhuman in the ordinary affairs of hie that he was not really born in the world of men and that he did not preach the Law himself These last two heresles are attributed by the commentary to the Vetulyakas who are said to have believed that he remained in the Tushlta heaven and sent a plantom to preach on earth. Here we have the rudiments of the doctrine afterwards systematized under the name of the three bodies of Buddha Similarly though Mrvana is regarded as primarily an othical state the Pali Canon contains the expression Niriana dhatu and the idea that Nirvana is a sphere or realm (dvatanam) which transcends the transitory world and in which such antitheses are coming and going birth and death cease to exist This foreshadows the doctrine of Bhûta tathatû and we seem to hear a prejude to the dialectle of Nagarjuna when the Katha vatthu discusses whether Suffilata or the void is predicable of the Skandhas and when it condomns the views that anything now existing existed in the past and that knowledge of the present is possible (whereas the moment anything is known it

¹ Mahaparinib, Sut. 111. 9 Udána, viit. 1-4,

^{*} Majj Nik, 72.

is really past) The Kathâvatthu also condemns the proposition that a Bodhisattva can be reborn in realms of woe or fall into error, and this proposition hints that the career of a Bodhisattva was considered of general interest

The Mahayana grows out of the Hinayana and in many respects the Hinayana passes into it and is preserved unchanged It is true that in reading the Lotus we wonder how this marvellous cosmic vision can represent itself as the teaching of Gotama, but the Buddhacarita of Aśvaghosha, though embellished with literary mythology, hardly advances in doctrine beyond the Pali sutras describing the marvels of the Buddha's nativity1 and the greater part of Nagarjuna's Friendly Epistle, which purports to contain an epitome of the faith, is in phraseology as well as thought perfectly in harmony with the Pali Canon Whence comes this difference of tone in works accepted by the same school? One difficulty of the historian who essays to account for the later phases of Buddhism is to apportion duly the influence of Indian and foreign elements On the one hand, the Mahayana, whether we call it a development or perversion, is a product of Indian thought To explain its trinities, its saviours, its doctrine of self sacrifice it is not necessary to seek abroad New schools, anxious to claim continuity and antiquity, gladly retained as much of the old doctrine as they could But on the other hand, Indian Buddhism came into contact with foreign, especially Iranian, ideas and undoubtedly assimilated some of them From time to time I have drawn attention to such cases in this work, but as a rule the foreign ideas are so thoroughly mastered and indianized that they cease to be obvious merely open up to Indian thought a new path wherein it can move in its own way

In the period following Asoka's death Buddhism suffered a temporary eclipse. Pushyamitra who in 184 B.c. overthrew the Mauryas and established the Singa dynasty was a patron of the Brahmans. Târanâtha describes him² as a ferocious persecutor, and the Divyâvadâna supports the story. But the persecution, if it really occurred, was probably local and did not seriously check the spread of Buddhism, which before the time of Kanishka had extended northwards to Bactria and Kashmir. The latter territory became the special home of the Sarvâstivâdins. It was

¹ Accariyabbhutasuttam Majj Nik 123

in the reign of Pushyamitra that the Greece-Bactrian king Menander or Milinda invaded India (155–3 m c) and there were many other invasions and settlements of tribes coming from the north west and variously described as Sakas Pahlavas Parthians and Yavanas columinating in the conquests of the Kushans Thewhole period was disturbed and confused int some general statements can be made with considerable confidence

From about 300 B c to 100 A.p we find inscriptions buildings and statues testifying to the piety of Buddhist and Jain donors but hardly any indications of a similar liberality to Brahmans In the second and third centuries A.D grants of land to Brahmans and their temples begin to be recorded and in the fourth century (that is with the rise of the Gupta Dynasty) such grants become frequent. These facts can hardly be inter preted otherwise than as meaning that from 300 B C to 100 A D the upper classes of India favoured Buddhism and Jaimsm and did not favour the Brahmans in the same way or to the same extent But it must be remembered that the religion of the Brahmans continued throughout this period and produced a copious hterature and also that the absence of works of art may be due to the fact that their worship was performed in sacrificial enclosures and that they had not yet begun to use temples and statues After the first century AD we have first a gradual and then a rapid rise in Brahmanio influence Inscriptions as well as books indicate that a linguistic change occurred in the same period. At first popular dialects were regarded as sufficiently dignified and current to be the medium for both scripture and official records Sanskrit remained a thing apartthe peculiar possession of the Brahman literati Then the popular language was sanskritized the rules of Sanskrit grammar being accepted as the standard to which it ought to conform though perfect conformity was impracticable. In much the same way the modern Greeks try to hring Romaic into line with classical Greek. Finally Sanskrit was recognized as the proper language for literature government and religion. The earliest inscriptions1 in correct Sanskrit seem to date from the second century A.D. Further the invaders who entered India from the

That of Rudershams at Girnar dated 72 in the Saka Kra, has hitherto been comel level the oldest, but it is now said that one discovered at Isapur near Muttra is older Sea J.R.A.B. 1912, p. 114.

north-west favoured Buddhism on the whole Coins indicate that some of them worshipped Siva1 but the number and beauty of Buddhist monuments erected under their rule can hardly be interpreted except as a sign of their patronage And their conversion was natural for they had no strong religious convictions of their own and the Brahmans with their pride of caste shrank from foreigners But Buddhism had no prejudice of race or class it was animated by a missionally spirit and it was probably the stronger creed at this period. It not only met the invaders on their entry into India but it sent missionaries to them in Bactria and Afghanistan, so that to some extent they brought Buddhism with them But it was a Buddhism combined with the most varied elements Hellenic art and religion had made the figures of Apollo, Herakles and Helios familiar in Bactria, and both Bactria and northern India were in touch with The mixed cults of these borderlands readily Zoroastrians professed allegiance to the Buddha but, not understanding Indian ideas, simply made him into a deity and having done this were not likely to repudiate other Indian deities its outward form the Buddhism of the invaders tended to be a compound of Indian, Greek and Persian ideas in which Sun worship played a large part, for not only Indian myths, but Apollo and Helios and the Persian Mithra all entered into it Persian influence in art is discernible as early as the architecture of Asoka in doctrine it has something to do with such figures as Vairocana and Amitâbha Græco-Roman influence also was powerful in art and through art affected religion In Asoka's time likenesses of the Buddha were unknown and the adoration of images, if not entirely due to the art of Gandhara, was at least encouraged by 1t

But though coins and sculpture bring clearly before us a medley of deities corresponding to a medley of human races, they do not help us much in tracing the growth of thought, phases of which are preserved in a literature sufficiently copious though the record sometimes fails at the points of transition where it would be of most interest. It is natural that sacred books should record accepted results rather than tentative innovations and even disguise the latter. But we can fix a few dates which enable us to judge what shape Buddhism was taking

about the time of the Christian era. The Tibetan historian Taranatha is not of much help for his chronology is most confused but still he definitely connects the appearance of Mahayamst texts with the reign of Kanishka and the period immediately following it1 and regards them as a new pheno menon Greater assistance is furnished by the Chineso tran laters whose dates are known with some exactitude. Thus the earliest Baddhist work rendered into Chinese is said to be the sutra of forty two sections, translated by Kasyana Matanga in 67 a D It consists of extracts or resumes of the Buddhas teaching mostly prefaced by the words. The Buddha said doubtiess in imitation of the Confucian Analests where the introductors formula. The master said plays a similar part. Its fileas and precepts are Hinayanist? the Arhat is held up as the ideal and In a remarkable passage? where the degrees of sanctity are graded and compared no mention is made of Bodhisattyas This first translation was followed by a long series of others principally from the Sûtra Pitaka, for very little of the Vinaya was translated before the fifth century. A great number of Hinavanist sutras were translated before 300 A p but very few after 450 On the other hand portlons of the sutra about Amida s Paradise of the Prains paramita and of the Avatamenka were translated about 150 a p and translations of the Lotus and Lalita vistara appeared about 300

Great caution is necessary in using these data and the circumstances of China as well as of India must be taken into account. If translations of the Vinaya and complete collections of sutras are late in appearing it does not follow that the corresponding Indian texts are late for the used of the Vinaya was not felt until monasteries began to spring up. Most of the translations made before the fifth century are extracts and of indifferent workmanship. Some are retained in the Chinese Tripitaka but are superseded by later versions. But however inaccurate and incomplete these older translations may be if any of them can be identified with a part of an extant Sansket.

¹ Chapa, XII XIII.

The last section (4) as translated by Teltaro Soxuki in the Sermons of a Buddist Abbot may seem an exception for it contains such statements as "I consider the doctrine of americas as the absolute ground of reality. B t the translation seems to me doubtful.

^{*} Bec. 11

work it follows that at least that part of the work and the doctrines contained in it were current in India or Central Asia some time before the translation was made. Applying this principle we may conclude that the Hinayana and Mahayana were flourishing side by side in India and Central Asia in the first century a d and that the Happy Land sutias and portions of the Prajñâ-pâramitâ already existed. From that time onwards Mahayanist literature as represented by Chinese translations steadily increases, and after 400 a d. Hinayanist literature declines, with two exceptions, the Vinaya and the Abhidharma books of the Sarvâstivâdiis. The Vinaya was evidently regarded as a rule of life independent of theology, but it is remarkable that Hsuan Chuang after his return from India in 645 should have thought it worth while to translate the philosophy of the Sarvâstivâdiis.

Other considerations render this chronology probable Two conspicuous features of the Mahayana are the worship of Bodhisattvas and idealist philosophy These are obviously parallel to the worship of Siva and Vishnu, and to the rise of the Vedanta Now the worship of these deities was probably not prevalent before 300 B C, for they are almost unknown to the Palı Pıtakas, and it was fully developed about the time of the Bhagavad-gîtâ which perhaps assumed its present form a little before the Christian era Not only is the combination of devotion and metaphysics found in this work similar to the tone of many Mahayanist sutras but the manifestation of Krishna in his divine form is like the transformation scenes of the Lotus¹ The chief moral principle of the Bhagavad-gîtâ is substantially the same as that prescribed for Bodhisattvas It teaches that action is superior to inaction, but that action should be wholly disinterested and not directed to any selfish object This is precisely the attitude of the Bodhisattva who avoids the maction of those who are engrossed in self-culture as much as the pursuit of wealth or pleasure Both the Gîtâ and Mahayanist treatises lay stress on faith He who tlinks on Krishna when dying goes to Krishna² just as he who thinks on Amitâbha goes

¹ Just as all gods and worlds are seen within Krishna's body, so we are told in the Karanda vyuha (which is however a later work) that in the pores of Avalokita's skin are woods and mountains where dwell saints and gods
² Bhag G viii 5

to the Happy Land and the idea is not unknown to the Pair texts for it finds complete expression in the story of Mattha kundali¹

The idea of a benevolent deity to be worshipped with devotion and faith and not with ceromonies is etrange to old Buddhism and old Brahmanism alike. It was a popular idea which became so strong that nother priests nor Bhikshus could ignore it and in its ultimate result it is hard to say whether Buddhist or Brahmanio elements are more prominent. Both Avaiolata and Krishna are Devas. The former has the beauty of holiness and the strength which it gives but also the weakness of a somewhat abstract figure—the latter is very personal and springs from the heart of India but to those who are not Hindus seems wanting in purity and simplicity. The divine character of both figures is doe to Brabmanism rather than Buddhism but the new form of worship which laid stress on a frame of mind rather than on ceremonial and the idea of Avatáras or the periodic appearance of superhuman saviours and teachers indi-

There is a similar parallel between the newer Buddhist philosophy and the Vedantist school represented by Sankara and Indian critics detected it Sankara was called a Pracchanna bauddha or crypto buddhist by his theological opponeous and the resemblance between the two aystems in thought if not in word is striking. Both distinguish relative and absolute truth for both the relative truth is practically those for both absolute truth is beyond description and whether it is called Brahman Dharma kays or Sunyata is not equivalent to God in the Christian or Mohammedan senso Just as for the Vedantist there exist in the light of the highest knowledge neither a personal God nor an individual soul so the Madhyamika Sûtra can declare that the Buddha does not really exist. The Maha yanist philosophers do not use the word Maya hut they state the same theory in a more subjective form by ascribing the appearance of the phenomenal world to ignorance a nomen

Commentary on Disamsopoda P T.S. edition, pp. 25 fl. especially p. 33.

See Rămânuja, Sribhlahys, II. ..., 27 and Padma-Puris a uttarakanda 43 (quoted by Sahtankar in 1 tenne Oresini Journ. vol. xxx. 1008). Māyāvādam sasacelāstrām pracchannam banddham usyate. The Mādhvas were pecially bitter in thair danunciston of Sankara.

clature which is derived from the Buddha's phrase, "From ignorance come the Sankhâras"

Here, as elsewhere, Buddhist and Brahmanic ideas acted and reacted in such complex interrelations that it is hard to say which has borrowed from the other As to dates, the older Upanishads which contain the foundations but not the complete edifice of Vedantism, seem a little earlier than the Buddha Now we know that within the Vedantist school there were divergences of opinion which later received classic expression in the hands of Sankara and Râmânuja. The latter rejected the doctrines of Mâyâ and of the difference between relative and absolute truth The germs of both schools are to be found in the Upanishads but it seems probable that the ideas of Sankara were originally worked out among Buddhists rather than among Brahmans and were rightly described by their opponents as disguised Buddhism As early as 520 A D Bodhidhaima preached in China a doctrine which is practically the same as the Advarta

The earliest known work in which the theory of Mâyâ and the Advaita philosophy are clearly formulated is the metrical treatise known as the Kârikâ of Gaudapâda. This name was borne by the teacher of Sankara's teacher, who must have lived about 700 a d, but the high position accorded to the work, which is usually printed with the Mândûkya Upanishad and is practically regarded as¹ a part of it, make an earlier date probable. Both in language and thought it bears a striking resemblance to Buddhist writings of the Mâdhyamika school and also contains many ideas and similes which reappear in the works of Sankara². On the other hand the Lankâvatâra Sûtra which was translated into Chinese in 513 and therefore can hardly have been composed later than 450, is conscious that its doctrines resemble Brahmanic philosophy, for an interlocutor

Or as itself forming four separate Upanishads For other arguments in favour of an early date see Walleser, Alterer Vedânta, pp 14 ff He states that the Kârikâ is quoted in the Tibetan translations of Bhavaviveka's Tārkajvālā Bhavaviveka was certainly anterior to the travels of Hsüan Chuang and perhaps was much earlier But if he died about 600 AD a work quoted by him can hardly have been later than 550 and may be much earlier But see also Jacobi in J AOS April, 1913, p 51

² For the resemblances to Någårjuna see JRAS 1910, pp 136 ff Especially remarkable are ii 32 na nirodho na cotpattir, etc., and iv 59 and the whole argument that causation is impossible. Noticeable too is the use of Buddhist terms like upîya, nirvana, buddha and âdibuddha, though not always in the Buddhist sense

objects that the language used in it by the Buddha about the Tathagatha-garbha is very like the Brahmanic doctrine of the Atman. To which the Buddha replies that his language is a concession to those who cannot stomach the doctrine of the negation of reality in all its austernty. Some of the best known verses of Gaudapada compare the world of appearance to the apparent circle of fire produced by whitling a lighted torch This striking image occurs first in the Maitrayana Upanishad (vi. 24) which shows other indications of an acquaintance with Buddhism and also in the Lankavstan Sütra.

A real affinity unites the doctrine of Sankara to the teaching of Gotama himself That teaching as presented in the Pali Pitakas is marked by its negative and deliberately circum scribed character Its rule is allence when strict accuracy of vapression is impossible whereas later philosophy does not shrink from phrases which are suggestive if not exact. Gotama refuses to admit that the human soul is a fixed entity or Atman. but he does not condemn (though he also does not discuss) the ides that the whole world of change and becoming including human souls is the expression or disguise of some one incliable principle Ho teaches too that the human mind can grow until it develops new faculties and powers and becomes the Buddha mind, which sees the whole chain of births the order of the world and the reality of emancipation. As the object of the whole system is practical Nirvana is always regarded as a terminus ad quem or an escape (nisuranam) from this transitory world and this view is more accurate as well as more edifying than the view which treats Brahman or Sunvata as the onein of the universe. When the Vedanta teaches that this changing troubled world is merely the disguise of that unchanging and untroubled state into which saints can pass it is I believe following Gotama s thought, but giving it an expression which he would have considered imperfect.

CHAPTER XXII

FROM KANISHKA TO VASUBANDHU

TRADITION, as mentioned above, connects the rise of the Mahayana with the reign of Kanishka. Materials for forming a pieture of Indian life under his rule are not plentiful but it was clearly an age of fusion. His hereditary dominions were ample and he had no need to spend his reign in conquests, but he probably subdued Kashmir as well as Khotan, Yarkand and Kashgar¹ Hostages from one of these states were sent to reside in India and all accounts agree that they were treated with generosity and that their sojourn improved the relations of Kanishka with the northern tribes His capital was Purushapura or Peshawar, and the locality, like many other features of his reign, indicates a tendency to amalgamate India with Persia and Central Asia It was embellished with masterpieces of Gandharan seulpture and its chief ornament was a great stûpa built by the king for the reception of the relics of the Buddha which he collected This building is described by several Chinese pilgrims2 and its proportions, though variously stated, were sufficient to render it celebrated in all the Buddhist world is said to have been several times burnt, and rebuilt, but so solid a structure can hardly have been totally destroyed by fire and the greater part of the monument discovered in 1908 probably dates from the time of Kanishka The base is a square measuring 285 feet on each side, with massive towers at the corners, and on each of the four faces projections bearing stair-

* See Fa Hsien, cd Legge, p 33, BEFEO 1903 (Sung Yun), pp 420 ff Watters, Yūan Chwang, I pp 204 ff J.R AS 1909, p 1056, 1912, p 114 For the general structure of these stūpas see Foucher, L'art Gréco Bouddhique du Gandhara, pp 45 ff

The uncertainty as to the date of Kanishka naturally makes it uncertain whether he was the hero of these conquests. Kashmir was certainly included in the dominions of the Kushans and was a favourite residence of Kanishka. About 90 AD a Kushan king attacked Central Asia but was repulsed by the Chinese general Pan Ch'ao. Later, after the death of Pan Ch'ao (perhaps about 103 AD), he renewed the attempt and conquered Kashgar, Yarkand and Khotan. See Vincent Smith, Early History of India, 3rd ed. pp. 253 ff.

cases. The sides were ornamented with stucce figures of the Buddha and according to the Chinese pllgrims the super structure was crowned with an iron plilar on which were set twooty five gilded disks. Inside was found a motal casket still containing the sacred bones and bearing an inscription which presents two points of great interest. Firstly it mentions. Agréala the overseer of works at Kanishka s vihâra that is

Agisala the overseer of works at Kanishka s vihāra that is probably Agesilaus a foreigner in the king s service. Secondly it states that the casket was made for the acceptance of the cachers of the Sarvāstivādin sect! and the idea that Kanishi a was the special patron of the Mahayana must be reconsidered

in the light of this statement

Legends ascribe Kanlahka s fervour for the Boddhist faith out to edocation hot to conversion. His coinage of which ahundant specimens have been preserved confirms this for it prescots images of Greek Persian Indian and perhaps Baby lonian deities showing how varied was the mythology which may have mingled with Gandharan Buddhism. The coins bearing figures of the Boddha are not numerous and as he undoubtedly left behind him the reputation of a pious Boddhist it is probable that they were struck late in his reign and represent his last religious phase? Hetian Chuang? repeats some legends which relate that he was originally anti Buddhist and that after his conversion he summoned a cooceli and huilt a stupa

The substance of these legeods is probable Kanishka as a barbarian hot decile cooqueror was likely to adopt Buddhism if he wished to keep ahreast of the thought and civilisation of his subjects for at that time it undoohtedly inspired the intellect and art of north western India Both as a statesman and as an enquirer after truth he would wish to promote harmony and stop sectarian squahlies. His action resembles that of Constantine who after his conversion to Christianity proceeded to summon the Council of Niewa in order to stop the dissensions of the Church and settle what were the tenets of the religion which he had embraced a point about which hoth he and

J.R.A.S 1900 p. 1058. "Acaryanam Sarvastivadi in pratigrabe."

Simil dy Harsha became a Buddhirt late in life.

Watters, vol. I. p. 203. He places K i hk a accession 400 years after the death of the Buddha, which is one of the arguments for supposing Kanishka to have resigned about 50 a.c. but in another passage (Watters, I. 222, 224) he appears to place it 600 years after the death.

Kanishka seem to have felt some uncertainty. Our knowledge of Kanishka's Council depends chiefly on the traditions reported by Hsuan Chuang¹ which present many difficulties. He tells us that the king, acting in consultation with Parśva, issued summonses to all the learned doctors of his realm. They came in such crowds that a severe test was imposed and only 499 Arhats were selected. There was some discussion as to the place of meeting but finally Kashmir² was selected and the king built a monastery for the Brethren. When the Council met, there arose a question as to whether Vasumitra (who is not further described) should be admitted seeing that he was not an Arhat but aspired to the career of a Bodhisattva. But owing to the interposition of spirits he was not only admitted but made president.

The texts of the Tripitaka were collected and the Council "composed 100,000 stanzas of Upadeśa Sâstras explanatory of the canonical sûtras, 100,000 stanzas of Vinava-vibhâshâ Sâstras explanatory of the Vinaya and 100,000 of Abhidharma-vibhâshâ Sâstras explanatory of the Abhidharma For this exposition of the Tripitaka all learning from remote antiquity was thoroughly examined, the general sense and the terse language (of the Buddhist scriptures) was again and again made clear and distinct, and learning was widely diffused for the safe-guiding of disciples King Kanishka caused the treatises when finished to be written out on copper plates and enclosed these in stone boxes which he deposited in a tope made for the purpose He then ordered spirits to keep and guard the texts and not to allow any to be taken out of the country by heretics, those who wished to study them could do so in the country When leaving to return to his own country, Kanishka renewed Asoka's gift of all Kashmir to the Buddhist Church3"

Paramartha (499-569 A D) in his Life of Vasubandhu⁴ gives an account of a council generally considered to be the same as

¹ Watters, vol. 1, 270-1

² But Târanâtha says some authorities held that it met at Jalandhara Some Chinese works say it was held at Kandahar

³ Watters, lc

Iranslated by Takakusu in Toung Pao, 1904, pp 269 ff Paramartha was a native of Ujjain who arrived at Nanking in 548 and made many translations, but it is quite possible that this life of Vasubandhu is not a translation but original notes of his own.

that described by Ilsüan Chuang though the differences in the two versions are considerable. He says that about five hundred years1 after the Buddha a death (se between 87 n c and 13 A D If the Buddha died 487 n c) an Indian Arhat called Katyayani nutra who was a monk of the Sarvastivadia school went to Kipin or Kashmir There with 500 other Arhats and 500 Bodhi sattyas he collected the Abhldharma of the Sarvastivadius and arranged it in cight books called ha lan to (Sanskrit Grantha) or Kan tu (Pali Gantho) This compilation was also called Juana prasthana. He then made a proclamation inviting all who had heard the Buddha preach to communicate what they remembered. Many spirits responded and contributed their reminiscences which were examined by the Council and when they did not contradict the sutras and the Vinaya were accepted but otherwise were rejected. The relected pieces were grouped according to their subject matter. Those about wisdom formed the Praisia Grantha and those about meditation the Dhyana Grantha and so on Alter fini hing the eight books they proceeded to the composition of a commentary or Vibhasha and invited the assistance of Asraghosha. When he came to hashmir hatyayani putra expounded the eight books in him and Asvaghosha put them into literary form. At the end of twelve years the composition of the commentary was finished It consisted of 1 000 000 verses Katyayani putra set up a atone inscribed with this proclamation. Those who hereafter learn this law must not go out of Kashmir No sentence of the eight books or of the Vibhaha must pass out of the land lest other schools or the Mahayana should corrupt the true law This proclamation was reported to the king who approved it The sages of Kashmir had power over demons and set them to guard the entrance to the country but we are told that anyone desirous of learning the law could come to Kashmir and was in no way interrupted

There follows a story telling how despite this prohibition a native of Ayodhya succeeded in learning the law in Kashmir

¹ Chinese expressions like "in the five hundred years after the Buddha e death probably mean the period 400-500 of the era commencing with the Buddha a death and not the period 500-600. The period 1 100 is "the noe hundred years," 101 "30 "the two hundred years" and so on. See B.F.F.E.O. 1911-356. But it mest be remembered that the date of the Buddha a death is not yet certain. The latest theory (Vincent Smith, 1919) places it in 534 m.o.

and subsequently teaching it in his native land Paramartha's account seems exaggerated, whereas the prohibition described by Hsuan Chuang is intelligible. It was forbidden to take the official copies of the law out of Kashmir, lest heretics should tamper with them

Târanâtha¹ gives a singularly confused account of the meeting, which he expressly calls the third council, but makes some important statements about it. He says that it put an end to the dissensions which had been distracting the Buddhist Church for nearly a century and that it recognized all the eighteen sects as holding the true doctrine, that it put the Vinaya in writing as well as such parts of the Sûtrapitaka and Abhidharma as were still unwritten and corrected those which already existed as written texts, that all kinds of Mahayanist writings appeared at this time but that the Srâvakas raised no opposition

It is hard to say how much history can be extracted from these vague and discrepant stories. They seem to refer to one assembly regarded (at least in Tibet) as the third council of the Church and held under Kanishka four or five hundred years² after the Buddha's death. As to what happened at the council tradition seems to justify the following deductions, though as the tradition is certainly jumbled it may also be incorrect in details

(a) The council is recognized only by the northern Church and is unknown to the Churches of Ceylon, Burma and Siam. It seems to have regarded Kashmir as sacred land outside which the true doctrine was exposed to danger (b) But it was not a specially Mahayanist meeting but rather a conference of peace and compromise Târanâtha says this clearly in Hsuan Chuang's account an assembly of Arhats (which at this time must have meant Hinayanists) elect a president who was not an Arhat and according to Paramârtha the assembly consisted of 500 Arhats and 500 Bodhisattvas who were convened by a leader of the Sarvâstivâdin school and ended by requesting Aśvaghosha to revise their work (c) The literary result of the council was the

¹ Chap xu

² See Watters, 1 pp 222, 224 and 270 It is worth noting that Hsuan Chuang says Asoka lived one hundred years after the Buddha's death. See Watters, 1 p 267 See also the note of S. Lévi in J. R. A. S. 1914, pp 1016–1019, citing traditions to the effect that there were 300 years between Upagupta, the teacher of Asoka, and Kanishka, who is thus made to reign about 31 A.D. On the other hand Kanishka's chaplain Sangharaksha is said to have lived 700 years after the Buddha

composition of commentaries on the three Pitakas. One of these the Ahhdharna mahāvihhāshā šāstra translated into Chinese in 437-9 and still extant is said to be a work of encyclopædio character hardly a commentary in the strict sense. Paramārtha perhaps made a confusion in saying that the Jūāna prasthāna itself was composed at the council. The traditions indicate that the council to some extent sifted and revised the Tripitaka and perhaps it accepted the seven Abhdharma hooks of the Sarvāsti vādins! But it is not stated or implied that it composed or sanctioned Mahayanist books. Tāranātha merely says that such books appeared at this time and that the Hinayanists raised no active objection.

But if the above is the gist of the traditions the position described is not clear. The council is recognized by Mahayanists yet it appears to have resulted in the composition of a Sarvâsti vâdin treatise and the tradition connecting the Sarvâstivâdins with the council is not likely to be wrong for they are recognized in the inscription on Kanishka's casket and Gandhara and Kashmir were their headquarters. The decisions of conneils are often politic rather than logical and it may be that the doctors summoned by Kanishka while compiling Sarvâstivâdin treatises admitted the principle that there is more than one vohicle which can take mankind to salvation. Perhaps some compromise based on geography was arranged such as that Kashmir should be left to the Sarvâstivâdin school which had long flourished there but that no opposition should be offered to the Mahayanists elsewhere

The relations of the Sarvästivadins to Mahayanism are exceedingly difficult to define and there are hardly sufficient materials for a connected account of this once important sect but I will state some facts about it which seem certain.

It is ancient, for the Kathavatthu alludes to its doctrines? It flourished in Gandhara Kashmir and Central Asia and Kanlishka a casket shows that he patronized it? But it appears

 $^{^1}$ See Takakusu in J.P T.S. 1905 pp. 67 ff. For the Barvastivadin Canon, are my chapter on the Chinese Tripitaka.

See above, vol. 1, p. 202. For an account of the doctrines see also Vasilief 245 ft. Rockhift, L fa of the Buddha, pp. 190 ft.

Its cornection with Gandhara and Kashmir is plainly indicated in its own acriptones. See Prayloak's article on "Le Nord-Onest de l'Inde dans le Vinaya des Malasarrakstradina," J. A 1916 11 pp. 4938. This Vinaya must have received con aderable additions as time went on and in its press. I form is posterior to h. n. h. ka.

to have been hardly known in Ceylon or Southern India It was the principal northern form of Hinayanism, just as the Theravâda was the southern form I-Ching however says that it prevailed in the Malay Archipelago

Its doctrines, so far as known, were Hinayanist but it was distinguished from cognate schools by holding that the external world can be said to exist and is not merely a continual process of becoming. It had its own version of the Abhidharma and of the Vinaya. In the time of Fa-Hsien the latter was still preserved orally and was not written. The adherents of this school were also called Vaibhâshikas, and Vibhâshâ was a name given to their exegetical literature.

But the association of the Sarvâstivâdins with Mahayanists is clear from the council of Kanishka onwards. Many eminent Buddhists began by being Sarvâstivâdins and became Mahayanists, their earlier belief being regarded as preliminary rather than erroneous. Hsuan Chuang translated the Sarvâstivâdin scriptures in his old age and I-Ching belonged to the Mûlasarvâstivâdin school¹, yet both authors write as if they were devout Mahayanists. The Tibetan Church is generally regarded as an extreme form of Mahayanism but its Vinaya is that of the Sarvâstivâdins.

Though the Sarvâstivâdins can hardly have accepted idealist metaphysics, yet the evidence of art and their own version of the Vinaya make it probable that they tolerated a moderate amount of mythology, and the Mahayanists, who like all philosophers were obliged to admit the provisional validity of the external world, may also have admitted their analysis of the same as provisionally valid. The strength of the Hinayanist schools lay in the Vinaya. The Mahayanists showed a tendency to replace it by legends and vague if noble aspirations. But a code of discipline was necessary for large monasteries and the code of the Sarvâstivâdins enjoyed general esteem in Central Asia and China.

Three stages in the history of Indian Buddhism are marked by the names of Aśvaghosha, Nâgârjuna and the two brothers

¹ The distinction between Sarvâstivadin and Mûlasarvâstivâdin is not clear to me. I can only suggest that when a section of the school accepted the Mahâvibhâshâ and were known as Vaibhâshikas others who approved of the school chiefly on account of its excellent Vinaya called themselves Primitive Sarvâstivâdins.

Asanga and Vasubandhu It would be easier to give a precise description of its development if we were sure which of the works ascribed to these worthes are authentic but it seems that Aévaghosha represents an ornate and transitional phase of the older schools leading to Mahayanism whereas Nagarjuna is connected with the Prajūž paramitā and the nibilistic philosophy described in the preceding chapter Asanga was the founder of the later and more scholastic system called Yogācāra and is also associated with a series of revelations said to have been made by Maitreya

As mentioned above tradition makes Asvaghosha1 one of the most brilliant among Sanskrit writers live at the court of Kanlahka2 and according to some accounts he was given to the Kushans as part of a war indemnity The tradition is confirmed hy the style and contents of his poems and it has been noted hy Foucher that his treatment of legends is in remarkable accord with their artistic presentment in the Gandharan sculptures. Also fragmentary manuscripts of his dramas discovered in Central Asia appear to date from the Knahan epoch Asyaghosha's rank as a poet depends chiefly on his Buddhacarita or life of the Buddha up to the time of his enlightenment. It is the earliest example of a Kavya usually translated as artificial epic hat here literary skill is subservient to the theme and does not as too often in later works overwhelm it. The Buddha is its hero as Rams of the Ramavana and it sings the events of his earlier life in a fine flow of elaborate hut impassioned language Another of his poems, discovered only a few years ago treats of the conversion of Nanda the Buddha shalf brother

¹ See Sylvain Lévi, J.A. 1908, XII. 57 ff. and Winternitz, Ges. Ind. Lil. II. L. pp. 201 ff.

The only reason for doubting it is that two stories (Nos. 14 and 31) in the Storieth Vira (which appears to be a genuine work) refer to Kanishka as if he had reigned in the past. This may be a position artifice or it may be that the stories are interpolations. See for the traditions Watters on Yakas Cl. of n. 103-4 and I levines in J. R.A.S. 1905, p. 53 who quotes the Chinese Stanyulta ratum pitaks stars and the Record of Indian Partiarcha. The Chinese Staryulta ratum pitaks stars and the Record of Indian Partiarcha. The Chinese list of Partiarcha is compatible with the view that Afwaghosha was alive about 125 a.m for he was the twelfth Partiarch and Bodhish ma the twenty-cighth visited Chine in 520. This gives about 400 years for alxieup Partiarchs, which is possible, for these worthise were long-lived. But the list has little authority

The tradition are conveniently collected in the introduction to Teitaro Suraki's translation of The Academius of Faith.

The Bannel plannel kayya.

Various other works are ascribed to Aśvaghosha and for the history of Buddhism it is of great interest to decide whether he was really the author of The Awakening of Faith This skilful exposition of a difficult theme is worthy of the writer of the Buddhacarita but other reasons make his authorship doubtful, for the theology of the work may be described as the full-blown flower of Mahayanism untainted by Tantrism It includes the doctrines of Bhûta-tathatâ, Âlayavijñâna, Tathâgatagarbha and the three bodies of Buddha It would be dangerous to say that these ideas did not exist in the time of Kanishka, but what is known of the development of doctrine leads us to expect their full expression not then but a century or two later and other circumstances raise suspicions as to Aśvaghosha's authorship His undoubted works were translated into Chinese about 400 A D but The Awakening of Faith a century and a half later1 Yet if this concise and authoritative compendium had existed in 400, it is strange that the earlier translators neglected it. It is also stated that an old Chinese catalogue of the Tripitaka does not name Aśvaghosha as the author2

The undoubted works of Aśvaghosha treat the Buddha with ornate but grave rhetoric as the hero of an epic. His progress is attended by miracles such as Indian taste demands, but they hardly exceed the marvels recounted in the Pali scriptures and there is no sign that the hero is identified, as in the Ramayana of Tulsi Das or the Gospel according to St John, with the divine spirit. The poet clearly feels personal devotion to a Saviour He dwells on the duty of teaching others and not selfishly seeking one's own salvation, but he does not formulate dogmas

The name most definitely connected with the early promulgation of Mahayanism is Nâgârjuna³ A preponderance of

¹ See Nanjio, Nos 1182, 1351, 1250, 1299 It is noticeable that the translator Paramartha shows a special interest in the life and works of Asanga and Vasubandhu

² See Winternitz, Ges Ind Lit II i p 211 It is also noticeable that The Awakening of Faith appears to quote the Lankavatare sutra which is not generally regarded as an early Mahayanist work

³ Nâgarjuna cannot have been the founder of the Mahayana for in his Mahâ prajñâ pâramitâ śâstra (Nanjio, 1169, translation by Kumârajîva) he cites inter alia the Lotus, the Vimalakirti sûtra, and a work called Mahâyâna śâstra See BEFEO 1911, p 453 For Nâgârjuna see especially Grünwedel, Mythologie, pp 29 ff and the bibliography given in the notes Jour Budd Text Soc v part iv pp 7 ff Watters, Yūan Chwang, pp 200 ff Târanatha, chap xv and Winternitz, Ges Ind Lit 11 pp 250 ff

Chinese tradition makes him the second patriarch after Aáva ghoshal and this agrees with the Kashmir chronicle which implies that he hved soon after hanishka? He prohably flourished in the latter half of the second century. But his biographics extant in Chinese and Tibetan are almost whally mythical oven crediting him with a life of several contunes and the most that can be hoped is to extract a few grains of history from them. He is said to have been by birth a Brahman of Vidarbha (Berar) and to have had as teacher a Sudra named Saraba or Rahnlabbadra When the legend states that he visited the Nagas in the depths of the sea and abtained books from them It seems to admit that he preached now doctrines It is noticeable that he is represented not only as a philosopher. but as a great magician builder physician and maker of images

Many works are attributed to him but they have not the same anthenticity as the poems of Asvagbesha. Some schools make him the author of the Praiss paramits but it is more usually regarded as a revelation. The commentary an it known as Maha prajūa pāramitā-sāstra is generally accepted as his work A consensus of tradition makes him the author of the Madhyamika aphorisms of which some account has been given above It is the principal authority of its school and is provided with a commentary attributed to the author himself and with a later one by Candrakirts. There is also ascribed to him a work called the Subrillekha ar friendly letter a compendium af Buddhist doctrines addressed to an Indian Ling. This work

¹ He is omitted from the list of Buddhabhadra, giving the succession according to the Sarvastivadins, to which school be did not belong I-Ching classes him with Airaghosha and Aryadeva as belonging to the early period.

Rijatarangini L 173 177

a Edited in the Bibliotheen Buddhen by De la Vallée Poussin and (in part) in the Journal of the Buddhist Test Soc See too Walkeer Die Mittlere Lehre des hagdrinna nach der Tibetischen Version Sbertrugen, 1911: nach der Chinesischen Version übertragen, 1912.

The ascription of these works to Nagarjuna is probably correct for they were translated by Kumarajiva who was sufficiently near him in date to be in touch

with good tradition.

The name of this king variously given as Udayana, Jetaka and Sătavâhana has not been identified with certainty from the various transcriptions and transla and Tibetan versions. See J. Pal. Text Soc. for 1886 and I-Chine Records of the Buddhist Religion (trans. Takakusu) pp. 158 ff. The Andhra kings who reigned from about 240 a.c. to 225 a.p. all claimed to belong to the Satavah dynasty The stope of Americall in the Andhra territory is surrounded by a stone railing ascribed to the period 100-200 a.p. and Nagarjuna may have addressed a plous king living about that time.

is old for it was translated into Chinese in 434 a D and is a homily for laymen. It says nothing of the Mâdhyamika philosophy and most of it deals with the need of good conduct and the terrors of future punishment, quite in the manner of the Hinayana. But it also commends the use of images and incense in worship, it mentions Avalokita and Amitâbha and it holds up the ideal of attaining Buddhahood. Nâgârjuna's authorship is not beyond dispute but these ideas may well represent a type of popular Buddhism slightly posterior to Aśvaghosha¹

In most lists of patriarchs Någårjuna is followed by Deva, also called Åryadeva, Kånadeva or Nîlanetra I-Ching mentions him among the older teachers and a commentary on his principal work, the Šataśāstra, is attributed to Vasubandhu² Little is known of his special teaching but he is regarded as an important doctor and his pupil Dharmatrâta is also important if not as an author at least as a compiler, for Sanskrit collections of verses corresponding to the Pali Dhammapada are ascribed to him Âryadeva was a native of southern India³

The next epoch in the history of Buddhism is marked by the names of Asanga and Vasubandhu. The interval between them and Deva produced no teacher of importance, but Kumâralabdha, the founder of the Sautrântika school and perhaps identical with Kumârata the eighteenth Patriarch of the Chinese lists, may be mentioned. Hsuan Chuang says that he was carried off in captivity by a king who reigned somewhere in the east of the Pamirs and that he, Aśvaghosha, Nâgârjuna and Deva were styled the four shining suns

Asanga and Vasubandhu were brothers, sons of a Brahman who lived at Peshawar They were both converted from the Sarvâstivâdin school to Mahayanism, but the third brother

¹ For other works attributed to Någårjuna see Nanjio, Nos 1169, 1179, 1180, 1186 and Walleser's introduction to Mittlere Lehre nach der Chincsischen Version The Dharmasangraha, a Sanskrit theological glossary, is also attributed to Någår juna as well as the tantric work Pancakrama But it is not likely that the latter dates from his epoch

² Nanjio, No 1188

³ The very confused legends about him suggest a comparison with the Dravidian legend of a devotee who tore out one of his eyes and offered it to Siva See Grun wedel, Mythologie, p 34 and notes Polemics against various Hinayanist sects are ascribed to him See Nanjio, Nos 1259, 1260

Watters, Yūan Chwang, 11 p 286 Haüan Chuang does not say that the four were contemporary but that in the time of Kumaralabdha they were called the four Suns

Vinneivatsa never changed his convictions. Tradition connects their career with Ayodhvä as well as with Peshawar and Vasubandhu eujoyed the confidence of the reigning menarch who was probably Candragupta I. This identification depends ou the hypothesis that Vasubandhu lived from about 280 to 360 a.D. which as already mentioned seems to rac to have been proved by M. Péri. The earlier Gupta kings though not Boddhists were telerant as is shown by the fact that the king of Ceylon? was allowed to erect a magnificent menastery at Nålauda in the reign of Samudragupta (c. 330-375 a.D.)

Asanga founded the school known as logicar and many authorities ascribe to him the introduction of magical practices and Tantrism But though he is a considerable figure in the history of Buddham I doubt if his importance or culpability is so great as this Forlf tradition can be trusted earlier teachers especially Nagarjuna dealt in spells and invocations and the works of Asanga's known to us are characterized by a somewhat scholastic picty and are chiefly occupied in defining and describing the various stages in the spiritual development of a Bodhisattra. It is true that he admits the use of magical formulas as an aid in this evolution but they form only a slight part of his system and It does not appear that the Chen ven or Shugon sect of the Far East (the Sanskut Mantrayana) traced its lineage back to him

Our estimate of his position in the history of Buddhism must depend ou our opinion as to the authorship of The Avalcating of Faith. If this treatise was composed by Asvaghosha theu doctrines respecting the three bodies of Buddhia the Tathâgata garbha and the Alaya vijhāna were not only known hut scientifically formulated considerably before Asanga. The conclusion cannot be rejected as absurd—for Asvaghosia might speak differently in poems and in philosophical treatises—but

¹ For Asanga and Vasules ship aco Pfri in B.F.F.E.O. 1911 pp. 339-390. Vinconi Smith in Early Hustory of India third edition, pp. 323-334. Winternitz, Oct. Ind. Lit. 11, p. 256. Watters, Year Charang 1 pp. 10 335-359. Taranktha, chap. XXII. Ordinerdel Hydologue p. 25.

Neghavarman. Boo V Smith, Ic. 287

Two have been proceded in Sanakrita the Mahayana-sutralankara (Ed. v Tranal., S. Lévi, 1907-1911) and the Bodhisativa bhami (hinglish summary in Massion, 1903-5). A brief analysis of the literature of the 1 ogdedra school according to Tibetan authorities is given by Bicherbatakol in Massion, 1903, pp. 144-155.

Mahiyana-atral xviii. 71-72. The ominous word sandayan also occur in this work xviii. 46

it is surprising, and it is probable that the treatise is not his If so, Asanga may have been the first to elaborate systematically (though not to originate) the idea that thought is the one and only reality Nagarjuna's millism was probably the older theory It sounds late and elaborate but still it follows easily if the dialectic of Gotama is applied uncompromisingly not only to our mental processes but to the external world Yet even in-India the result was felt to be fantastic and sophistical and it is not surprising if after the lapse of a few generations a new system of idealism became fashionable which, although none too intelligible, was abstruse rather than paradoxical

Asanga was alleged to have received revelations from Maitreya and five of his works are attributed to this Bodhisattva who enjoyed considerable honour at this period. It may be that the veneration for the Buddha of the future, the Messiah who would reign over his saints in a pure land, owed something to Persian influence which was strong in India during the decadence of the Kushans¹ Both Mithraism and Manichæism classified their adepts in various ranks, and the Yogâcâra doctors who delight in grading the progress of the Bodhisattva may have borrowed something from them² Asanga's doctune of defilement (kleśa) and purification may also owe something to Mani, as suggested by S Lévi

In spite of his literary ments Asanga remains a doctor rather than a saint or poet³ His speculations have little to do with either Gotama or Amitâbha and he was thus not in living touch with either the old or new schools His brother Vasubandhu had perhaps a greater position He is reckoned as the twentieth Patriarch and Tibetan tradition connects him with the worship of Amitâbha4

Paramârtha's life of Vasubandhu represents him as having frequented the court of Vikramâditya (to be identified with Candragupta I), who at first favoured the Sankhya philosophy

¹ Vincent Smith, lc p 275

² But there are of course abundant Indian precedents, Brahmanical as well as Buddhist, for describing various degrees of sanctity or knowledge

³ The wooden statues of Asanga and Vasubandhu preserved in the Kōfukaji at

Nara are masterpieces of art but can hardly claim to be other than works of imagination They date from about 800 AD See for an excellent reproduction Tajima's Select Relics, II x

4 See Eitel and Grünwedel, but I do not know in what texts this tradition is found It is remarkable that Paramartha's life (T'oung Pao, 1904, pp 269-296) does not say either that he was twentieth patriarch or that he worshipped Amida

but accorded some patronage to Buddhism During this period Vasubandhu was a Sarvastlyadin but of liberal views1 and while in this phase wrote the Abhidharma kesa a general exposition of the Abhidbarma mainly according to the views of the Vanhhashikas but not without onticism This celebrated work is not well known in Europes but is still a text book amongst Japanese Buddhist students It gained the esteem of all schools and we are given to understand that it presupposed the philosophy of the Vibhusha and of the Jaana prasthana According to Paramurtha the original work consisted of 600 aphonems in verse which were sent by the author to the mouks of Kashmir They approved of the composition but as the aphonems were concise asked for fuller explanations Vasubaudhu then expanded his verses into a prose commentary but meanwhile his views had undergone a change and when he disapproved of any Vaibhāshika doctrine he criticized it This enlarged edition by no means pleased the brethren of hashmir and called forth polemics llo also wrote a control ersual work against the Sankhya philosophy

Late in life Vasubandhu moved by the entreaties of his brother Asanga became a dovout Mahayanist and wrote in bis

old age Mabayanist treatises and commentaries?

1 On receiving a large donation be built three monasteries, one for Hinayanists,

one for Mahayanists and one for nuns.

The work consists of 600 verses (Kärikā) with a lengthy prose commentary (Bhidaya) by the author. The Sewirti criginal is lost but translations have been $p \to \infty$ of thises (Kanjida), No. 1951 1269, 1770) and Thietan (see Cordier Cai d. Fonds tibdesis de le B b. Not. 1914 pp. 391 499). But the commentary on the Bhidaya called Albiddisama kõus vylkhyl, or Sphulattha by Vásomitra has been proceed in Sanakrit in Nepal and frequently cities the verse as well as the Bhidaya in the original Sanakrit. A number of Eu. pean savanta are at present occupied with the Bitesture and Sir Denhoo Ross its whom I am indebted for much information) contemplates the publication of an Uighur text of Book 1 found in Central Asia. At pre- nt (1820), so far as I know the only portion of the Abiddharma foka in print is De la Valles Poussin a edition and translation of Book III, cont. fefing the Tibetan and Fanakrit to its hot not the Chinese (De la Valles Poussins—Varsboaddis et Yasborute London, 1914–18). This chapter deals with such topics as the structure of the universe the manner and place of rebirth the chain of causation, the poor, phy of the world the duration and characteristics of Kalpas, and the appearance of Beedbas and Cakravartius.

See Nanjio, pp. 371-2, for a list of his works translated into Chinese Haffan Chuang a account differs from the above (which is taken from Paramatrias) in dealth and tells a curious story that Vasubandhu promised to appear to his friends after death and ultimately did so, though he forgot his promise until people

began to say he had gone to hell.

CHAPTER XXIII

INDIAN BUDDHISM AS SEEN BY THE CHINESE PILGRIMS

About the time of Vasubandhu there existed four schools of Indian Buddhism called Vaibhâshika, Sautrântika, Mâdhyamika and Yoga or Yogâcâra¹ They were specially concerned with philosophy and apparently cut across the older division into eighteen sects, which at this period seem to have differed mainly on points of discipline Though not of great practical importance, they long continued to play a certain part in controversial works both Buddhist and Brahmanic The first two which were the older seem to have belonged to the Hinayana and the other two even more definitely to the Mahayana I-Ching2 is quite clear as to this "There are but two kinds of the so-called Mahayana" he says, "first the Mâdhyamıka, second the Yoga These two systems are perfectly in accordance with the noble doctrine Can we say which of the two is right? Both equally conform to truth and lead us to Nirvana" and so on does not say that the other two systems are also aspects of the truth This is the more remarkable because he himself followed the Mûla-sarvâstıvâdıns Apparently Sarvâstıvâdın and Vaibhâshika were different names for the same school, the latter being applied to them because they identified themselves with the commentary (Vibhâshâ) already mentioned whereas the former and older designation came to be used chiefly with reference to their disciplinary rules Also there were two groups of Sarvastivadins, those of Gandhara and those of Kashmir The name of Vaibhâshika was applied chiefly to the latter who, if we may find a kernel of truth in legends which are certainly exaggerated, endeavoured to make Kashmir a holy land with a monopoly of the pure doctrine Vasubandhu and Asanga appear to have broken up this isolation for they first preached

See Vasilief, Le Bouddhisme, Troisième supplément, pp 262 ff Köppen,
 Rel des Buddha, i 151 Takakusu in J Pali Text Society, 1905, pp 67-146
 Records, translated by Takakusu, p 15

the Vaihhāshika doctrines in a liberal and eelectic form ontside kashmir and then hy a natural transition and development went over to the Mahayana. But the Vaihhāshikas did not disappear and were in existence oven in the fonrteenth century. Their chief tenot was the real existence of external objects. In matters of doctrine they regarded their own Ahhidharma as the highest anthority. They also hold that Gotama had an ordinary human body and passed first into a preliminary form of Nirvana when he attained Buddhahood and secondly into complete Nirvana at his death. Ho was superhuman only in the sense that he had intuitive knowledge and no need to learn. Their contempt for sutras may have been due to the fact that many of them discountenance the Vaibhāshika views and also to a knowledge that new ones were continually being composed.

I-Ching who ends his work hy asserting that all his state menta are according to the Arya mula sarvastivada nikaya and

no other gives an interesting summary of doctrine

Again I say the most important are only one or two ont of oighty thousand doctrines of the Buddha one should conform to the worldly path but inwardly strive to secure true wisdom Now what is the worldly path? It is obeying prohibitive laws and avoiding any enime. What is the true wisdom? It is to obliterate the distinction between subject and object to follow the excellent truth and to free oneself from worldly attachments to do away with the trammels of the chain of causality further to obtain merit by accumulating good works and finally to realize the excellent meaning of perfect reality

Such a statement enables us to understand the remark which he makes elsewhere that the same school may belong to the Hinayana and Mahayana in different places for whatever may be meant by wisdom which aims at obliterating the difference between subject and object it is clearly not out of sympathy with Yogacara doctrines. In another place where he describes the curriculum followed by monks he says that they learn the Yogacarya-éastra first and then eight compositions of Asanga and Vasubandhu. Among the works presenbed for logic is the Nyayadvara-éastra attributed to Nagarjuna. The monk

¹ They are mentioned in the Sarva-daráana-anngraha. Kern (Indian Buddhirm, p. 1*6) mays they rejected the authority of the Sútras altogether but gives no reference.

should learn not only the Abhidharma of the Sarvâstivâdins but also the Âgamas, equivalent to the Sûtra-piṭaka So the study of the sûtras and the works of Asanga and Vasubandhu is approved by a Sarvâstivâdin

The Sautrântikas¹, though accounted Hinayanists, mark a step in the direction of the Mahayana. The founder of the school was Kumâralabdha, mentioned above. In their estimation of scripture they reversed the views of the Vaibhâshikas, for they rejected the Abhidharma and accepted only the sûtras, arguing that the Abhidharma was practically an extract from them As literary criticism this is correct, if it means that the more ancient sûtras are older than the oldest Abhidharma books. But the indiscriminate acceptance of sûtras led to a creed in which the supernatural played a larger part. The Sautrântikas not only ascribed superhuman powers to the Buddha, but believed in the doctrine of three bodies. In philosophy, though they were realists, they held that external objects are not perceived directly but that their existence is inferred²

Something has already been said of the two other schools, both of which denied the reality of the external world. The differences between them were concerned with metaphysics rather than theology and led to no popular controversies

Up to this point the history of Indian Buddhism has proved singularly nebulous. The most important dates are a matter of argument, the chief personages half mythical. But when the records of the Chinese pilgims commence we are in touch with something more solid. They record dates and facts, though we must regret that they only repeat what they heard and make no attempt to criticize Indian traditions or even to weave them into a connected chronicle.

Fa-Hsien, the first of these interesting men, left China in 399 and resided in India from 405 to 411, spending three years at Pataliputra and two at Tamralipti He visited the Panjab, Hindustan and Bengal and his narrative leaves the impression that all these were in the main Buddhist countries of the Decean which he did not visit he heard that its inhabitants were barbarous and not Buddhists, though it contained some

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Sec Vasilief, pp 301 ff and various notices in Hsüan Chuang and Watters Also de la Vallée Poussin's article in E R E

² Hsuan Chuang informs us that when he was in Srughna he studied the Vibhāshā of the Sautrāntikas, but the precise significance of this term is not plain

Baddhist shrines Of the Middle Kiagdom (which according to his reckoning begins with Muttra) he says that the people are free and happy and neither kill any living creature nor drink intoxicating liquor Ho does not hint at persecution though he once or twice montions that the Brahmans were icalous of the Baddhists Neither does he indicate that any strong samosity prevailed between Maha and Hinayanists But the two parties were distinct and he notes which provailed in each locality He left China by land and found the Hinayana pro valent at Shen shen and Wu i (apparently localities not far from Loh Nor) hut the Mahayana at Khetan. Nearor India in countries apparently corresponding to parts of Kashmir and Gilmt the monks were numerous and all Hinavanist The same was the case in Udvana and in Gandhara the Hinayanists were still in the majority In the Panjab both schools were provalent hat the Hinayana ovidently strong In the district of Mattra the Law was still more flourishing measurement and topes were numerous and ample alms were given to the monks. He states that the professors of the Ahhidharma and Vinava made offerings to those works and the Mahavanists to the book Prajūs paramita as well as to Manjuśri and Lwan shih ym He found the country is which are the sacred sites of Sravastl Kapilavastn and Kuamārā sparsely inhahited and desolato hut this seems to have been due to general causes not specially to the decay of rolligion. Ho montions that ninety-six varioties of erroneous views are found among the Buddhists which polats to the existence of numerons but not acately hostile sects and says that there still existed apparently in Kośala followers of Devadatta who recognized three previous Buddhas hat not Sakyamuni. He visited the birth places of these three Buddhas which contained topes erected in their honour

He found Magadha prosperous and pious Of its capital Patna he says 'by the side of the topes of Asoka has been made a Mahayana monastery very grand and beautiful there is also a Hinayana one the two together containing 600 or 700 menks. It is probable that this was typical of the religious condition of Magadha and Bengal. Both schools existed but the

Fa Haien a Travels chap. XVI.
This Signre is probably deduced from some artificial calculation of possible because like the 62 wrong views enumerated in the Brahma-Jala satra.

Mahayana was the more flourishing Many of the old sites, such as Râjagriha and Gaya, were deserted but there were new towns near them and Bodh Gaya was a place of pilgrimage with three monasteries. In the district of Tamralipti (Tamluk) on the coast of Bengal were 22 monasteries. As his principal object was to obtain copies of the Vinaya, he stayed three years in Patna seeking and copying manuscripts. In this he found some difficulty, for the various schools of the Vinaya, which he says were divided by trivial differences only, handed down their respective versions orally. He found in the Mahayanist monastery one manuscript of the Mahâsânghika rules and considered it the most complete, but also took down the Sarvâstivâdin rules

After the death of Vasubandhu few names of even moderate magnitude stand out in the history of Indian Buddhism The changes which occurred were great but gradual and due not to the initiative of innovators but to the assimilative power of Hinduism and to the attractions of magical and emotional rites But this tendency, though it doubtless existed, did not become conspicuous until about 700 A D The accounts of the Chinese pilgims and the literature which has been preserved suggest that in the intervening centuries the monks were chiefly occupied with scholastic and exegetical work The most distinguished successors of Asanga were logicians, among whom Dinnaga was pre-emment Sthiramati¹ and Gunamati appear to have belonged to the same school and perhaps Bhavaviveka2 too The statements as to his date are inconsistent but the interesting fact is recorded that he utilized the terminology of the Sankhya for the purposes of the Mahayana

Throughout the middle ages the study of logic was pursued but Buddhists and Jains rather than by Brahmans³ Vasubandhu composed some treatises dealing exclusively with logic but it was his disciple Dinnâga who separated it definitely from philosophy and theology. As in idealist philosophy, so in pure logic there was a parallel movement in the Buddhist and Brahmanic schools, but if we may trust the statements of

¹ He must have lived in the fourth century as one of his works (Nanjio, 1243) was translated between 397 and 439

² Watters, Yüan Chwang, 11 221-224 Nanjio, 1237 The works of Gunamati also are said to show a deep knowledge of the Sânkhya philosophy

³ For the history of logic in India, see Vidyâbhusana's interesting work Mediæval School of Indian Logic, 1909 But I cannot accept all his dates

Vâcaspatimiéra (about 1100 a d.) Difinâga interpreted the aphensma el the Nyâya philosophy in a heterodex or Buddhist sense. This traces the beginnings of Indian logic to a Brahmanio source but subsequently. It flourished greatly in the hands of Buddhists especially. Difinâga and Dharmalitti. The former appears to have been a nativo of Conjevaram and a contemporary of Kâhdâsa. Both the logician and the poet were probably alivo in the reign of Kumāragupta (412—455). Difinâga spent much time in Nâlanda, and though the Sanskrit originals of his werks are lost the Tibetan translations! are preserved.

The Buddhist schools of logic continued for many centuries One fleurished in Kashmir and another founded by Candragomin in Bengal Both lasted almost until the Mohammedan

conquest of the two countries

From about 470 to 530 A D northern India groaned under the tyranny of the Huns Their King Mihiragula is represented as a determined enemy of Buddhism and a systematic destroyer of monastenes. Ho is said to have been a worshipper of Siva but his fury was probably inspired less by religious ammosity than by love of pillage and slanghter

About 530 A.D ho was defeated by a coalition of Indian princes and died ten years later amid storms and portents which were believed to signify the descent of his wicked soul into hell It must have been about this time that Bodhidharma left India for he arrived in Canton about 520. According to the Chinese he was the son of a king of a country called Hising-Chih in southern India? and the twenty-eighth patriarch and he became an important figure in the religion and art of the Far East But no allusion to him or to any of the Patriarchs after Vasu bandhu has been lound in Indian literature nor in the works of Hisilan Chuang and I Ching. The inference is that he was of no importance in India and that his reputation in China was not great before the eighth century also that the Chinese lists of patriarchs de not represent the traditions of northern India.

Désaége aprincipal works are the Pramhu-samoccays and the Nyáya-pravela. Hen nchuang calls him Chen na. See Watters, zz. 200 See Stoberbatzkof in Muséen 1904 pp. 139-171 for Dinniga a influence on the development of the Naiyâvika and V Meshir schools.

³ His possessi reams is said to have been P'o ti to-lo and his surname Ch a-ti li. The letter is probably a corruption of Kabattiya. Hising-Lihi possibly μεα ta a name beginning with Oardha, but I can neither find nor suggest any identification.

Religious teeling often ran high in southern India Buddhists, Jains and Hindus engaged in violent disputes, and persecution was more frequent than in the north. It is easy to suppose that Bodhidharma being the head of some heretical sect had to fly and followed the example of many monks in going to China But if so, no record of his school is forthcoming from his native land, though the possibility that he was more than an individual thinker and represented some movement unknown to us cannot be denied. We might suppose too that since Nâgârjuna and Âryadeva were southerners, their peculiar doctrines were coloured by Dravidian ideas. But our available documents indicate that the Buddhism of southern India was almost entirely Hinayanist, analogous to that of Ceylon and not very sympathetic to the Tamils.

The pilgiims Sung-Yun and Hui-Shêng¹ visited Udyana and Gandhara during the time of the Hun domination (518-521) They found the king of the former a pious Buddhist but the latter was governed by an Ephthalite chieftain, perhaps Mihiragula himself, who was a worshipper of demons Of the Yetha or Ephthalites they make the general observation that "their rules of politeness are very defective" But they also say that the population of Gandhara had a great respect for Buddhism and as they took back to China 170 volumes, "all standard works belonging to the Great Vehicle," the Ephthalite persecution cannot have destroyed the faith in north-western India But the evil days of decay were beginning Henceforward we have no more pictures of untroubled piety and prosperity At best Buddhism receives royal patronage in company with other religions, sectarian conflicts increase and sometimes we hear of persecution About 600 AD a king of Central Bengal named Saśânka who worshipped Siva attempted to extirpate Buddhism in his dominions and destroyed the Bo tree at Bodh Gaya2 On the other hand we hear of the pious Pûrnavarman, king of Magadha, who made amends for these sacrileges, and of Sîlâdıtya, king of the country called Mo-lo-po by the Chinese, who was so careful of animal life, that he even strained the water drunk by his horses and elephants, lest they should consume minute insects

¹ See B E F E O 1903, pp 379 ff

² His evil deeds are several times mentioned by Hsuan Chuang It required a miracle to restore the Bo tree

We know more of Indian Buddhism in the seventh century than in the periods which precede or follow it. The epoch was marked by the reign of the great king or rather emperor Harsha Vardhana (600-648 a.d.), and the works written by Bâŋa Bhartrihari and others who frequented his court have come down to us. Also we are fortenate in possessing the copious narrative of Hisdan Chuang the greatest of the Chinese pilgrims who spent sixteen years (629-645) in India as well as the work known as the Record of the Buddhist religion as practised in Iodia and the Malay Archipelage composed by I Ching who travelled in these countries from 671 to 695. I Ching also wrote the lives of sixty Chinese pilgrims who visited India during the seventh century and probably there were many others of whom we have no record.

The reign of Harsha is thus illustrated by a number of contemporary dateable works unusual in India. The king himself wrote some Buddhist hymnst and three dramas are ascribed to him but were probably composed by some of the literary men whom he patronized. For all that the religious ideas which they contain must have had his approval. The Ratnavall and Privadariika are secular pieces and so far as they have any religious atmosphere it is Brahmanie but the Naga nanda is a Buddhist religious drama which opens with an invocation of the Buddha and has a Jataka story for its plot? Bana was himself a devout Brahman but his historical romance Harshacanta and his novel called Kadamban both describe a mixture of religious founded on observation of cootemporary life In an interesting passages he reconots the king s visit to a Buddhist ascotic The influence of the holy man causes the more intelligent animals in his neighbourhood, such as parrots to devote themselves to Buddhist lore but he is surrounded by devotees of the most diverse see Jains Bhagavatas Pancaratras Lokayatikas with followers of Kapila hanada and many

¹ Bee Ettingh men, Harskavardlana, Appendix m.

The appearance of Gauri as a dec en much so at the end hardly shows that Harsha's Briddham had a Saktlat tings but it does show that Buddhists of that period turned naturally to Sivatic mythology.

⁸ Harshacarita, chap. vitt. The parrots were expounding Vasubondhus Abhi dharmakods. Bins frequently describes troops of holy men apparently living in harmony but including followers of most diverse sects. See Kan mbari, 193 and 204. Harshaca. 67

other teachers Mayûra, another literary protégé of Harsha's, was like Bâna a Brahman, and Subandhu, who flourished a little before them, ignores Buddhism in his romance called Vâsavadattâ But Bhartrihari, the still popular gnomic poet, was a Buddhist It is true that he oscillated between the court and the cloister no less than seven times, but this vacillation seems to have been due to the weakness of the flesh, not to any change of convictions. For our purpose the gist of this literature is that Hinduism in many forms, some of them very unorthodox, was becoming the normal religion of India but that there were still many eminent Buddhists and that Buddhism had sufficient prestige to attract Harsha and sufficient life to respond to his patronage

About 600 a D India was exhausted by her struggle with the Huns After it there remained only a multitude of small states and obscure dynasties, but there was evidently a readiness to accept any form of unifying and tranquillizing rule and for nearly half a century this was provided by Harsha He conquered northern India from the Panjab to Bengal but failed to subdue the Deccan Though a great part of his reign was spent in war, learning and education flourished Hsuan Chuang, who was his honoured guest, gives a good account of his administration but also makes it plain that brigandage prevailed and that travelling was dangerous

After 643 Harsha, who was growing elderly, devoted much attention to religion and may be said to have become a Buddhist, while allowing himself a certain electric freedom. Several creeds were represented among his immediate relatives. Devotion to Siva was traditional in the family his father had been a zealous worshipper of the Sun and his brother and sister were Buddhists of the Sammitiya sect. Harsha by no means disowned Brahmanic worship, but in his latter years his proclivity to Buddhism became more marked and he endeavoured to emulate the piety of Asoka. He founded rest houses and hospitals, as well as monasteries and thousands of stupas. He prohibited the taking of life and the use of animal food, and of the three periods into which his day was divided two were devoted to religion and one to business. He also exercised a surveillance over the whole Buddhist order and advanced mentonous members.

Hsuan Chuang has left an interesting account of the religious

fôtes and spectacles organized by Harsha. At Kananj he attended a great assembly during which a solemn procession took place every day. A golden image of Buddha was borne on an olephant and Harsha, diresed as Indra held a canopy over it while his ally Raja Kumara! dressed as Brahah waved a fly whisk. It was subsequently washed hy the king s own hands and in the overning his Majesty, who like Akbar had a taste for religious discussion listened to the arguments of his Chinese guest. But the royal instructions that no one was to speak against the Martes of the Law means promption that were his homenhar. Master of the Law were so peremptory that even his biographer admits there was no real discussion. These edilying pageants autitie toere was no real discussion. These edifying pageants were interrupted by disagreeable incidents which show that Harsha's tolerance had not produced complete harmony. A temporary monastery orected for the fittes caught fire and a fanatio attempted to stab the king. He confessed under examination that he had been instigated to the crime by Brahmana who were jealous of the favours which the Buddhists received. It was also established that the incendiaries were Brahmans and after the ringleaders had been punished five hundred were exided. Harsha then proceeded to Allahabad to superintend a quinquennial distribution of alms. It was his oustom to let treasure accumulate for five years and then to divide it among holy men and the poor The proceedings lasted divide it among foly men and the poor. The proceedings is ster seventy five days and the concourse which collected to gaze and receive must have resembled the fair still held on the same spot. Buddhists Brahmans and Jams all partook of the royal bounty and the images of Boddha Sûrya and Siva were worshipped on and the images of foodcas ourys and over were worshipped on successive days though greater honour was shown to the Buddha. The long gave away overything that he had, even his robes and jewels and finally arrayed in clothes borrowed from his sister rejoiced saying all I have has entered into incorruptible and imperishable treasuries. After this adds Heilan Chnang the kings vassals affered him jewels and robes so that the treasury was replenished. This was the sixth quinquential distribution which Harsha had held and the last, for he died in 648 He at first favoored the Hinayana but subsequently went over to the Mahayana, being moved in part by the exhortations of Hellan Chuang

¹ It is curious that Bâna (Harshacariia vn.) says of this prince that from child hood he resolved naver to worship anyone but Siva.

Yet the substance of Hsuan Chuang's account is that though Buddhism was prospering in the Far East it was decaying in India Against this can be set instances of royal piety like those described, the fame enjoyed by the shrines and schools of Magadha and the eonversion of the king of Tibet in 638 AD This event was due to Chinese as well as Indian influence, but would hardly have occurred unless in north-eastern India Buddhism had been esteemed the religion of eivilization Still Hsuan Chuang's long catalogue of deserted monasteries¹ has an unmistakable significance The decay was most pronounced in the north-west and south In Gandhara there were only a few Buddhists more than a thousand monasteries stood untenanted and the Buddha's sacred bowl had vanished In Takshasîla the monasteries were numerous but desolate in Kashmir the people followed a mixed faith Only in Udyâna was Buddhism held in high esteem In Sind the monks were numerous but indolent

No doubt this desolation was largely due to the depredations of Mihiragula. In the Deccan and the extreme south there was also a special cause, namely the prevalence of Jamism, which somewhat later became the state religion in several kingdoms. In Kalinga, Andhra and the kingdom of the Colas the pilgim reports that Jams were very numerous but counts Buddhist monasteries only by tens and twenties. In Dravida there were also 10,000 monks of the Sthavira school but in Malakuta among many ruined monasteries only a few were still inhabited and here again Jams were numerous.

For all Central India and Bengal the pilgim's statistics tell the same tale, namely that though Buddhism was represented both by monasteries and monks, the Deva-temples and unbelievers were also numerous. The most favourable accounts are those given of Kanauj, Ayodhya and Magadha where the sacred sites naturally caused the devout to congregate

The statistics which he gives as to sects are interesting² The total number of monks amounted to about 183,000 Of these only 32,000 belonged definitely to the Mahayana more

¹ The Råshtrapålaparıprıcchâ (Ed Finot, pp ix-x1, 28-33) inveighs against the moral degeneration of the Buddhist clergy. This work was translated into Chinese between 589 and 618, so that demoralisation must have begun in the sixth century.

² See Rhys Davids in JRAS 1891, pp 418 ff

than 96 000 to the Hunyana and 54 500 studied both systems or at any rate resided in monasteries which telerated either course of study. Some writers speak as if after our era Mahayan. ism was predominant in India and the Hinavana banished to its extremo confines such as Ceylon and hashmir Let about a D 640 this zealous Mahayanist' states that half the monks of India were definitely Himayanist while less than a fifth had equally definite Mahayamst convictions. The Mahayana inid less stress on monasticism than the Hinayana and therefore its strength may bave lain among the laits, but even so the admitted strength of the Ilinayana is remarkable. Three Hinayanist schools are frequently mentioned the Sthaviras Sarvastivadius and Sam mitigas. The first are the well known Sinhalese seet and were found chiefly in the south (Conjecuaram) and in East Bengal besides the monks of the Sinhalese monasters at Casa. The Sarvastivadins were found as their history would lead us to expect chiefly in the north and beyond the frontiers of India proper But both were outnumbered by the Sammithas who amounted to nearly 44 000 monks. The chief doctrine of this sect is said to have been that individuals (nuggalo) exist as such in the truest sense. This doctrine was supported by reference to the sutra known as the Burden and the Burden bearer. It does not assert that there is a permanent and unchangeable soul (atta) but it emphasizes the reality and importance of that personality which all accept as true for practical purposes. It is probable that in practice this belief differed little from the ordinary Brahmanic doctrine of metempsychosis and this may be one reason for the prevalence of the sect

I-Ching though he does not furnish statistics gives a clear conspectus of Buddhist sects as they existed in his time. He starts from the ancient eighteen sects but divides them into four groups or Nikâyas (a) The Ārya Mahasanghika nikâya This comprised seven subdivisions but was apparently the least influential school as it was not predominant anywhere though

¹ Hitlan Chuang was not disposed to underrate the numbers of the Mahayana for he says that the monks of Crylon were Mahayanists.

⁸ Bee the beginning of the Kashavatthu. The doctrine is formulated in the words Paggalo upsiabbhati sectilatithaparamathenati, and three follows a discussion between a member of the orthodox school and a Purgulavidin that is one who believes in the existence of a person, soon or entity which transmigrates from this world to another.

it coexisted with other schools in most parts. The Lokottaravâdıns mentioned by Hsuan Chuang as existing at Bamıyan belonged to it They held that the Buddha was not subject to the laws of nature (b) Arya-Sthavıra-nıkâya This is the school to which our Pali Canon belongs It was predominant in southern India and Ceylon and was also found in eastern Bengal (c) The Ârya-Mûla-sarvâstıvâda-nıkâya with four subdivisions Almost all belonged to this school in northern India and it was flourishing in Magadha (d) The Arya-Sammitîya-nikâya with four subdivisions flourished in Lâta and Sindhu Thus the last three schools were preponderant in southern, northern and western India respectively All were followed in Magadha, no doubt because the holy places and the University of Nâlandâ attracted all shades of opinion, and Bengal scems to have been similarly catholic This is substantially the same as Hsuan Chuang's statement except that I-Ching takes a more favourable view of the position of the Saivastivada, either because it was his own school or because its position had really improved

It would seem that in the estimation of both pilgrims the Maha- and Hinayana are not schools but modes in which any school can be studied. The Nikâya¹ or school appears to have been chiefly, though not exclusively, concerned with the rule of discipline which naturally had more importance for Buddhist monks than it has for European scholars. The observances of each Nikâya were laid down in its own recension of the scriptures which was sometimes bral and sometimes in writing. Probably all the eighteen schools had separate Vinayas, and to some extent they had different editions of the other Pitakas, for the Sarvâstivâdins had an Abhidharma of their own. But there was no objection to combining the study of Sarvâstivâdin literature with the reading of treatises by Asanga and Vasu-

There were in India at this time (1) two vehicles, Maha- and Hinayana, (2) four speculative schools, Vaibhâshikas, etc., (3) four disciplinary schools, Mûla sarvâstivâdins, etc. These three classes are obviously not mutually exclusive. Thus I Ching approved of (a) the Mahayana, (b) the Mâdhyamika and Yogâcâra, which he did not consider inconsistent and (c) the Mûla sarvâstivâda.

¹ This use of Nikâya must not be confused with its other use to denote a division of the Sûtra-Pitaka. It means a group or collection and hence can be used to denote either a body of men or a collection of treatises. These Nikâyas are also not the same as the four schools (Vaibhâshikās, etc.), mentioned above, which were speculative. Similarly in Europe a Presbyterian may be a Calviniat, but Presbyterianism has reference to Church government and Calvinism to doctrine.

long ages²

handhul or sutras such as the Lotus which I-Ching's master read out of udy for sixty years. I-Ching himself seems to regard the two Vehicles as alternative forms of religion both excellent in their way much as a Catholic theologian might impartially explain the respective advantages of the active and contemplative lives. With resolutions rightly formed he says we should look forward to meeting the coming Buddhu Maitroya. If we wish to gain the lesser fruition (of the Hinayana) we may pursue it through the eight grades of sanctification. But if we learn to follow the course of the greater fruition (of

the Mahayana) we must try to uccomplish our work through

I-Ching observes that both Vehicles agree in prescribing the same discipline lu prohibiting the same offences and enjoining the practice of the uoble truths. His views which ure substantially those of Hsüan Chuang² must be these current in the seventh century when the Hinayana was ullowing the Mahayana to overgrow it without resistance but the relations of the two creeds ure sometimes stated differently. For instance the Angulimâlya sutra known only in a Tibetan translation states that whereas for the Hinayana such formulæ as the four truths and the eightfold path are of cardinal importance the Mahayana does not recognize them and it is undoubtedly true that the Vaipulya sutras frequently ignore the familiar doctrines of early Buddhism and hint that they belong to a rudimentary stage of instruction

I-Ching makes no mention of persecution but he deplores the decay of the faith — The teaching of the Buddhu is becoming less prevalent lu the world from day to day 'he says — When I compare what I have witnessed in my younger days and what I see to-day in my old ago the state is altogether different and we are bearing witness to this and it is hoped we shall be more

¹ I-Ching transl. Takakusu, p. 180.

Three Ass blya Kalpas. I Ching Takakusu a transl. pp. 190-7. He seems to regard the Mahayana as the better way. If on quoter Nikajimas a silusions to Avalokius and Amilkyos with apparent space of the less how one of his teachers worshipped Amilkyourite scripture of another. He further tells us that the Midhyamiba and the Yoga spriems are both perfectly correct.

Hallan Chuang speaks of Mahaya bet belonging to the Sthavira school.

Quoted by Bookhill Life of the Buddha, pp. 100 ff

attentive in future" Though he speaks regretfully of lax or incorrect discipline, he does not complain of the corruption of the faith by Tantrism and magical practices. He does however deprecate in an exceedingly curious passage the prevalence of religious suicide¹

Except for progressive decay, the condition of Indian Buddhism as described by the two pilgrims is much the same Meals were supplied to monks in the monasteries and it was no longer usual to beg for food in the streets, since the practice is mentioned by I-Ching as exceptional On Upavasatha days it was the custom for the pious laity to entertain the monks and the meal was sometimes preceded by a religious service performed before an image and accompanied by music describes the musical services with devout enthusiasm priests perform the ordinary service late in the afternoon or in the evening twilight They come out of the monastery and walk three times round a stupa, offering incense and flowers Then they all kneel down and one of them who sings well begins to chant hymns describing the virtues of the great Teacher and continues to sing ten or twenty ślokas They then return to the place in the monastery where they usually assemble and, when all have sat down, a reciter mounting the lion-seat (which is near the head priest) reads a short sutra Among the scriptures for such an occasion the 'Service in three parts' is often used This is a selection of Aśvaghosha The first part contains ten ślokas of a hymn The second part is a selection from some scripture consisting of the Buddha's words Then there is an additional hymn as the third part of the service, of more than ten ślokas, being prayers that express the wish to bring one's ments to maturity After the singing the assembled Bhikshus exclaım Subhâshıta or Sâdhu, that is well-said or biavo reader descends and the Bhikshus in order salute the lion-seat, the seats of Bodhısattvas and Arhats, and the superior of the monastery2"

¹ Chaps xxxvIII and xxxIX He seems to say that it is right for the laity to make an offering of their bodies by burning but not for Bhikshus The practice is recognized and commended in the Lotus, chap xxII, which however is a later addition to the original work

² I Ching, transl Takakusu, pp 153-4 somewhat abridged I Ching (pp 156-7) speaks of Mâtricheta as the principal hymn writer and does not identify him with Aśvaghosha

I-Ching also tells us of the ceremonal bathing of images and prefaces his description by the remark that the meaning of the Truths is so prefound that it is a matter beyond the comprehension of vuigar minds while the ablution of the holy images is practicable for all. Though the Great Teacher has entered Nirvana yet his image exists and we should worship it with zeal as though in his presence. Those who constantly offer incense and flowers to it are enabled to purify their thoughts and those who perpetually hathe his image are enabled to over come the sins that involve them in darkness! He appears to contemplate chiefly the veneration of images of Sakyamuni but figures of Bodhisattvia were also conspicuous features in temples as we know not only from archaeology but from the biography of Helian Chiang where it is said that worshippers used to threw flowers and silk scarves at the image of Avalekita and draw augures from the way they fell

Monastenes were liberally decorated with statees carvings and pictures. They often comprised several courts and temples Histan Chuang says that a monastery in Magadha which he calls Ti lo-shi ka had four courts with three storoyed halls lofty terraces and a succession of open passages. At the head of the read through the middle gate were three temples with disks on the roof and hung with small bells the bases were surrounded by halustrades and doors windows beams walls and stairs were ornamented with gilt work in rollef. In the three temples were large images representing the Buddha Tara and Avalokita.

and Avalound

The great centres of Buddhist learning and menastic life mentioned by both pilgnms were Valabli or Balabhi in Gujarat and Nalanda. The former was a district rather than a single locality and contained 100 menasteries with 6000 menks of the Sammittya school. Nalanda was in Bingadha not far from Gava. The date of its foundation is unknown but a great temple (though apparently out the first) was built about 485 a p. 3

I-Ching says that monasteries commonly had a statue of Mahakaha as a suardian deity

rumen overt

² I believe the golden image in the Arakan Pagoda at M relatay is atill washed with a ceremonial resembling that described by I-Ching.

By the Gupta king, Narwi ha Gupta Bhladilya. Much information about Misanik will be found in Baits Chandra Vildyabhusana a Melawel School of lasten Lopic, pp. 145-147 Hafan Chuang (Life tranal Beal, p. 111) may that it was

Fa-Hsien mentions a village called Nala but without indicating that it was a seat of learning Hence it is probable that the University was not then in existence or at least not celebrated Hsuan Chuang describes it as containing six monasteries built by various kings and surrounded by an enclosing wall in which there was only one gate I-Ching writing later says that the establishment owned 200 villages and contained eight halls with more than 3000 monks In the neighbourhood of the monastery were a hundred sacred spots, several marked by temples and topcs It was a resort for Buddhists from all countries and an educational as well as a religious centre I-Ching says that students spent two or three years there in learning and disputing after which they went to the king's court in search of a government appointment Successful ment was rewarded not only by rank but by grants of land Both pilgrims mention the names of several celebrities connected with Nalanda But the worthies of the seventh century did not attain to more than scholastic eminence The most important literary figure of the age is Sântideva of whose life nothing is known His writings however prove that the Buddhism of this period was not a corrupt superstition, but could inspire and nourish some of the most beautiful thoughts which the creed has produced

built 700 years before his time, that is, in the first century BC. He dwells on the beauty of the buildings, ponds and flowers

CHAPTER XXIV

DECADENCE OF BUDDHISM IN INDIA

THE theme of this chapter is sad for it is the decadence degradation and ultimate disappearance of Buddhism in India. The other great religious offer no precise parallel to this phenomenon but they also do not offer a parallel to the circumstances of Buddhism at the time when it flourished in its native land Mohammedanism has been able to maintain itself in comparative isolation up to the present day Moslims and Christians share the same cities rather than the same thoughts especially when (as often) they belong to different races European Christianity after a few centuries of existence had to contend with no rival of approximately equal strength for the struggle with Moham medanism was chiefly military and hardly concerned the merits of the faiths But Buddhism never had a similarly paramount and unchallenged position. It nover attempted to extirpate its rivals. It coexisted with a mass of popular superstition which it only cently reprobated and with a powerful hereditary pricethood both intellectual and plant tenacious of their own ideas and yet ready to countenance almost any other ideas as the price of ruling Neither Islam nor Christianity had such au adversary and both of them and even Judaism resemble Buddhism in having won greater success outside their native lands than in them Jermalem is not an altogether satisfactory spectacle to either Christians or Jews1

Still all this does not completely explain the disappearance of Buddhism from India Before attempting to assign reasons we shall do well to review some facts and dates relating to the penod of decadence. If we take all India into consideration the penod is long but in many indeed in most districts the process of decay was rapid

In the preceding chapter I have mentioned the accounts of Indian Buddhism which we owe to the Chinese travellers Hsiian Chuang and I-Ching The latter frankly deplores the decay of

the faith which he had witnessed in his own life (i e about 650-700 AD) but his travels in India were of relatively small extent and he gives less local information than previous pilgiims Hsuan Chuang describing India in 629-645 A D is unwilling to admit the decay but his truthful narrative lets it be seen. It is only of Bengal and the present United Provinces that he can be said to give a favourable account, and the prosperity of Buddhism there was largely due to the personal influence of Harsha¹ In central and southern India, he tells us of little but deserted monasteries It is clear that Buddhism was dying out but it is not so clear that it had ever been the real religion of this region In many parts it did not conquer the population but so to speak built fortresses and left garusons It is probable that the Buddhism of Andhra, Kalinga and the south was represented by little more than such outposts They included Amarâvatı, where portions of the ruins seem assignable to about 150 AD, and Ajantâ, where some of the cave paintings are thought to be as late as the sixth century But of neither site can we give any continuous history In southern India the introduction of Buddhism took place under the auspices of Asoka himself, though his inscriptions have as yet been found only in northern Mysore and not in the Tamil country Tamil poems Manimêgalei and Silappadigaram, especially the former, represent it as prevalent and still preserving much of its ancient simplicity Even in later times when it had almost completely disappeared from southern India, occasional Buddhist temples were founded Rajaraja endowed one at Negapatam about 1000 Ap In 1055 a monastery was erected at Belgami in Mysore and a Buddhist town named Kalavati is mentioned as existing in that state in 15332 But in spite of such survivals, even in the sixth century Buddhism could not compete in southern India with either Jainism or Hinduism and there are no traces of its existence in the Deccan after 1150

For the Konkan, Maharashtra and Gujarat, Hsuan Chuang's statistics are fairly satisfactory. But in all this region the Sammitiya sect which apparently was nearer to Hinduism than the others was the most important. In Ujjain Buddhism was

¹ Even at Kanauj, the scene of Harsha's pious festivities, there were 100 Buddhist monasteries but 200 Deva temples

² Rice, Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, p 203

xxiv)

almost extinct hat in many of the western states it lingered on perhaps only in Isolated monasteries until the twolfth century Inscriptions found at Kanhori (843 and 851 Apr), Dambal (1005 Apr) and in Miraj (1110 Apr) testify that grants were made to monasteries at these late dates! But further north the faith had to endure the violence of strangers. Sind was conquered by the Arabis in 712 Gujarat and the surrounding country were invaded by northern tribes and such invasions were always mimical to the prespority of monasteries.

This is oven more true of the Panjah the frontier provinces and Kashmir The older invaders such as the Yüch-chili had been favourably disposed to Buddhism but those who came later such as the Huns were predaceous barbarians with httle religion of any sort. In Hstian Chuang's time it was only in Udyana that Buddhism could be said to be the religion of the people and the torrent of Mohammedan Invasion which swept continuously through these countries during the middle ages overwhelmed all carber religious and oven Hinduism had to yield In hashmir Buddhism soon became corrupt and according to the Rajatarangint the monks began to marry as early as the sixth century. Ming Lalitaditya (733-769) is credited with having built monasteries as well as temples to the Sun but his successors were Sivaltes.

Bengal especially western Bengal and Bihar was the strong hold of decadent Buddhism though even here hostile influences were not absent. But about 730 A D a plous Buddhist named Gopfals founded the Pala dynasty and extended his power ever Magadha The Palas ruled for about 450 years and supplied a long and devout line of defenders of the faith But to the east of their dominions lay the principality of Kanauj a state of varying size and fortunes and from the eighth century enwards a stronghold of Brahmanie learning

The revolution in Hinduism which definitely defeated though it did not annihilate Buddhism is generally connected with the names of Kumārlja Bhaṭṭa (c. 750) and Sankara (c. 800) We know the doctrines of these teachers for many of their works have come down to us but when we ouquire what was their political importance or the scope and extent of the

¹ See the note by Bühler in Journ, Pell Teri Soc. 1800, p. 108, Răjatara gini III. 1...

movement which they championed we are conscious (as so often) of the extraordinary vagueness of Indian records even when the subject might appeal to religious and philosophic minds¹ Kumârila is said to have been a Brahman of Bihar who abjured Buddhism for Hinduism and raged with the ardour of a proselyte against his ancient faith Tradition² represents him as instigating King Sudhanvan to exterminate the Buddhists But nothing is known of this king and he cannot have had the extensive empire with which he is credited

Sankara was a Brahman of the south who in a short life found time to write numerous works, to wander over India, to found a monastic order and build four monasteries. In doctrine and discipline he was more pliant than Kumârila and he assimilated many strong points of Buddhism Both these teachers are depicted as the successful heroes of public disputations in which the interest at stake was considerable vanguished had to become a disciple of the vanguisher or to forfeit his life and, if he was the head of an institution, to surrender its property These accounts, though exaggerated, are probably a florid version of what occurred and we may surmise that the popular faith of the day was generally victorious What violence the rising tide of Hinduism may have wrought, it is hard to say There is no evidence of any general persecution of Buddhism in the sense in which one Christian sect persecuted another in Europe But at a rather later date we hear that Jams were persecuted and tortured by Saiva princes both in southern India and Gujarat, and if there were any detailed account, epigraphic or literary, of such persecutions in the eighth and ninth centuries, there would be no reason for doubting it But no details are forthcoming Without resorting to massacre, an anti-Buddhist king had in his power many effective methods of hostility He might confiscate or transfer monastic property, or forbid his subjects to support monks Considering the state of Buddhism as represented by Hsuan Chuang and I-Ching it is probable that such measures would suffice to ensure the triumph of the Brahmans in most parts of India

 $^{^1}$ See for the supposed persecution of Buddhism in India, $J\ P\ T\ S$ 1896, pp 87-92 and 107-111 and $J\ R\ A\ S$ 1898, pp 208-9

As contained in the Sankara dig vijaya ascribed to Mâdhava and the Sankaravijaya ascribed to Ânandagiri

After the epoch of Sankara the history of Indian Buddhism is confined to the Pala kingdom Elsewhere we hear only of isolated grants to monasteries and similar acts of piety often striking but bardly worthy of mention in comparison with the enormous number of Brahmanic inscriptions But in the Pala kingdom1 Buddhism though corrupt was flourishing so far as the number of its adherents and royal favour were concerned Gopals founded the monastery of Odontapur or Udandapura. which according to some authorities was in the town of Bihar Dharmapåla the second king of the dynasty (c 800 A.D) built on the north bank of the Ganges the even more celebrated University of Vikramatila where many commentance were composed. It was a centre not only of tantric learning but of lone and grammar and is interesting as showing the connection between Bengal and Tibet Tibetans studied there and Sanskrit books were translated into Tibetan within its cloisters. Dharmspals is said to have reigned sixty four years and to have held his court at Patns which had fallen into decay but now began to revive According to Taranatha his successor Devapala built Somapuri conquered Oriasa and waged war with the unbelievers who had become numerous no doubt as a result of the preaching of Sankara But as a rule the Palas though they favoured Buddhism did not actively discourage Hindrism They even gave grants to Hindn temples and their prime ministers were generally Brahmans who sused to erect non Buddhist images in Buddhist shrines The dynasty continued through the eleventh century and in this period some information as to the condition of Indian Buddhism is afforded by the relations between Bengal and Tibet After the persecution of the tenth century Tibetan Buddhism was revived by the preaching of monks from Bengal. Mahipala then occupied the throne (c 978-1030) and during his reign various learned men accepted invita-

¹ Taranaths in his twenty-eighth and following chapters gives an account, unfortunately very confused, of the condition of Buddhism under the Pala dynasty See also B. K. Sarkar Follows Element is Hundi Culture, chap. XII, in which there are many interesting statements but not sufficient references.

Bee Vidyabhusana a Medicard School of Indica Lopic, p. 180, for an account of this measurary which was perhaps at the modern Phritis hare. I have found no account of what happened to N I ad in this period but it seems to have disappeared as a seat of learning.

See Tiranitha, chap. a. ...

tions to Tibet More celebrated is the mission of Atîsa, a monk of the Vikramaśila monastery, which took place about 1038 That these two missions should have been invited and despatched shows that in the eleventh century Bengal waś a centre of Buddhist learning Probably the numerous Sanskrit works preserved in Tibetan translations then existed in its monasteries But about the same time the power of the Pâla dynasty, and with it the influence of Buddhism, were curtailed by the establishment of the rival Sena dynasty in the eastern provinces Still, under Râmapâla, who reigned about 1100, the great teacher Abhayakara was an ornament of the Mahayana Târanâtha¹ says that he corrected the text of the scriptures and that in his time there were many Pandits and resident Bhikshus in the monasteries of Vikramasîla, Bodh-Gaya and Odontapuri

There is thus every reason to suppose that in the twelfth century Buddhism still flourished in Bihar, that its clergy numbered several thousands and its learning was held in esteem The blow which destroyed its power was struck by a Mohammedan invasion in 1193 In that year Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Muhammad², a general of Kuth-ud-Din, invaded Bihar with a band of only two hundred men and with amazing audacity seized the capital, which, consisting chiefly of palaces and monasteries, collapsed without a blow. The monks were massacred to a man, and when the victors, who appear not to have understood what manner of place they had captured, asked the meaning of the libraries which they saw, no one was found capable of reading the books 3 It was in 1193 also that Benares was conquered by the Mohammedans I have found no record of the sack of the monastery at Sarnath but the runs are said to show traces of fire and other indications that it was overwhelmed by some sudden disaster

The Mohammedans had no special animus against Buddhism They were iconoclasts who saw ment in the destruction of images and the slaughter of idolaters. But whereas Hinduism was spread over the country, Buddhism was concentrated in

¹ Chap XXXVI It is interesting to notice that even at this late period he speaks of Hinayanists in Bengal.

² Often called Muhammad Bakhtyar but Bakhtyar seems to have been really his father's name

³ Raverty, Tabat : Nasırı, p 552 "It was discovered that the whole of that fortress and city was a college and in the Hindi tongue they call a college Bihar."

the great monastones and when these were destroyed there remained nothing outside them capable of withstanding either the violence of the Moslims or the assimilative influence of the Brahmans Honeo Buddhism suffered far more from these invasions than Hinduism but still vestiges of it lingered long¹ and exist even now in Orissa. Täranätha says that the immediate result of the Meshim conquest was the dispersal of the surviving teachers and this may explain the sporadic occurrence of late Buddhist inscriptions in other parts of India He also tells us that a king named Cangalaraja restored the ruined Buddhist temples of Bengal about 1450 Elsewhere he gives a not discouraging picture of Buddhism in the Decean Gnjarat and Rajputana after the Moslim conquest of Magadha but adds that the study of magic became more and more prevalent. In the life of Caltanya it is stated that when travelling in southern India (about 1510 A p) he argued with Buddhists and confuted thom apparently somowhere in Arcot? Manuscripts preserved in Nopal indicate that as late as the fifteenth or sixteenth century Bengali copyists wrote out Buddhist works and there is evidence that Bodh-Gaya continued to be a place of pilgrimage In 1585 it was visited by a Nopalese named Abhaya Raja who on his return erected in Patan a monaster, imitated from what he had seen in Bongal and in 1777 the Tashi Lama sent an embassy But such instances prove little as to the religion of the surrounding Hindu population for at the present day numerous Buddhist pilgrims especially Burmese frequent the shrine The control of the temple passed into the hands of the Brahmans and for the ordinary Bengali Buddha became a member of India a numerous pantheon Pandit Harapraiad Sastri mentions a singular poem called Buddhacaritra completed in 1711 and celebrating an incarnation of Buddha which appear ently commenced in 1600 and was to ond in the reappearance of the golden age But the being called Buddha is a form of Vishon and the work is as strange a jumble of religion as it is

¹ Many of them have been collected by Fandit Harapresad Sastri in Jour As. Soc. Bengal 1835, pp. 55 ft. and in his Descovery of I ring Buddhirn in Bragal, Calcutta, 1897

² Chap. xi. of fin. Is the Pamarandra whom he mentions the last Yadava-King (about 1314)? Tăranătha speaks of his son.

Caitanya-carit amrita, chap. vII, transl. by Jadenath Sarker p. 85. This blog aphy was written in 1882 by Krishnadas. Caltanya died in 1833.

of languages, being written in "a curious medley of bad Sanskrit, bad Hindi and bad Bihari"

It is chiefly in Orissa that traces of Buddhism can still be found within the limits of India proper. The Saraks of Baramba, Tigaria and the adjoining parts of Cuttack describe themselves as Buddhists. Their name is the modern equivalent of Srâvaka and they apparently represent an ancient Buddhist community which has become a sectarian caste. They have little knowledge of their religion but meet once a year in the cave temples of Khandagiri, to worship a deity called Buddhadeva or Caturbhuja All their ceremonies commence with the formula Ahimsa parama dharma and they respect the temple of Puri, which is suspected of having a Buddhist origin

Nagendranâth Vasu has published some interesting details as to the survival of Buddhist ideas in Orissa². He traces the origin of this hardy though degraded form of Mahayanism to Râmâi Pandit³, a tantric Acârya of Magadha who wrote a work called Sûnya Purâna which became popular. Orissa was one of the regions which offered the longest resistance to Islam, for it did not succumb until 1568. A period of Sivaism in the tenth and eleventh centuries is indicated by the temples of Bhubanesvar and other monuments. But in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries the reigning dynasty were worshippers of Vishnu and built the great temples at Puri and Konârak, dedicated to Jagannâtha and Sûrya-nârâyana respectively. We do not however hear that they persecuted Buddhism and there are reasons for thinking that Jagannâtha is a form of the Buddha⁴ and that the temple at Puri was originally a Buddhist site. It

¹ Census of India, 1901 vol. vi Bengal, pp 427-430

² The Archæological Survey of Mayurabhany (no date? 1911), vol 1 pp cv-cclxiii The part containing an account of Buddhism in Orissa is also printed separately with the title Modern Buddhism, 1911

⁸ For Râmâi Pandit see Dinesh Chandra Sen, Hist Bengali Language and Lit pp 30-37, and also B K Sarkar, Folklore Element in Hindu Culture, p 192, and elsewhere He appears to have been born at the end of the tenth century and though the Sûnya Purâṇa has been re edited and interpolated parts of it are said to be in very old Bengah

A Nagendranâth Vasu quotes a couplet from the Mahâbhârata of the poet Saraladasa 'I pay my humble respects to the incarnation of Buddha who in the form of Buddha dwells in the Nîlâcala, i.e. Puri "The Imperial Gazetteer of India (s v Puri Town) states that in modern representations of Vishnu's ten avatâras, the ninth, or Buddhâvatâra, is sometimes represented by Jagannâtha

is said that it contains a gigantic statue of the Buddha before which a wall has been built and also that the image of Jagannatha which is little more than a log of wood, is really a case enclosing a Beddhist relic. King Prataparudra († 1529) persecuted Beddhism, which implies that ut this late date its adherents wern sufficiently numerous to attract attention. Either at the beginning of his reign or before it there flourished a group of are poets of whom the principal were Accutananda Dasa and Caltanya Dasa! Their works are nominally devoted to the celebration of Arishna s praises and form the chief vernacular scripture of the Vaishnayas in Orissa but in them Krishna or the highest form of the delty by whatever name he is called is constantly identified with Sunya or the Void that favourite term of Mahavanist philosophy Passages from them are also queted stating that in the Kali ago the followers of the Buddha must disguise themselves that there are 3000 cappto-buddhists hidden in various parts of Oriesa, that Hari has been incarnate in many Beddhas and that the Buddha will appear again on earth The phrase I take refere in the Buddha in Mata Adulakti (Dharma) and in the Sangha is also ounted from these works and Caitanya Dasa describes five Vishnus, who are apparently identical with the five Dhyani Buddhas?

Taranatha states that the last king of Orissa Mukunda Deva whe was overthrown by the Mehammedans in 1608 was a Buddhist and foeeded some temples and monastories. In the seventeenth centery there flourished a Buddhist poet named Mahadovadasa and the Tibetan pilgrim Buddhagupta visited among other sites the old capital of Mayurabhanja and saw a stupa there. It is claimed that the tribe knewn as Bathuris or Bauns have always been crypto beddhists and have preserved their ancient customs. They are hewever ne credit to their religion for one of their principal eeromenics is hook swinzing.

The doctrine of the Bathuris is called Mahima Dharma and expenenced an interesting revival in 1875. A blind man named Bhima Bhot had a vision of the Buddha who restered his sight

¹ I give the dates or the authority of Narandra Nath while thinking that they may be same-stat too early. The two authors named wrote the Sünya S whita and Nirguna Mahatunya tous, tired;

La cixxvi fl., ocxix-cexxiii, eexxxi.

Anthor of a poem called Dharmagita.

Le, exvifi, and coxxell.

I Le. coxxxiv fi

and bade him preach the law He attracted some thousands of adherents and led a band to Puri proclaiming that his mission was to bring to light the statue of Buddha concealed in the temple. The Raja resisted the attempt and the followers of Bhîma Bhoi were worsted in a sanguinary encounter. Since that time they have retired to the more remote districts of Orissa and are said to hold that the Buddha will appear again in a new incarnation. They are also called Kumbhipatias and according to the last census of India (1911) are hostile to Brahmans and probably number about 25,000

Traces of Buddhism also survive in the worship of a deity called Dharma-Râjâ or Dharma-Thakur which still prevails in western and southern Bengal¹ Priests of this worship are usually not Brahmans but of low caste, and Haraprasad thinks that the laity who follow it may number "several millions" Though Dharma has come to be associated with the goddess of small-pox and is believed even by his adorers to be a form of Vishnu or of Siva, yet Dhyâna, or meditation, forms a part of his worship and the prayers and literature of the sect retain some traces of his origin. Thus he is said to be highly honoured in Ceylon and receives the epithet Sûnyamûrti

A comput form of Buddhism still exists in Nepal² This country when first heard of was in the hands of the Nevars who have preserved some traditions of a migration from the north and are akin to the Tibetans in race and language, though like many non-Aryan tribes they have endeavoured to invent for themselves a Hindu pedigree Buddhism was introduced under Asoka As Indian influence was strong and communication with Tirhut and Bengal easy, it is probable that Buddhism in Nepal reflected the phases which it underwent in Bengal A Nepalese inscription of the seventh century gives a list of shrines of which seven are Sivaite, six Buddhist and four Vishnuite³ After that date it was more successful in main-

¹ See Haraprasad Sastri, lc He gives a curious account of one of his temples in Calcutta. See also B K Sarkar, Folllore Element in Hindu Culture for the decadence of Buddhism in Bengal and its survival in degenerate forms

See B H Hodgson, Essays on the languages, literature and religion of Nepal and Tibet, 1874 For the religion of Nepal see also Wright, History of Nepal, 1877 C Bendall, Journal of Literary and Archæological Research in Nepal, 1886, Rajendralal Mitra, Sanskrit Buddhist literature of Nepal, and especially S Lévi, Le Népal, 3 vols 1905-8

 $^{^3}$ S Lévi in J A ii 1904, p 225 He gives the date as 627

taining Itself for it did not suffer from Voliammedan attacks and was less exposed to the assimilative influence of Brahman ism That Influence however though operating in a foreign country and on people not hred among Brahmanic traditions was nevertheless strong. In 1324 the king of Tirhut being expelled thence by Mohammedans selzed the throne of Acpal and brought with him many learned Brahmans His dynasty was not permanent hut later in the fourteenth century a subsequent ruler Jayasthiti organized society and religion ln consultation with the Brahman immigrants. The followers of the two religions were arranged in parallel divisions n group of Buddhists classified according to occupation corresponding to each Hindu caste and appropriate rules and ceremonies were prescribed for the different sections. The code then established is still in force in essentials and Nepal being intellectually the pupil of India has continued to receive such new ideas as appeared in the plains of Bengal When these ascended to the mountain valloys they were adopted with free modification of old and new material alike by both Buddhists and Hindus, hut as both sects were geographically isolated each tended to resemble the other more than either resembled normal Buddhism or Hinduism Naturally the new ideas were mainly Brahmanle and Buddhism had no chance of being fortified by an Importa tion of even moderately orthodox doctrine. In the fourteenth century arose the community of wandering ascetics called Nathas who were reverenced by Hindus and Buddhists alike They rejected the observances of both creeds but often combined their doctrines and though disayowed by the Brahmans exercised a considerable influence among the lower castes. Some of the peculiar doities of Nopal such as Matsyendranath have attributes traceable to these wanderers. In 1769 Nepal was conquered by the Gurkhas This tribe seems related to the Thotan stock as are the Novars but it had long been hinduized and claimed a Rajput ancestry Thus Gurkha rule has favoured and accelerated the hinduizing of Nepaleso Buddhlam

Since the time of Hodgson the worship of the Adı Buddha or au original divine Buddha practically equivalent to God has been often described as characteristic of Nopalese religiou and such a worship undoubtedly exists. But recent accounts indicate that it is not prominent and also that it can hardly be con

sidered a distinct type of monotheistic Buddhism The idea that the five Dhyâni-Buddhas are emanations or manifestations of a single primordial Buddha-spirit is a natural development of Mahayanist ideas, but no definite statement of it earlier than the Kâlacakra literature is forthcoming, though many earlier works point towards it1 In modern Nepal the chief temple of the Adi-Buddha is on the hill of Svayambhû (the self-existent) near Katmandu According to a legend preserved in the Svavambhû Purâna, a special divine manifestation occurred in ancient times on an adjoining lake, a miraculous lotus arose on its surface, bearing an image, over which a Caitya was subsequently erected The shrine is greatly venerated but this Âdı-Buddha, or Svayambhû, does not differ essentially from other miraculous images in India which are said not to consist of ordinary matter but to embody in some special way the nature of a deity The religion of Nepal is less remarkable for new developments of Buddhism than for the singular fusion of Buddhism with Hinduism which it presents and which helps us to understand what must have been the last phase in Bengal

The Nepalese Brahmans tolerate Buddhism The Nepâla-mâhâtmya says that to worship Buddha is to worship Siva, and the Svayambhû Purâna returns the compliment by recommending the worship of Paśupati² The official itinerally of the Hindu pilgiim includes Svayambhû, where he adores Buddha under that name More often the two religions adore the same image under different names what is Avalokita to the one is Mahâkâla to the other Durgâ is explained as being the incarnation of the Prajñâ-pâramitâ and she is even identified with the Âdi-Buddha The Nepalese pantheon like the Tibetan contains three elements, often united in modern legends firstly aboriginal deities, such as Nagas and other nature spirits secondly definitely Buddhist deities or Bodhisattvas of whom Manjuśrî receives the most honour thirdly Hindu deities such as Ganeśa and Krishna The popular deity Matsyendranath appears to combine all three elements in his own person

Modern accounts of Nepal leave the impression that even

¹ The doctrine of the Adi-Buddha is fully stated in the metrical version of the Kâranda vyûha which appears to be a later paraphrase of the prose edition. See Winternitz, Gesch. Ind. Lit. II i. 238

² Compare the fusion of Sivaism and Buddhism in Java

corrupt Buddhism is in a bad way yet the number of religious establishments is considerable. Celibacy is not observed by their inmates who are called banras (bandyas). On ontering the order the novice takes the ancient vows but after four doys he returns to his tutor, confesses that they are too hard for him and is absolved from his obligations. The classes known as Bhikshus and Gubhārjus officiote as priests the latter being the higher order. The principal ceremony is the offering of melted butter. The more learned Gubhārjus receive the title of Vajrācāryal and hove the sole right of officiating at marrieges and funerals.

There is little learning. The oldest scriptures in use ore the so-called nino Dharmas* Hodgson describes these works as much venorated and Rajendralal Mitra has analysed them but Sylvain Lévi heard little of them in 1898 though he mentions the recitation of the Prajas paramita The Svayamhhû Purano is an account of the manifestation of the Adi Buddho written in the style of those portions of the Brahmanio Puranes which treat of the glones of some sacred place. In its present form it can hardly be earlier than the sixteenth century AD The Nopala-mahatmya is a similor work which though of Brailmanic origin, puts Buddha Vishun and Siva on the same footing and identifies the first with Lushna The Vagvati mahatmya on the other hand is strictly Sivaito and ignores Buddha a claims to worship The Vamaavali or Chronicle of Nopal written in the Gurkha language (Parbatiya) is also largely occupied with an account of sacred sites and buildings and exists in two versions one Buddhist, the other Brahmanical

But let us return to the decadence of Buddhism in India It is plain that persecution was not its main cause nor even very important among the accessory causes. The available records contain clearer statements obent the persecution of Jaintam than of Buddhism but no doubt the latter came in for some rough handling though not enough to annihilate a vigorous seet. Great numbers of monasteries in the north were demolished by the Huns and a similar catastrophe brought

 $^{^{1}}$ Or Vajrāckrya-arhat-bhlishu buddh $\,$ which in itself shows what a medley Nepalass Buddhism has become.

See above chap, xx. for some account of these works.

Dedicated to the sacred river Vagvati or Bagmati.

about the collapse of the Church in Bihar But this last incident cannot be called religious persecution, for Muhammad did not even know what he was destroying Buddhism did not arouse more animosity than other Indian religions the significant feature is that when its temples and monasteries were demolished it did not live on in the hearts of the people, as did Hinduism with all its faults

The relation between the laity and the Church in Buddhism is curious and has had serious consequences for both good and evil The layman "takes refuge" in the Buddha, his law and his church but does not swear exclusive allegiance to follow supplementary observances is not treasonable, provided they are not in themselves objectionable. The Buddha prescribed no ceremonies for births, deaths and mariages and apparently expected the laity to continue in the observance of such rites as were in use To-day in China and Japan the good layman is little more than one who pays more attention to Buddhism than to other faiths This charitable pliancy had much to do with the victories of Buddhism in the Far East, where it had to struggle against strong prejudices and could hardly have made its way if it had been intolerant of local deities But in India we see the disadvantages of the omission to make the larty members of a special corporation and the survival of the Jams, who do form such a corporation, is a clear object lesson Social life in India tends to combine men in castes or in communities which if not castes in the technical sense have much the same character Such communities have great vitality so long as they maintain their peculiar usages, but when they cease to do so they soon disintegrate and are reabsorbed Buddhism from the first never took the form of a corporation The special community which it instituted was the sangha or body of monks Otherwise, it aimed not at founding a sect but at including all the world as lay believers on easy terms This principle worked well so long as the faith was in the ascendent but its effect was disastrous when decline began dividing Buddhist laymen from ordinary Hindus became less and less marked distinctive teaching was found only in the monasteries these became poorly recruited and as they were gradually deserted or destroyed by Mohammedans the religion of the Buddha disappeared from his native land

Even in the monastenes the doctrine taught bore in closer resemblance to Hinduism than to the preaching of Cotama and it is this absence of the protestant spirit, this plant adaptability to the ideas of each age, which caused Indian Buddhism to lose its individuality and separate existence. In some localities its disappearance and absorption were proceeded by a monstrous phase known a Tantin mor Cillium in which the worst elements of Hinduism, those which would have been most repulsive to Gotama, made an unpartural alliance with his church

I treat of Tantnem and Saltiem in another chapter. The onginal meaning of Tantra as applied to literary compositions is a simplified manual! Thos we hear of Vishnuite Tantras and in this sense there is a real similarity between Buddhist and tantric teaching for both set a ide Brahmanic tradition as needles by complicated and both profe a to preach a simple and practical road to salvation. But in Hindus m and Buddhism alike such words as Tantra and tantne acquire a special sense and imply the worship of the divine energy in a female form called by many names such as Kall in the former Tara in the latter. This worship which in my opinion should be called Saktism rather than Tanto m combines many elements ancient savage superstitions as well as ingenious but fanciful speculation but its essence is always magic. It attempts to attain by magical or sacramental formula and acts not only prospenty and power but salvation nimana and union with the sopreme spirit. Some of its sects practise secret immoral rites. It is sad to confess that degenerate Buddhism did not remain uncorrupted by such abuses

It is always a difficult and speculative to k to trace the early stages of new movements in Indian religion but it is clear that by the eighth century and perhaps earlier the Buildin mot Bihar and Bengal had failen a pray to this influence. Apparently the public ritual in the Viharas remained unchanged and the usual language about nerulna and dangala was not discarded but it

¹ Hardly any Boddhist Tantras have been edited in Forope See Bendall, Subdall Israesyrala for a collection of stracts (also published in Masters 1905) and De la Vallée Poursin Rouddh em Eled it Matéria s. Id Pancakrama, 1996.

While this book was going through the press I received the Tabetan Tantra called Shri-bakraumbh ra (Avalons Tantrio T xts, vol. vii) with introduction by A. Avalon, but have not been able to mak use of it.

was taught that those who followed a certain curriculum could obtain salvation by magical methods To enter this curriculum it was necessary to have a qualified teacher and to receive from him initiation or baptism (abhisheka) Of the subsequent rites the most important is to evoke one of the many Buddhas or Bodhisattvas recognized by the Mahayana and identify oneself with him¹ He who wishes to do this is often called a sådhaka or magician but his achievements, like many Indian miracles, are due to self-hypnotization. He is directed to repair to a lonely place and offer worship there with flowers and prayers To this office succeed prolonged exercises in meditation which do not depart much from the ancient canon since they include the four Brahmâ-vihâras Their object is to suppress thought and leave the mind empty Then the sadhaka fills this void with the image of some Bodhisattva, for instance Avalokita he does by uttering mystic syllables called bîja or seed, because they are supposed to germinate and grow into the figures which he wishes to produce In this way he imagines that he sees the emblems of the Bodhisattva spring up round him one by one and finally he himself assumes the shape of Avalokita and becomes one with him Something similar still exists in Tibet where every Lama chooses a tutelary deity or Yi-dam whom he summons in visible form after meditation and fasting2 Though this procedure when set forth methodically in a mediæval manual seems an absurd travesty of Buddhism, yet it has links with the early faith It is admitted in the Pitakas that certain forms of meditation 8 lead to union with Brahmâ and it is no great change to make them lead to union with other supernatural beings Still we are not here breathing the atmosphere of the Pitakas. The object is not to share Brahmâ's heaven but to become temporarily identified with a deity, and this is not a byway of religion but the high road

But there is a further stage of degradation I have already mentioned that various Bodhisattvas are represented as accompanied by a female deity, particularly Avalokita by Târâ The

¹ See Foucher, Iconographie bouddhique, pp 8 ff De la Vallée Poussin, Bouddhisme, Études et Matériaux, pp 213 ff For Japanese tantric ceremonies see the Si Do In-Dzon in the Annales du Musée Guimet, vol. VIII

² In ancient Egypt also the Kher heb or magician priest claimed the power of becoming various gods See Budge, Osiris, II 170 and Wiedemann, Magic im alten Aegypten, 13 ff

³ The Brahmâ vihâras Eg Dig Nik xm

mythological and motaphysical ideas which have grown up round Siva and Durga also attached themselves to these couples The Buddha or Bodhisattva is represented as enjoying nirvana because he is united to his spouse and to the three bodies already enumerated is added a fourth the body of perfect blas1 Sometimes this idea merely leads to further developments of the practices described above. Thus the devotee may imagine that he enters into Tara as an embryo and is born of her as a Buddhas More often the argument is that since the blass of the Buddha consists in umon with TATA pirvana can be obtained by sexual union here, and we find many of the tantric wizards represented as accompanied by female companions. The adept should avoid all action but he is beyond good and evil and the dangerous doctrino that he can do eval with impunity which the more respectable sects repudinto is expressly taught. The sage is not defiled by passion but conquers passion by passion he should commit overy infamy he should rob he and kill Buddhas? These crazy precepts are probably little more than a speculative application to the moral sphere of the doctrine that all things are non-existent and hence equivalent But though tantrists did not go about rohhing and murdering so freely as their principles allowed there is some evidence that in the period of decadence the morality of the Bhikshus had fallen into great discredit Thus in the allegorical Vishnuite drama called Prabodhacandrodaya and written at Kalanjar near the end of the eleventh century Buddhists and Jains are repre sented as succumbing to the temptations of inebriety and voluptuousness

It is necessary to mention this phase of decadence hut no good purpose would be served by dwelling further on the absurd and often disgusting prescriptions of such works as the Tathägata-guhyaka. If the European reader is inclined to condamn unreservedly a religion which even in decreptude could find place for such monstresities he should remember that the abstrations of Indian religion are due not to its

¹ Mahimkhakiya or vajrakiya.

² De la Vallée Poumin, Boulffirms, Études et Maldraux p. 153.

⁸ See Subhdishin-arthyraka edited by Bendail. Part II. pp. 29 ff. captalally p. 41 Paravraharanam käryam paradiffufuhbrennam Vaktavyam olanitam nityam astrubudihis misa ghatayot. See also Tathāgata-guhyaka in Rajendralal Mitra a Suasirti Liku-aius in Nepul, pp. 201–204.

who follow disreputable occupations rarely suppose that they have anything to do with the Church In India, robbers, murderers, gamblers, prostitutes, and maniacs all have their appropriate gods, and had the Marquis de Sade been a Hindu he would probably have founded a new tantric sect. But though the details of Sâktism are an unprofitable study, it is of some importance to ascertain when it first invaded Buddhism and to what extent it superseded older ideas

Some critics1 seem to imply for their statements are not very explicit that Saktism formed part if not of the teaching of the Buddha, at least of the medley of beliefs held by his disciples But I see no proof that Saktist beliefs that is to say erotic mysticism founded on the worship of goddesses were prevalent in Magadha or Kosala before the Christian era Although Siri, the goddess of luck, is mentioned in the Pitakas, the popular deities whom they bring on the scene are almost exclusively masculine² And though in the older Brahmanic books there are passages which might easily become tantric, yet the transition is not made and the important truths of religion are kept distinct from unclean rites and thoughts The Brihad-âranyaka contains a chapter which hardly admits of translation but the object of the practices inculcated is simply to ensure the birth of a son The same work (not without analogies in the ecstatic utterances of Christian saints) boldly compares union with the Atman to the bliss of one who is embraced by a beloved wife, but this is a mere illustration and there is no hint of the doctrine that the goal of the religious life is obtainable by maithuna Still such passages, though innocent in themselves, make it easy to see how degrading superstitions found an easy entrance into the noblest edifices of Indian thought and possibly some heresies condemned in the Kathâvatthu³ indicate that even at this early date the Buddhist Church was contaminated by erotic fancies But, if so, there is no evidence that such malpractices were widespread

¹ For instance De la Vallée Poussin in his Bouddhisme, Études et Matériaux, 1896 In his later work, Bouddhisme, Opinions sur l'histoire de la dogmatique, he modifies his earlier views

² See Dig Nik xx and xxxπ

⁸ Kathâv xxIII 1 and 2

appendices to the Lotus' show that the worship of a many named goddess invoked as a defender of the faith was beginning to be a recognized featore of Buddhism. But they contain no indications of left banded Tantrism and the best proof that it did not become prevalent until much later is afforded by the narratives of the three Chinese pilgrims who all describe the condition of religion in India and notice anything which they thought singular or reprebensible. Fa Hsien does not mention the worship of any female deity 2 nor does the Life of Vasu bandhu but Asanga appears to aijode to Säktism in one passage? Hsuan Chuang mentions images of Tara but without hinting at tautric ritual our does I-Ching allode to it nor does the evidence of art and inscriptions attest its existence. It may have been known as a form of popular superstition and even have been practised by individual Bhikshus but the adence of I-Ching makes it improbable that it was then countenanced in the schools of Magadha. He complains of these who neglect the Vinaya and devote their whole attention to the dectrine of nothingness but he says not a word about tantrie abuses!

The change probably occurred in the next half century for Padma-Sambhava the founder of Lamaism who is said to have resided in Gaya and Nalanda and to have arrived in Tibet in 747 A.D. Is represented by tradition as a tantric wizard and about the same time translations of Taotras begin to appear in Chinese. The translations of the sixth and seventh centures including those of I-Ching comprise a considerable though not proposederant oumber of Dharants. After the seventh century

¹ These appendices are later additions to the original text but they were translated into Chinese in the third century. Among the oldest Sanskrit MSS, from Japan is the Ushpisha vijaya-dharand and there is a goddess with a similar name. But the Dharanj is not Shittit. See text in Ance. Oxon. Aryan series.

^{*} He speaks of Kwan shih yin but this is probably the male Avalokita.

Mahāyāna-sūtrālankāra, rz. 48. Of course there may be many other allusions in yet madited works of Assangs but it is noticeable that this alimsion to manifests is only made in passing and is not counceted with the e-coco of his teaching

Transl. Takakusu, p. 51

I Taranatha, chap, xxrr seems also to amign a late origin to the Tantras though his remarks are neither clear nor condutent with what he says in other pawages, life is doubtless right in ugg sting that tantric rites were practised surreptitionally before they were recognized openly

It is about this time too that we hear of Tantriam in Hindulam. In the drama Milati and Malhava (c. 730 a.b.) the beroine is kidnapped and is about to be sacrificed to the goddess Candi when she is recover.

these became very numerous and several Tantras were also translated. The inference seems to be that early in the eighth century Indian Buddhists officially recognized Tantrism

Tantric Buddhism was due to the mixture of Mahayanist teaching with aboriginal superstitions absorbed through the medium of Hinduism, though in some cases there may have been direct contact and mutual influence between Mahayanism and aboriginal beliefs But as a rule what happened was that aboriginal deities were identified with Hindu deities and Buddhism had not sufficient independence to keep its own pantheon distinct, so that Vairocana and Târâ received most of the attributes, brahmanic or barbarous, given to Siva or Kâli The worship of the goddesses, described in their hinduized form as Durgâ, Kâlî, etc., though found in most parts of India was specially prevalent in the sub-himalayan districts both east and west Now Padma-Sambhava was a native of Udyana or Swat and Târanâtha represents the chief Tantrists² as coming from there or visiting it Hsuan Chuang's tells us that the inhabitants were devout Mahayanists but specially expert in magic and exorcism He also describes no less than four sacred places in it where the Buddha in previous births gave his flesh, blood or bones for the good of others Have we here in a Buddhist form some ancient legend of dismemberment like that told of Satî ın Assam? Of Kashmır he says that its religion was a mixture of Buddhism with other beliefs4 These are precisely the conditions most favourable to the growth of Tantrism and though

¹ See the latter part of Appendix II in Nanjio's Catalogue

Lalitavajra, Lilâvajra, Buddhaśântı, Ratnavajra Târanâtha also (tr Schiefner, p 264) speaks of Tantras "Welche aus Udyana gebracht und nie in Indien gewesen sind" It is also noticeable, as Grünwedel has pointed out, that many of the siddhas or sorcerers bear names which have no meaning in Aryan languages Bir va pa, Na-ro pa, Lui pa, etc. A curious late tradition represents Sâktism as coming from China. See a quotation from the Mahâcînatantra in the Archæological Survey of Mayurabhan, p xiv. Either China is here used loosely for some country north of the Himalayas or the story is pure fancy, for with rare exceptions (for instance the Lamaism of the Yüan dynasty) the Chinese seem to have rejected Sâktist works or even to have expurgated them, e g the Tathâgataguhyaka

⁸ His account of Udyâna and Kashmir will be found in Watters, chapters vii and viii

⁴ Traces of Buddhism still exist, for according to Bühler the Nilamata Purana orders the image of Buddha to be worshipped on Vaisakha 15 to the accompaniment of recitations by Buddhist ascetics

the bulk of the population are now Mohammedans witchcraft and sorcery are atill rampant. Among the Hinde Kashmiris! the most prevalent religion has always been the worship of Siva especially in the form representing him as half mule half female This cult is not far from Saktism and many allusions? in the Rajatarangent indicate that left hand worship was known. though the author satirizes it as a corruption. He also several times mentions2 Matri-cakras that is circles sacred to the Mothers or tantric goddesses In Nepal and Tibet tantric Boddhism is fully developed bot these countries have received so much from India that they exhibit not a parallel growth bot late Indian Tantriam as imported ready made from Bengal It is here that we come nearest to the origins of Tantrism for though the same beliefs may have floorished in Udyana and Kashmir thoy did not spread much in the Panjab or Hindustan where their progress was hindered at first by a healthy and vigorous Hindulam and subsequently by Mohammedan in vasions But from 700 to 1197 A p Bengal was remote alike from the main currents of Indian religion and from foreign raids little Aryan thought or learning leavened the local superstitions which were infecting and stifling decadent Bud dhism Heijan Chuang Informs us that Bhaskarayarma king of Kamarûpa attended the fêtes celebrated by Harsha in 044 A D and inscriptions found at Tezpor indicate that kings with Hindu names reigned in Assam about 800 A D This is agreeable to the supposition that an amalgumation of Sivaism and aboriginal religion may have been in formation about 700 A.D. and have influenced Boddhism

In Bihar from the eighth century onwards the influence of Tantriam was powerful and disastreus. The best information about this epoch is still to be found in Tannatha in spite of his defects.

He makes the interesting statement that in the reign of Gopåla who was a Buddhist although his ministers were not (730-740 AD) the Buddhists wished their religious buildings to

¹ For notices of K hmirian religion see Stein a translation of the Rajatarangini and Bühler Tour in Search of Sanatrai and nature of J Bomb, A.S. 1877

VI. 11-13, VII. 378-280 295 523, L 122, 235, 248 ; III. 99 v 55.

Also called Kumāra.

be kept separate from Hindu temples but that, in spite of protests, life-sized images of Hindu deities were erected in them¹ The ritual too was affected, for we hear several times of burnt offerings² and how Bodhibhadra, one of the later professors of Vikramasıla, was learned in the mystic lore of both Buddhists and Brahmans. Nalanda and the other viharas continued to be seats of learning and not merely monasteries, and for some time there was a regular succession of teachers Târanâtha gives us to understand that there were many students and authors but that sorcely occupied an increasingly important position Of most teachers we are told that they saw some deity, such as Avalokita or Târâ The deity was summoned by the rites already described and the object of the performer was to obtain magical powers or siddhi. The successful sorcerer was known as siddha, and we hear of 84 mahâsiddhas, still celebrated ın Tıbet, who extend from Rahulabhadra Nâgârjuna to the thirteenth century Many of them bear names which appear not to be Indian

The topics treated of in the Tantras are divided into Kriyâ (ritual), Caryâ (apparently corresponding to Vinaya), Yoga, and Anuttara-yoga Sometimes the first three are contrasted with the fourth and sometimes the first two are described as lower, the third and fourth as higher But the Anuttara-yoga is always considered the highest and most mysterious Târanâtha says that the Tantras began to appear simultaneously with the Mahayana sûtras but adds that the Anuttara-yoga tantras appeared gradually He also observes that the Âcârya Ânandagarbha? did much to spread them in Magadha It is not until

² This very unbuddhist practice seems to have penetrated even to Japan Burnt offerings form part of the ritual in the temple of Narita

³ See for instance the account of how Kamalarakshita summoned Yamari

¹ Similarly statues of Mahâdevî are found in Jain temples now, i e in Gujarat

⁴ So too the Samhitâs of the Vaishnavas and the Âgamas of the Śaivas are said to consist of four quarters teaching Jñâna, Yoga, Knyâ and Caryâ respectively See Schrader, Introd to Pancaratra, p 22 Sometimes five classes of Tantras are enumerated which are perhaps all subdivisions of the Anuttara yoga, namely Guhyasamâja, Mâyâjâla, Buddhasammâyoga, Candraguhyatılaka, Manjuśrikrodha See Târanâtha (Schiefner), p 221

⁵ Chap XLIII But this seems hardly consistent with his other statements

⁶ The Lamas in Tibet have a similar theory of progressive tantric revelation See Waddell, Buddhism of Tibet, pp. 56, 57

In the reign of Mahîpâla, 978-1030 A p

a late period of the Påla dynasty that he mentions the Kålacakra which is the most extravagant form of Buddhist Tantrism

This accords with other statements to the effect that the Kalacakra tantra was introduced in 905 a.p. from Sambhala a mystorious country in Central Asia. This system is said to be Vishnuite rather than Sivalto. It specially patronizes the cuit of the mystic Buddhas such as Kalacakra and Heruka all of whom appear to be regarded as forms of Adi Buddha or the primordial Buddha essence. The Siddha named Pito is also described as the author of this doctrine! which had less importance in India than in Tibot.

On the other hand Taranatha gives us the names of several doctors of the Vinaya who flourished under the Pala dynasty Even as late as the reign of Ramapala († 1080-1120) we hear that the Hinavanists were numerous. In the reign of Dharma påla (c 800 A.D) some of thom broke up the great silver image of Heruka at Bodh-Gaya and burnt the books of Mantras? These instances show that the older Buddhism was not entirely overwhelmed by Tantrisms though perhaps it was kept alive more by pilgrams than by local sentiment. Thus the Chineso inscriptions of Bodh-Gaya though they speak at length of the three bodies of Buddha show no signs of Tantrism It would appear that the worship celebrated in the hely places of Magadha preserved a respectable side until the end. In the same way although Tantrism is strong in the literature of the Lamas nene of the many descriptions of Tibet indicate that there is anything scandalous in the externals of religion Probably in Tibet Nepal and medizival Magadha alike the existence of disgraceful tantric literature does not indicate such widespread depravity as might be supposed. But of its putrefying influence in computing the minds of those who eaght to have preserved

 $^{^1}$ Tiranitha, p. 275. For the whole subject see Grünwedel, Mythologus des $Bu^{\rm off}$ issues pp. 41–3 and my chapters on Tibet below

Schiefner (transl. Tărunătha, p. 271) describes these Srăvakas or Hinayanista as Sâm'dh vas welche Çefvakas aus Sîmhala n.a.w waren." They are apparently the same as the Sândhava-çrâvakas often mentioned by Tăranthia. Are they Hinayanista from Sîndh where the Sammittya school was provalent? See also Pag Sam Jon Zang, pp. exir. 114 and 134 where Sarat (*). dra Das explains Sendha-pa as a brahm hed seet.

³ The curious story (Tiranātha, p. 705) in which a Buddhist at first refuses on religious grounds to take part in the evocation of a demon seems also to hint at a disapp. all of mugds.

the pure faith there can be no doubt. More than any other form of mixed belief it obliterated essential differences, for Buddhist Tantrism and Sivaite Tantrism are merely two varieties of Tantrism.

What is happening at Bodh-Gaya at present¹ illustrates how Buddhism disappeared from India. The abbot of a neighbouring Sivaite monastery who claims the temple and grounds does not wish, as a Mohammedan might, to destroy the building or even to efface Buddhist emblems. He wishes to supervise the whole establishment and the visits of pilgiims, as well as to place on the images of Buddha Hindu sectarian marks and other ornaments. Hindu pilgiims are still taken by their guides to venerate the Bodhi tree and, but for the presence of foreign pilgiims, no casual observer would suppose the spot to be anything but a Hindu temple of imusual construction. The same process went a step further in many shrines which had not the same celebrity and effaced all traces and memory of Buddhism.

At the present day the Buddha is recognized by the Brahmans as an incarnation of Vishnu², though the recognition is often qualified by the statement that Vishnu assumed this form in order to mislead the wicked who threatened to become too powerful if they knew the true method of attaining superhuman powers. But he is rarely worshipped in propria persona³ As a rule Buddhist images and emblems are ascribed to Vishnu or Siva, according to sectarian preferences, but in spite of fusion some lingering sense of original animosity prevents Gotama from receiving even such respect as is accorded to incarnations like Paraśurâma. At Bodh-Gaya I have been told that Hindu pilgiims are taken by their guides to venerate the Bodhi-tiee but not the images of Buddha.

Yet in reviewing the disappearance of Buddhism from India we must remember that it was absorbed not expelled. The result of the mixture is justly called Hinduism, yet both in

¹ This passage was written about 1910 In the curious temple at Gaya called Bishnupad the chief object of veneration is a foot-like mark. Such impressions are venerated in many parts of the world as Buddha's feet and it seems probable, considering the locality, that this footprint was attributed to Buddha before it was transferred to Vishnu

² There are no very early references to this Avatâra It is mentioned in some of the Puranas (e.g Bhâgavata and Agni) and by Kshemendra ⁸ But see the instances quoted above from Kashmir and Nepal.

131

usages and beliefs it has taken over much that is Buddhist and without Buddhism it would never have assumed its present shape. To Buddhist influence are due for instance the rejection by most sects of snimal sacrifices the doctrine of the sanctity of animal life monastic institutions and the occlesiastical disapline found in the Dravidian regions. We may trace the same influence with more or less certainty in the philosophy of Sankara and outside the purely religious sphere in the development of Indian logic. These and similar points are dealt with in more detail in other parts of this work and I need not dwell on them here.

BOOK V HINDUISM

BOOK V

The present book deals with Hindrism and includes the period just treated in Book iv In many epochs the same mythological and metaphysical ideas appear in a double form Brahmanic and Buddhist, and it is hard to say which form is the earlier

Any work which like the present adopts a geographical and historical treatment is bound to make Buddhism seem more important than Hindrism and rightly for the conversion and transformation of Chins Japan and many other countries are a series of exploits of great moment for the history not merely of religion but of civilization. Yet when I think of the antiquity variety and vitality of Hindrism in India—no email sphere—the nine chapters which follow seem very inadequate. I can only urge that though it would be easy to fill an encyclopædia with accounts of Indian beliefs and practices yet there is often great similarity under superficial differences the main lines of thought are less numerous than they seem to be at first sight and they tend to converge

CHAPTER XXV

SIVA AND VISHNU

1

THE striking difference between the earlier and later phases of Indian religious belief, between the Vedic hymns, Brahmanas, Upanishads and their accessory treatises on the one hand, and the epics, Puranas, Tantras and later literature on the other, is due chiefly to the predominance in the latter of the great gods Siva and Vishnu, with the attendant features of sectarian worship and personal devotion to a particular deity. The difference is not wholly chronological, for late writers sometimes take the Vedie standpoint and ignore the worship of these deities, but still their prominence in literature, and probably in popular mythology, is posterior to the Vedic period. The change created by their appearance is not merely the addition of two imposing figures to an already ample pantheon, it is a revolution which might be described as the introduction of a new religion, except that it does not come as the enemy or destroyer of the old The worship of the new deities grows up peacefully in the midst of the ancient rites, they receive the homage of the same population and the ministrations of the same priests. The transition is obscured but also was facilitated by the strength of Buddhism during the period when it occurred The Brahmans, confronted by this formidable adversary, were disposed to favour any popular religious movement which they could adapt to their interests

When the Hindu revival sets in under the Guptas, and Buddhism begins to decline, we find that a change has taken place which must have begin several centuries before, though our imperfect chronology does not permit us to date it. Whereas the Vedie saerificers propitiated all the gods impartially and regarded ritual as a sacred science giving power over nature, the worshipper of the later deities is generally sectarian and often emotional. He selects one for his adoration, and this selected deity becomes not merely a great god among others

but a gigantic cosmical figure in whom centre the philosophy poetry and passion of his devotees. He is almost God in the European sense but still Indian deities though they may have a monopoly of adoration in their own sects are never entirely similar to Jehovah or Allah Thoy are at once more mythical more human and more philosophical since they are conceived of not as creators and rulers external to the world hut as forces manifesting themselves in nature. An exuberant mythology bestows on them monstrous forms celestial residences wives and offspring they make occasional appearances in this world as men and animals they act under the influence of passions which if titanic are but human feelings magnified The philosopher accommodates them to his system by saying that Vishnu or Siva is the form which the Supreme Spirit assumes as Lord of the visible universe a form which is real only in the same sense that the visible world itself is real

Vishnu and Rudra are known even to the Rig Veda but as defittes of no special eminence. It is only after the Vedic age that they became each for his own worshippers undisputed Lords of the Universe. A limiting date to the antiquity of Sivaism and Vishnuism as their cults may be called is furnished by Buddhist literature at any rate for north-eastern India The Pall Pitakas frequently introduce popular deities hut give no prominence to Vishnu and Siva. They are apparently mentioned under the names of Veghu and Isans hut are not differentiated from a host of spirits now forgotten. The Pitakas have no prejudices in the matter of deities and their object is to represent the most powerful of them as admitting their inferionty to the Buddha. If Siva and Vishnu are not put forward in the same way as Brahmā and Indra the inference seems clear. It had not occurred to anyone that they were particularly important

The suttas of the Digha Nikâya in which these lists of deities occar were perhaps composed before 300 n o * About that date Megasthenes tha Greek envoy at Pataliputra describes two Indian deities under the names of Dionysus and Herakles They are generally identified with Krishna and Siva. It might be difficult to deduce this identity from an analysis of each

See capecially Dig Nik, xx, and xxxx.

But the lists may be pieces of folk love older than the suttas in which they are incorporated.

description and different authorities have identified both Siva and Krishna with Dionysus, but the fact remains that a somewhat superficial foreign observer was impressed with the idea that the Hindus worshipped two great gods He would hardly have derived this idea from the Vedic pantheon, and it is not clear to what gods he can refer if not to Siva and Vishnu It thus seems probable that these two cults took shape about the fourth century B C Their apparently sudden appearance is due to their popular character and to the absence of any record in art. The statuary and carving of the Asokan period and immediately succeeding centuries is exclusively Buddhist. No temples or images remain to illustrate the first growth of Hindiism (as the later form of Indian religion is commonly styled) out of the Laterature (on which we are dependent earlier Brahmanism for our information) takes little account of the early career of popular gods before they win the recognition of the priesthood and anstocracy, but when that recognition is once obtained they appear in all their majesty and without any hint that their honours are recent

As already mentioned, we have evidence that in the fifth or sixth century before Christ the Vedic or Brahmanic religion was not the only form of worship and philosophy in India There were popular deities and rites to which the Brahmans were not opposed and which they countenanced when it suited them What takes place in India to-day took place then When some aboriginal deity becomes important owing to the prosperity of the tube or locality with which he is connected, he is recognized by the Brahmans and admitted to their pantheon, perhaps as the son or incarnation of some personage more generally accepted as divine The prestige of the Brahmans is sufficient to make such recognition an honour, but it is also their interest and millennial habit to secure control of every important religious movement and to incorporate rather than suppress And this incorporation is more than mere recognition the parvenu god borrows something from the manners and attributes of the olympian society to which he is introduced The greater he grows, the more considerable is the process of fusion and borrowing Hindu philosophy ever seeks for the one amongst the many and popular thought, in a more confused way, pursues the same goal It combines and identifies its

deities feeling dimly that taken singly they are too partial to be truly divine or it piles attributes upon them striving to make each an adequate divine whole

Among the processes which have contributed to form Vishnu and Siva we must reckou the invasions which entered India from the north west1 In Bactria and Sogdiana there met and were combined the art and religious ideas of Greece and Persia and whatever elements were imported by the Yileh-chih and other tribes who came from the Chinese froutier The person alities of Vishnu and Siva need not be ascribed to foreign influence The ruder invaders took kindly to the worship of Siva, but there is no proof that they introduced it But Persian and Greeo-Bactrian influence favoured the creation of more definite deities more personal and more pictorial. The gods of the Vedic hymns are vague and indistinct the Supreme Being of the Upanishads altogether impersonal but Mithra and Apollo though divine in their majesty are human in their persons and in the appeal they make to humanity The infinence of these foreign conceptions and especially of their representation in art is best seen in Indian Buddhism Hinduism has not so ancient an artistic record and therefore the Greco Bactman influence on it is less obvious for the sculpture of the Gnpta period does not seem due to this inspiration Neither in ontward form nor in character do Vishnu and Siva show much more resemblance to Apollo and Mithra than to the Vedic gods Their exuberant, fantastic shapes their many heads and arms are a symbol of their complex and multiple attributes. They are not restricted by the limits of personality hut are great polymorphic forces not to be indicated by the limits of one human shape?

¹ The Dicayette of Megasthenes is a delty who comes from the west with an army that antien from the heat of the pl lms. If we could be certain that he meant Sira by Dicayens this would be valuable oridence. But he clearly mismakentood many things in 1 dl n religion. Grock legends connected Dicayens with India and the East.

^a Mardonell seems to me convect in saying (J. R.J. S.) 1015, p. 125) that one reason why Indian deithe share many arms is that they may be able to carry the various symbols by which they are characterized. Another reason is that worship is needly accomps led by dhykas, that is forming a mental image of the deity as described in a particular toxt. Eq. the worshipper repeats a mantra which describes a deity in language which was originally metaphorical as having many heads and arms and at the same time he ought to make a mental image of such a figure.

2

Though alike in their grandeur and multiplicity, Vishnu and Siva are not otherwise similar In their completely developed forms they represent two ways of looking at the world The main ideas of the Vaishnavas are human and emotional The deity saves and loves he asks for a worship of love He appears in human incarnations and is known as well or better by these incarnations than in his original form. But in Sivaism the main current of thought is scientific and philosophic rather than emotional This statement may seem strange if one thinks of the wild rites and legends connected with Siva and his spouse Nevertheless the fundamental conception of Sivaism, the cosmic force which changes and in changing both destroys and reproduces, is strictly scientific and contrasts with the human. pathetic, loving sentiments of Vishniusm And scandalous as the worship of the generative principle may become, the potency of this impulse in the world scheme cannot be denied Agreeably to his character of a force rather than an emotion Siva does not become incarnate² as a popular hero and saylour like Râma or Krishna, but he assumes various supernatural forms for special purposes Both worships, despite their differences, show characteristics which are common to most phases of Indian religion Both seek for deliverance from transmigration and are penetrated with a sense of the sorrow inherent in human and animal life both develop or adopt philosophical doctrines which rise high above the level usually attained by popular beliefs, and both

¹ But some forms of Sıvaısm ın southern India come even nearer to emotional Christianity than does Vishnuism

² I cannot discover that any alleged avatara of Siva has now or has had formerly any importance, but the Vâyu, I inga and Kûrma Purana give lists of such incarnations, as does also the Catechism of the Shaiva religion translated by Foulkes—But Indian sects have a strong tendency to ascribe all possible achievements and attributes to their gods. The mere fact that Vishau becomes incarnate incites the ardent Sivaite to say that his god can do the same—A curious instance of this rivalry is found in the story that Siva manifested himself as Sarabha mûrti in order to curb the ferocity of Vishau when incarnate in the Man Lion (see Gopinatha Rao, Hindu Icon p 45) Siva often appears in a special form, not necessarily himman, for a special purpose (e.g. Vîrabhadra) and some tantric Buddhas seem to be imitations of these apparitions. There is a strong element of Sivaism borrowed from Bengal in the mythology of Tibet and Mongolia, where such personages as Hevajra, Samvara, and Mahâkâla have a considerable importance under the strange title of Buddhas

have crotic aspects in which they fail below the standard of morality usually professed by important secta whether in Asia or Eorope

The name Siva is suphemistic. It means propitious and like Eumenides is used as a deprecating and complimentary title for the god of forms. It is not his earliest designation and does not occur as a proper name in the Rig Veda where he is known as Radra a word of disputed derivation but probably meaning the rearer Comparatively few hymns are addressed to Rudra but he is clearly distinguished from the other Vedic gods Whereas they are cheerful and benevolent figures he is malefacent and terribic they are gods of the heaven but he is a god of the earth. He is the man-slayer and the sender of disease but if he restrains these activities he can give safety and heaith

Slay us not for thou art gracious and so the Destroyer comes to be the Gracious One¹. It has been suggested that the name Siva is connected with the Tamil word grappu red and also that Rudra means not the reacer but the red or shining one These etymologies seem to me possible but not proved. But Rudra is different in character from the other gods of the Rig Veda. It would be rash to say that the Aryan invaders of India brought with them no god of this sort but it is probable that this element in their pantineon increased as they gradually united in blood and ideas with the Dravidian population. But we know nothing of the beliefa of the Dravidians at this remote period. We only know that in later ages emotional religion finding expression as so-called dovil-dancing in its lower and as mystical poetry in its higher phases, was prevalent among them.

The White Yajur Veda² contains a celebrated prayer known as the Satarudriya addressed to Rudra or the Rudras for the power invoked seems to be now many and now one. This delty who is described by a long string of opithets receives the name of Sankara (afterwards a well known opithet of Siva) and is blue-necked. He is begged to be siva or propitious but the word is an opithet not a proper name. He haunts mountains and descrited uncanny places he is the patron of violent and lawless men of soldiers and robbers (the two are evidently

The passage from one spithet to the other is very plain in R.V ≈ 114 Book xyz.

considered much the same), of thieves, cheats and pilferers¹, but also of craftsmen and huntsmen and is himself "an observant merchant" he is the lord of hosts of spirits, "ill-formed and of all forms" But he is also a great cosmic force who "dwells in flowing streams and in billows and in tranquil waters and in rivers and on islands—and at the roots of trees—"who "exists in incantations, in punishments, in prosperity, in the soil, in the threshing-floor—in the woods and in the bushes, in sound and in echo—in young grass and in foam—in gravel and in streams—in green things and in dry things—Reverence to the leaf and to him who is in the fall of the leaf, the threatener, the slayer, the vexer and the afflicter" Here we see how an evil and disreputable god, the patron of low castes and violent occupations, becomes associated with the uncanny forces of nature and is on the way to become an All-God²

Rudra is frequently mentioned in the Atharva Veda He is conceived much as in the Satarudrîya, and is the lord of spirits and of animals "For thee the beasts of the wood, the deer, swans and various winged birds are placed in the forest thy living creatures exist in the waters for thee the celestial waters flow Thou shootest at the monsters of the ocean, and there is to thee nothing far or near³"

These passages show that the main conceptions out of which the character of the later Siva is built existed in Vedic times. The Rudra of the Yajur and Athaiva Vedas is not Brahmanic he is not the god of priests and orderly ritual, but of wild people and places. But he is not a petty provincial demon who afflicts rustics and their cattle. Though there is some hesitation between one Rudra and many Rudras, the destructive forces are unified in thought and the destroyer is not opposed to creation as a devil or as the principle of evil, but with profounder insight is recognized as the Lord and Law of all living things.

But though the outline of Siva is found in Vedic writings, later centuries added new features to his cult. Chief among these is the worship of a column known as the Linga, the emblem under which he is now most commonly adored. It is a phallic

¹ In the play Mricchakatikâ or The Clay Cart (probably of the sixth century A.D.) a burglar invokes Kârtikeya, the son of Siva, who is said to have taught different styles of house breaking

A similarly strange collocation of attributes is found in Daksha's hymn to Siva Mahabharata, xii Sec 285

Atharva, v xi. 2 24

symbol though usually decent in appearance. The Vedas do not countenance this worship and it is not olear that it was even known to them! It is first enjoined in the Mahahharata and there only in two passages! which appear to be late additions The inference seems to be that it was occepted as part of Hindusm just about the time that our edition of the Maha bharata was compiled. The old theory that it was borrowed from aboriginal and especially from Dravidian tribes is new discredited. In the first place the instances cited of phallic worship among oborginal tribes are not particularly numerous or striking Secondly lings worship though provalent in the south is not confined to it but flourishes in all parts of India even in Assam and Nepal Thirdly it is not connected with low castes with ormes with obscene or bloodthirsty rites or with anything which can be called un Aryan It forms part of the private devotions of the strictest Brahmans and despite the significance of the emblem the worship offered to it is perfectly decorous. The evidence thus suggests that this cultus grow up among Brahmanical Hindus in the early contunes of our cra-The idea that there was something divine in virility and generation already existed The choice of the symbol-the stone pillar -may have been influenced by two circumstances Firstly the Buddhist veneration of stupus especially miniature stupus must have made familiar the idea that a cone or column is a religious emblem and secondly the lings mey be compared to

¹ It is not certain if the Sispadevah whom Indra is saked to destroy in Rig. \(\text{vri. 21. 5 and x. 90 3 are priarie demons or worshipes s of the rh \(\text{if} \)

VII. accs. 202, 203, and XIII. acc. 14

³ The inscriptions of Camboja and Champs seem to be the best proof of the antiquity of Lings worship. A Cambojan inscription of about 550 a.b. records the dedication of a lings and the worship must have taken some time to reach Camboja from India. Some lings disco uned in India are said to be anterior to the Christian era.

6 See F Kittel, Ueber den U sprang der Linga Kultus, und Barth, Religions of India, p. 281.

As is also its appearance, as a rule. But there are coptions to this. Some Hindra deep that the lings is a phallic emblem. It is hardly p. 'the to mointain this thesis in view of such p. gess at Which xim: It and the innumerable figures in which there are both a lings and a Youl. But it is true that in its later forms the worship is purged of all grant and that in its sariler forms the symbol addresd was often a stips—like column or a pillar with figures on it.

Such across as the rolled from Americant figured in Grinwools, Buddhist erits India, p. 29 fig. 8, might easily be supposed to represent the worship of the lings, and some of Selvin prillers have been wealthpad as lings in later times

the carved pillars or stone standards erected in honour of Vishnu Some lingas are carved and bear one or four faces, thus entirely losing any phallic appearance. The wide extension of this cult, though its origin seems late, is remarkable. Something similar may be seen in the worship of Ganesa, the first records of it are even later, but it is now universal in India.

It may seem strange that a religion whose outward ceremonies though unassuming and modest consist chiefly of the worship of the linga, should draw its adherents largely from the educated classes and be under no moral or social stigma Yet as an idea, as a philosophy, Sivaism possesses truth and force It gives the best picture which humanity has drawn of the Lord of this world, not indeed of the ideal to which the saint aspires, nor of the fancies with which hope and emotion people the spheres behind the veil, but of the force which rules the Universe as it is, which reproduces and destroys, and in performing one of these acts necessarily performs the other, seeing that both are but aspects of change. For all animal and human existence 1 is the product of sexual desire it is but the temporary and transitory form of a force having neither beginning nor end but continually manifesting itself in individuals who must have a beginning and an end This force, to which European taste bids us refer with such reticence, is the true creator of the world Not only is it unceasingly performing the central miracle of producing new lives but it accompanies it by unnumbered accessory miracles, which provide the new born child with nourishment and make lowly organisms care for their young as if they were gifted with human intelligence But the Creator is also the Destroyer, not in anger but by the very nature of his activity When the series of changes culminates in a crisis and an individual breaks up, we see death and destruction, but in reality they occur throughout the process of growth The egg is destroyed when the chicken is hatched the embryo ceases to exist when the child is born, when the man comes into being, the child is no more And for change, improvement and progress death is as necessary as birth A world of immortals would be a static world

When once the figure of Siva has taken definite shape,

¹ But not of course the soul which, according to the general Indian idea, exists before and continues after the life of the body

attributes and epithets are lavished on it in profusion. He is the great ascetic for asceticism in India means power and Siva is the personification of the powers of nature He may alternate strangely between austerities and wild debauch hut the senti mentality of some Krishnaito sects is alien to him. He is a magician the lerd of troops of spirits and thus draws into his circle all the old animistic wership. But he is also identified with Time (Mahalala) and Death (Mrityu) and as presiding over procreation he is Ardhanarcsvara half man half woman Stories are invented or adapted to account for his various attributes and he is provided with a divine family He dwells on Mount hailasa he has three oves above the central one is the crescent of the moon and the stream of the Ganges descends from his hraided hair his throat is blue and encircled by a serpent and a necklace of skulls. In his hands he carries a threepronged trident and a drum But the effigy or description varies for Siva is adored under many forms. He is Mahadeva, the Great God Hara the Seizer Bhairava the terrible one Pasnpatl the Lord of cattle that is of human souls who are compared to beasts Local gods and heroes are identified with him Thus Gor Baba1, said to be a delified ghost of the aborgunal races, reappears as Goresvara and is counted a form of Siva as is also Khandeha or Khande Rao a deity connected with dogs Ganesa the Lord of Hosts the God who removes obstacles and is represented with an elephant s head and accompanied hy a rat is recognized as Siva s son Another son is Skanda or Kartikeya the God of War a great delty in Coylon and southern India. But more important both for the absorption of abonginal cults and for its influence on speculation and morality is the part played by Siva s wife or female counterpart.

The worship of goddesses though found in many sects is specially connected with Sivaism A figure analogous to the Madonna the kind and compassionate goddess who helps and pities all appears in later Buddhism but for some reason this train of thought has not been usual in India Lukshmi, Sarasvatt and Sitä are benevolent but they hold no great position in popular esteem² and the being who attracts millions of wor-

Crocks, Popular Religiou and Folklors of Northern India, L 84; IL 219

They are how or of some importance in Vishmille theology. For instance according to the school of Pilms uja is is the Sakit (Sdi) who reveals the true doctring to me kind. Vishny is often seakl to have three consorts, Sri, Bhô and Lilâ-

shippers under such names as Kâlî, Dûrgâ, or Mahâdevî, though she has many forms and aspects, is most commonly represented as a terrible goddess who demands offerings of blood. The worship of this goddess or goddesses, for it is hard to say if she is one or many, is treated of in a separate chapter. Though in shrines dedicated to Siva his female counterpart or energy (Sakti) also receives recognition, yet she is revered as the spouse of her lord to whom honour is primarily due. But in Sâktist worship adoration is offered to the Sakti as being the form in which his power is made manifest or even as the essential Godhead.

3

Let us now pass on to Vishnu Though not one of the great gods of the Veda, he is mentioned fairly often and with respect Indian commentators and comparative mythologists agree that he is a solar deity His chief exploit is that he took (or perhaps in the earlier version habitually takes) three strides This was originally a description of the sun's progress across the firmament but grew into a myth which relates that when the earth was conquered by demons, Vishnu became incarnate as a dwarf and induced the demon king to promise him as much space as he could measure in three steps. Then, appearing in his true form, he strode across earth and heaven and recovered the world for mankind His special character as the Preserver is already outlined in the Veda He is always benevolent he took his three steps for the good of men he established and preserves the heavens and earth But he is not the principal solar deity of the Rig Veda Sûrya, Savitri and Pushan receive more invocations Though one hymn says that no one knows the limits of his greatness, other passages show that he has no preemmence, and even in the Mahabharata and the Vishnu-Purana itself he is numbered among the Adityas or sons of Aditi the Brâhmanas, he is somewhat more important than in the Rig Veda¹, though he has not yet attained to any position like that which he afterwards occupies

Just as for Siva, so for Vishnu we have no clear record of the steps by which he advanced from a modest rank to the

 $^{^1}$ E g Sat Brâh I 2 5 See also the strange legend ib XI 1 1 where Vishnu is described as the best of the gods but is eaten by Indra He is frequently (e.g. in the Sata Brâh) stated to be identical with the sacrifice, and this was probably one of the reasons for his becoming prominent

position of having hut one rival in the popular esteem. But the lines on which the change took place are clear. Even in his own Church Vishin himself claims comparatively little attention. He is not a force like Siva that makes and mars hint a benevolent and retiring personality who keeps things as they are. His worship as distinguished from that of his incarnations is not conspicuous in modern India especially in the north. In the south he is less overshadowed by Krishina and many great temples have been creeted in his honour. In Travancore which is formally dedicated to him as his special domain he is adored under the name of Padmanahha. But his real claim to reverence, his appeal to the Indian heart is due to the fact that certain defiled human heroes particularly Râma and Krishina are identified with him.

Defication is common in India. It exists to the present day and oven defunct Europeans do not escape its operation. In modern times, when the idea of reincarnation had become familiar eminent men like Caitanya or Vallabhacarya were declared after their death to be embodiments of Krishna without more ado hut in earlier ages the process was probably donhle. First of all the departed here became a powerful ghost or deity in his own right and then this delty was identified with a Brahmanie god Many wamples prove that a remarkable man receives worship after death quite apart from any idea of incarnation.

The incarnations of Vishnu are most commonly given as ten² hat are not all of the same character. The first five namely the Fish Tortoise Bear Man Lion and Dwarf are mythical and due to his identification with supernatural creatures playing a benevolent role in legends with which he had originally no connection. The sixth however Parasin rama or Rama with the axe may contain historical elements. He is represented as a militant Brahman who in the second age of the world externin

⁹ The Bhāgavata Purāna (t. lil.) and the Bhāki māl (see J R.A.S. 1900 pp. 621 ft.) give longer lists of 22 and 20, and the Pancarātra gives 39. See Ahirbudhnya Sembitā. v. 50–55.

¹ See many modern examples in Grooks, Popular Raligion and Folt Lors of Northern India, chap, IV and Census of India, 1901 vol. vt. Bengal, pp. 190-8 where are described various defided hereos who are adored in Bengal such as Govely's (a be dis), Ratticah Karikh, Lidik, Amar Singh, and Gobind Rant (a slayer of tigers). Compare too the world per Gopi Nath and Zinda K liens in the Panjáb as described in Census of India, 1901, vol. xvm. pp. 118-0.

ated the Kshatriyas, and after reclaiming Malabar from the sea, settled it with Brahmans This legend clearly refers to a struggle for supremacy between the two upper castes, though we may doubt if the triumphs attributed to the priestly champion have any foundation in fact. The Râmâyana¹ contains a singular account of a contest between this Râma and the greater hero of the same name in which Paraśu-râma admits the other's superiority. That is to say an epic edited under priestly supervision relates how the hero-god of the warriors vanquishes the hero-god of the priests, and this hero-god of the warriors is then worshipped by common consent as the greater divinity, but under priestly patronage. The tenacity and vitality of the Brahmans enabled them ultimately to lead the conqueror captive, and Râma-candra became a champion of Brahmanism as much as Paraśu-râma.

Very interesting too is the ninth avatâra (to leave for a moment the strict numerical order) or Buddha² The reason assigned in Brahmanic literature for Vishnu's appearance in this character is that he wished to mislead the enemies of the gods by false teaching, or that out of compassion for animals he preached the abolition of Vedic sacrifices. Neither explanation is very plausible and it is pretty clear that in the period when degenerate Buddhism offered no objection to deification and mythology, the Brahmans sanctioned the worship of the Buddha under their auspices. But they did so only in a half-hearted way. The Buddha was so important a personage that he had to be explained by the intervention, kindly or hostile, of a deity³

In his tenth incarnation or Kalkî⁴, which has yet to take

¹ Book I, cantos 74-76

² A parallel phenomenon is the belief found in Bah, that Buddha is Siva's brother

³ For Brahmanic ideas about Buddha see Vishņu Purāṇa, iii 18 The Bhâgavata Purāṇa, i 3 24 seems to make the Buddha incarnation future. It also counts Kapila and Rishabha, apparently identical with the founder of the Sānkhya and the first Jain saint, as incarnations. The Padma Purāṇa seems to ascribe not only Buddhism but the Mâyâ doctrine of Sankara to delusions deliberately inspired by gods. I have not been able to find the passage in the printed edition of the Purāṇa but it is quoted in Sanskrit by Aufrecht, Cat. Cod. Bib. Bodl. p. 14, and Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, p. 198

⁴ See Norman in Trans Third Int Congress of Religions, II p 85 In the Ind Ant 1918, p 145 Jayaswal tries to prove that Kalkî is a historical personage and identical with King Yasodharman of Central India (about A D 500) and that the idea of

place Vishnu will appear as a Messiah a conception possibly inflaenced by Persian ideas. Here where we are in the realm of pure imagination we see clearly what the signs of his avaitans are supposed to be. Ills mission is to sweep away the wicked and to ensure the thumph of the plaus, but he comes as a warrior and a horseman not as a teacher, and if he protects the good he does so by destroying evil. He has thus all the attributes of a Kshatriya hero, and that is as a matter of fact the real character of the two most important avaitans to which we now turn. Rama and Kijshna.

Rama often distingui hed as Rama-cantira is usualis treated as the seventh incarnation and antenor to knishna for he was born in the second age of this rapidly deteriorating world whereas Krishna did not appear until the third his deification is later than that of hrishna and probably an imitation of it He was the son of Davaratha King of Ayothy A or Oudh but was driven into banishment by a palace intrigue He married Sita daughter of the King of Mithia She was carried off by Ravana the demon tyrant of Ceylon and Rama re-captured her with the aid of Hanuman King of the Monkeys and his hosts! Is there any kernel of history in this story? An examination of Hindu legends suggests that they usually preserve names and genealogies correctly but distort facts and fantastically combine independent narratives. Rama was a semi-divine here in the tales of ancient Outh based on a real personality and Ceylon was colonized by Indians of Arvan speech! But can we assume that a king of Oudh really led an expedition to the far south with the aid of ape like aborigines?

his being a fut re-sariour is late. This theory offers difficulties, for firstly there is no proof that the passance of the Mahah harata which mention Kalli (in. 190, 1310); in. 191–1311; ixi. 310–1258) are additions later than Yadotharman and secondly if Kalli was first a historical figure and then projected into the fut re-we should expect to hear that he will cost eye but such language is not quoted. On the other hand it seems quite likely (1) that there was an old tradition about a future saviour called Kalli, (2) that Yadotharman after defracting the Hone assumed the ride (3) and that when it was found that the golden age had not recommend hope for the future. Vincent Smith (Hist. of Jados ed. 111. p. 370) intimates that Yadotharman performed considerable emploids beautiful.

Another version of the story which omits the e-pedition to Lanka and makes Sita the sister of Rama is found in the Damestha Jataka (011).

But this colonization is attributed by tradition to Vijaya, not Rama.

It is doubtful, and the narrative of the Râmâyana reads like poetic invention rather than distorted history. And yet, what can have prompted the legend except the occurrence of some such expedition? In Râma's wife Sîtâ, seem to be combined an agricultural goddess and a heroine of ancient romance, embodying the Hindu ideal of the true wife

We have no record of the steps by which Râma and Krishna were deified, although in different parts of the epic they are presented in very different aspects, sometimes as little more than human, sometimes as nothing less than the Supreme Deity But it can hardly be doubted that this deification owes something to the example of Buddhism It may be said that the development of both Buddhism and Hinduism in the centuries immediately preceding and following our era gives parallel manifestations of the same popular tendency to deify great men This is true, but the non-Buddhist forms of Indian religion while not objecting to deification did not particularly encourage it But in this period, Buddhism and Jainism were powerful both of them sanctioned the veneration of great teachers and, as they did not recognize sacrifice or adoration of gods, this veneration became the basis of their ceremonies and easily passed into worship The Buddhists are not responsible for the introduction of deification, but the fact that it was to some extent the basis of their public ceremonies must have gone far to make the worship of Râma and Krishna seem natural

It is commonly said that whereas the whole divine nature of Vishnu was embodied in Krishna, Râma was only a partial incarnation. Half the god's essence took human form in him, the other half being distributed among his brothers. Krishna is a greater figure in popular esteem and receives the exclusive devotion of more worshippers. The name of Râma commands the reverence of most Hindus, and has a place in their prayers, but his figure has not been invested with the attributes (often of dubious moral value) which most attract sectaman devotion. His worship combines easily with the adoration of other deities. The great temple of Ramesvaram on Adam's Bridge is dedicated not to Râma himself but to the linga which he erected there, and Tulsi Das, the author of the Hindi Râmâyana, while invoking Râma as the Supreme Lord and redeemer of the world,

emphatically states1 that his worship is not antagonistic to that of Siva

No inscriptions nor ancient references testify to the worship of Râma before our era and in the subsequent centuries two phases can be distinguished. First Râma is a great here an incarnation of Vishun for a particular purpose and analogous to the Vâmana or any other avatâra. deserving as such of all respect hnt still not the object of any special cult. This is the view taken of Râma in the Mahâhhārata the Purânas the Raghnvamsa and those parts of the Râmâyaṇa which go beyond it are prohably late additions? But secondly Râma becomes for his worshippers the supreme deity. Râmânuja (on the Vedânta sûtras 11 42) mentions him and krishna as two great incarnations in which the supreme being became manifest and since krishṇa was certainly worshipped at this period as identical with the All God it would appear that Râma held the same position. Yot it was not until the fourteenth or fifteenth century, that he became for many secta the central and ultimate divine figure

In the more liberal sects the worship of Rama passes easily into theism and it is the direct parent of the Kahirpanth and Sikhum hut unlike Arishnaism at does not lead to crotic excess Rama personifies the ideal of chivalry Sita of chastity Less edifying forms of worship may attract more attention hat it must not be supposed that Rama is relegated to the pennmhra of philosophic thought. If anything so multiplex as Hinduism can be said to have a watchword it is the cry Ram Ram The story of his adventures has travelled oven further than the here himself and is known not only from Kashmir to Cape Comorin hut from Bombay to Java and Indo-China where It is a common subject of art In India the Ramayana is a favounte recitation among all classes and dramatized versions of various episodes are performed as religious plays. Though two late Upanishads the Ramapurvatapaniya and Rama nttaratapaniya extol Rama as the Snpreme Being there is no Ramapurana The fact is significant as showing that his worship did not possess precisely those features of priestly sectamalism which mark the Puranas and perhaps that it is later than the

See especially book vi. p. 67 in Growse a Translation.
 See Mulr's Sanskrit Tests vol. IV capacially pp. 441-491

Purânas But it has inspired a large literature, more truly popular than anything that the Purânas contain Thus we have the Sanskrit Râmâyana itself, the Hindi Râmâyana, the Tamil Râmâyana of Kamban, and works like the Adhyâtma-Râmâyana and Yoga-Vasistha-Râmâyana¹ Of all these, the Râmâyana of Tulsi Das is specially remarkable and I shall speak of it later at some length

4

Krishna, the other great incarnation of Vishnu, is one of the most conspicuous figures in the Indian pantheon, but his historical origin remains obscure The word which means black or dark blue occurs in the Rig Veda as the name of an otherwise unknown person In the Chândogya Upanishad², Krishna, the son of Devakî, is mentioned as having been instructed by the sage Ghora of the Ângirasa clan, and it is probably implied that Krishna too belonged to that clan³ Later sectarian writers never quote this verse, but their silence may be due to the fact that the Upanishad does not refer to Krishna as if he were a derty, and merely says that he received from Ghora instruction after which he never thirsted again. The purport of it was that the sacrifice may be performed without rites, the various parts being typified by ordinary human actions, such as hunger, eating, laughter, liberality, righteousness, etc. This doctrine has some resemblance to Buddhist language⁴ and if this Krishna is really the ancient hero out of whom the later deity was evolved, there may be an allusion to some simple form of worship which rejected ceremonial and was practised by the timbes to whom Krishna belonged I shall recur to the question of these tribes

¹ Ekanâtha, who lived in the sixteenth century, calls the Adhyâtma R a modern work See Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, page 48 The Yoga Vasishtha R purports to be instruction given by Vasishtha to Râma who wishes to abandon the world Its date is uncertain but it is quoted by authors of the fourteenth century. It is very popular, especially in south India, where an abridgment in Tamil called Jñâna Vasishtha is much read. Its doctrine appears to be Vedântist with a good deal of Buddhist philosophy. Salvation is never to think that pleasures and pains are "mine".

² Chânḍ Up III 17 6

The Kaush Brâhm says that Krishna was an Ângirasa xxx. g The Anukramanî says that the Krishna of Rig Veda, viii 74 was an Ângirasa For Ghora Ângirasa "the dread descendent of the Angirases" see Macdonell and Keith, Vedic Index, s v

 $^{^{4}}$ E g Dig Nik v The Pâncarâtra expressly states that Yoga is worship of the heart and self sacrifice, being thus a counterpart of the external sacrifice (bâhya yâga)

and the Bhagavata seet below but in this section I am con-

Vasudeva is a well known name of Anshna and a sutra of Painini, especially if taken in conjunction with the comment of Patanjali appears to assert that it is not a clan name but the name of a god If so Vasudeva must have been recognized as a god in the fourth century B c. He is mentioned in inscriptions which appear to date from about the second century B c. and in the fast book of the Taittiriya Āranyaka? which however is a later addition of uncertain date.

The name Krishna ocenrs in Buddhlst writings in the form Kanha phonetically equivalent to Krishna In the Digha Nikâyat we hear of the clan of the Kanhāyanas (- Kārshnā yanas) and of one Kanha who became a great sage This person may be the Krishna of the Rig Veda hat there is no proof that he is the same as our Krishna

The Ghain-Jataka (No 454) gives an account of Krishna s childhood and subsequent exploits which in many points corresponds with the Brahmanic legends of his life and contains several familiar incidents and names such as Vasudeva Baladeva hamsa Yet it presents many peculiarities and is either an independent version or a misrepresentation of a popular story that had wandered for from its home. Jain tradition also shows that these tales were popular and were worked up into different forms for the Jains have an elaborate system of ancient patriarchs which includes Vasudovas and Baladovas Arishna is the ninth of the Black Vasudevas' and is connected with Dvåravati or Dvårakå He will become the twelfth tirthankara of the next world period and a similar position will be attained by Devaki Rohini Baladeva and Javakumara all members of his family This is a striking proof of the popularity of the Krishna legend cotside the Brahamnie religion

¹ Php. rv. 3. 98, Varuderdrjundhlydm vnn. Sec Bhandarkar I aushnavism and Sairinm p. 3 and J.R.A.S. 1910, p. 163. Saira 95 just above appears to point to 61 hr faith or devotion, felt for this Vikoders.

⁹ Especially the Bennagar column. See Rapson, Assistal India p. 156 and various articles in J.R.A.S. 1909-10.

x. i. vi.

⁴ mr. i. 23 Uláro so Kapho id abod. But this may refer to the Rishi mentioned in R.V vm 74 who has not necessarily anything to do with the god Krishna.

See Hemacandra Abhithinacintämani Ed. Bochillogk and Rien, p. 123, and Barnett a translation of the Antoquala Dasko, pp. 13-15 and 07-82.

No references to Krishna except the above have been found in the earlier Upanishads and Sûtras. He is not mentioned in Manu but in one aspect or another he is the principal figure in the Mahâbhârata, yet not exactly the hero. The Râmâyana would have no plot without Râma, but the story of the Mahâbhârata would not lose its unity if Krishna were omitted. He takes the side of the Pândavas, and is sometimes a chief sometimes a god but he is not essential to the action of the epic

The legend represents him as the son of Vasudeva, who belonged to the Sâttvata sept1 of the Yâdava tribe, and of his wife Devakî It had been predicted to Kamsa, king of Mathura (Muttra), that one of her sons would kill him He therefore slew her first six children the seventh, Balarâma, who is often counted as an incarnation of Vishnu, was transferred by divine Krishna, the eighth, intervention to the womb of Rohinî escaped by more natural methods His father was able to give him into the charge of Nanda, a herdsman, and his wife Yâsodâ who brought him up at Gokula and Vrindavana Here his youth was passed in sporting with the Gopîs or milkmaids, of whom he is said to have mained a thousand. He had time, however, to perform acts of heroism, and after killing Kamsa, he transported the inhabitants of Mathura to the city of Dvârakâ which he had built on the coast of Gujarat He became king of the Yâdavas and continued his mission of clearing the earth of tyrants and monsters In the struggle between the Pândavas and the sons of Dhritarâshtra he championed the cause of the former, and after the conclusion of the war retired to Dvaraka Internecine conflict broke out among the Yâdavas and annihilated the race Krishna himself withdrew to the forest and was killed by a hunter called Jaras (old age) who shot him supposing him to be a deer

In the Mahâbhârata and several Purânas this bare outline is distended with a plethora of miraculous incident remarkable even in Indian literature, and almost all possible forms of divine and human activity are attributed to this many-sided figure. We may indeed suspect that his personality is dual even in the simplest form of the legend for the scene changes from Mathurâ to Dvârakâ, and his character is not quite the same in the two regions. It is probable that an ancient military hero of the west

Apparently the same as the Vrishnis

has been combined with a deity or perhaps more than one deity. The pile of story sentiment and theology which ages have heaped up round Krishna's name represents him in three principal aspects. Firstly he is a warrier who destroys the powers of evil. Secondly, he is associated with love in all its forms ranging from amorous sport to the love of God in the most spiritual and mystical sense. Thirdly he is not only a deity but he actually becomes God in the European and also in the pantheistic acceptation of the word and is the centre of a philosophic theology.

The first of these aspects is clearly the oldest and it is here if anywhere, that we may hope to find some fragments of history But the embellishments of poets and story tellers have been so many that we can only point to features which may indicate a substratum of fact. In the legend Krishna assists the Pandayas against the Kaurayas New many think that the Pandavas represent a second and later immigration of Aryans into India composed of tribes who had halted in the Himelayas and perhaps acquired some of the oustoms of the inhabitants. incloding polyandry for the five Pandavas had one wife in common between them Also the meaning of the name Krishna black suggests that he was a chief of some non Arvan tribe It is therefore possible that one source of the Arishna myth is that a hedy of invading Arvans described in the legend as the Pandavas who had not exactly the same laws and beliefs as those already established in Hindustan were aided by a powerful aboriginal chief just as the Sisodias in Rapputana were aided by the Bhile It is possible too that Krishna's tribe may have come from Kabul or other mountainous districts of the north west although one of the most definite points in the legend is bis connection with the coast town of Dvaraka. The fortifications of this town and the fruitless efforts of the demon king Salva to conquer it by seige are described in the Mahahharata1 but the narrative is surrounded by an atmosphere of magic and miracle rather than of history

[·] III. X1

² It would seam that the temple of Dvärakā was built between the composition of the narrative in the Mahābhārata and of the Vishpe Furkpa, for while the former says the whole form was destroyed by the sea, the latter vassyst the temple and says that whoever visits it is freed from all his sins. See Wilson, Vishpa Pardae v. p. 153.

Though it would not be reasonable to pick out the less fantastic parts of the Krishna legend and interpret them as history, yet we may fairly attach significance to the fact that many episodes represent him as in conflict with Brahmanic institutions and hardly maintaining the position of Vishnu incarnate¹ Thus he plunders Indra's garden and defeats the gods who attempt to resist him He fights with Siva and Skanda He hurns Benares and all its inhabitants Yet he is called Upendra, which, whatever other explanations sectarian ingenuity may invent, can hardly mean anything but the Lesser Indra, and he fills the humble post of Arjuna's character His kinsmen seem to have been of little repute, for part of his mission was to destroy his own clan and after presiding over its annihilation in internecine strife, he was slain himself. In all this we see dimly the figure of some aboriginal hero who, though ultimately canonized, represented a force not in complete harmony with Brahmanic civilization. The figure has also many solar attributes but these need not mean that its origin is to be sought in a sun myth, but rather that, as many early deities were forms of the sun, solar attributes came to be a natural part of divinity and were ascribed to the deified Krishna just as they were to the deified Buddha²

Some authors hold that the historical Krishna was a teacher, similar to Zarathustra, and that though of the military class he was chiefly occupied in founding or supporting what was afterwards known as the religion of the Bhâgavatas, a theistic system inculcating the worship of one God, called Bhagavat, and perhaps identical with the Sun—It is probable that Krishna

¹ A most curious chapter of the Vishnu Purana (iv 13) contains a vindication of Krishna's character and a picture of old tribal life

² Noither can I agree with some scholars that Krishna is mainly and primarily a deity of vegetation. All Indian ideas about the Universe and God emphasize the interaction of life and death, growth and decay, spring and winter. Krishna is undoubtedly associated with life, growth and generation, but so is Siva the destroyer, or rather the transmuter. The account in the Mahâbhâshya (on Pân III. 1. 26) of the masque representing the slaughter of Kamsa by Krishna is surely a slight foundation for the theory that Krishna was a nature god. It might be easily argued that Christ is a vegetation spirit, for not only is Easter a spring festival but there are numerous allusions to sowing and harvest in the Gospels and Paul illustrates the resurrection by the germination of corn. It is a mistake to seek for uniformity in the history of religion. There were in ancient times different types of mind which invented different kinds of gods, just as now professors invent different theories about gods.

the here was connected with the worship of a special deits but I see no evidence that he was primarily a teacher! In the earlier legends he is a man of arms. In the later he is not one who devotes his lile to teaching but a forceful personage who explains the nature of God and the universe at the most un expected moments. Now the founders of religions such as Mahaylm and Buddha preserve their character as teachers even in levend and do not accumulate miscellaneous heroic exploits Similarly modern founders of sects like Caitanya though revered as incarnations still retain their historical attributes But on the other hand many men of action have been deified not because they taught anything but because they seemed to be more than human forces Rama is a classical example of such defication and many local delties can be shown to be warriors handits and hunters whose powers inspired respect It is said that there is a disposition in the Bombas Presidence to delfy the Maratha leader Shail?

In his second aspect Krishna is a pastoral deity sporting among nymphs and cattle It is possible that this Arishna is in his origin distinct from the violent and tragic here of Dyaraka The two characters have little in common except their lawless ness and the date and locality of the two cycles of legend are different But the death of Lamsa which is one of the oldest Incidents in the story (for it is mentioned in the Mahabhashya") belongs to both and hamsa is consistently connected with Muttra. The Mahabharata is mainly concerned with Arishna the warrior the few allusions in it to the freaks of the pastoral Krishna occur in passages suspected of being late interpolations and even if they are gougine show that little attention was paid to his youth But in later works the relative Importance is reversed and the figure of the amorous herdsman almost banishes the warnor We can trace the growth of this figure in the sculptures of the sixth century in the Vishnu and Bhagavata Puranas and the Gita govinda (written about 1170) Even later is the worship of Radha Krishna a mistress as a portion of the

¹ The Krishna of the Chindogra Upanish d receives instruction but it is not said that he was himself a teacher

¹ Hopkins, India Old and Ver p. 105.

Bhandarkar Almeton to Kriebna in Mahlibhishya, Ind. Ant. 1874, p. 14 For the partonal Krishna see Dhandarkar I melaparism and Sainem, chap. IX.

deity, who is supposed to have divided himself into male and female halves¹ The birth and adventures of the pastoral Krishna are located in the land of Braj, the district round Muttra and among the tribe of the Abhîras, but the warlike Krishna is connected with the west, although his exploits extend to the Ganges valley² The Abhîras, now called Ahirs, were nomadic herdsmen who came from the west and their movements between Kathiawar and Muttra may have something to do with the double location of the Krishna legend

Both archæology and historical notices tell us something of the history of Muttra It was a great Buddhist and Jain centre, as the statues and vihâras found there attest Ptolemy calls it the city of the gods Fa-Hsien (400 A D) describes it as Buddhist. but that faith was declining at the time of Hsuan Chuang's visit (c 630 AD) The sculptural remains also indicate the presence of Græco-Bactiian influence We need not therefore feel surprise if we find in the religious thought of Muttra elements traceable to Greece, Persia or Central Asia Some claim that Christianity should be reckoned among these elements and I shall discuss the question elsewhere Here I will only say that such ideas as were common to Christianity and to the religions of Greece and western Asia probably did penetrate to India by the northern route, but of specifically Christian ideas I see no proof It is true that the pastoral Krishna is unlike all earlier Indian deities, but then no close parallel to him can be adduced from elsewhere, and, take him as a whole, he is a decidedly im-Christian figure The resemblance to Christianity consists in the worship of a divine child, together with his mother feature is absent in the New Testament and seems to have been borrowed from paganism by Christianity

The legends of Muttra show even clearer traces than those already quoted of hostility between Krishna and Brahmanism He forbids the worship of Indra³, and when Indra in anger sends down a deluge of rain, he protects the country by holding

¹ The divinity of Rådhå is taught specially in the Brahmavaivarta Purâna and the Nârada pañcarâtra, also called Jñânâmritasâra She is also described in the Gopâla tâpanîya Upanishad of unknown date

 $^{^2}$ But Kamsa appears in both series of legends, \imath e , in the Ghata Jâtaka which contains no hint of the pastoral legends but is a variant of the story of the warlike Krishna

³ Vishnu Purâṇa, v 10, 11 from which the quotations in the text are taken Much of it is repeated in the Harivamsa See for instance H 3808

up over it the hill of Cohurdhan which is still one of the great centres of pilgrimage. The language which the Vishnu Purana attributes to him is extremely remarkable. He interrupts a sacrifice which his forterfather is offering to Indra and says

We have neither fields nor houses we wander about happilly wherever we list travelling in our waggons. What have we to do with Indra? Cattle and mountains are (our) gods. Brahmans offer worship with prayer cultivators of the carth adore their landmarks but we who tend our herds in the forests and mountains should worship them and our kine.

This passage suggests that Anshna represents a tribe of highland nomade who worshipped mountains and cattle and came to terms with the Brahmanic retual only after a struggle The worship of mountain spirits is common in Central Asia but I do not know of any evidence for cattle worthin in those regions. Clemens of Alexandria? writing at the end of the second century AD tells us that the Indians worshinned Herakles and Pan The pastoral Anshna has considerable resemblance to Pan or a Faun but no representations of such beings are recorded from Greeo Indian sculptures. Several Bacchic groups have however been discovered in Gandhara and also at Muttra* and Megasthenes recognized Dionysus In some Indian deity Though the Bacchle revels and mysteries do not explain the pastoral element in the Anshna legend they offer a parallel to some of its other features, such as the dancing and the crowd of women and I am inclined to think that such Greek ideas may have germinated and proved fruitful in Muttra. The Greek king Menauder is said to have occupied the city (c. 155) DO) and the sculptures found there indicate that Greek artistic forms were used to express Iudian ideas. There may have been a similar fusion in religion

In any case Buddhism was predominant in Muttra for several centuries. It no doubt forbade the animal sacrifices of

¹ The Multra cycle of ic rend cannot be very late for the inerription of Glai Lomor in Champa (Sil a.p.) peaks of Variyana holding up Goberdhan and a Cambojan inscription of Pres Eynkosey (970 a.b.) speaks of the banks of the Yamuna where Arthina sported. These kgrids must have been prevaient in India some time before they traveled so far Bome of them are depleted on a pilar found at Mandor and possibly referable to the fourth century a.b. Bee Arch. Survey Ind. 1905-1900, p. 135.

Strom. III. 191 See M Crindle Ascrest India, p. 183.

* Vincent Smith, F as Art : India pp. 134 138.

the Brahmans and favoured milder rites. It may even offer some explanation for the frivolous character of much in the Krishna legend¹ Most Brahmanic deities, extraordinary as their conduct often is, are serious and imposing But Buddhism claimed for itself the serious side of religion and while it tolerated local godlings treated them as fairies or elves It was perhaps while Krishna was a humble rustic deity of this sort, with no claim to represent the Almighty, that there first gathered round him the cycle of light love-stories which has clung to him ever since In the hands of the Brahmans his worship has undergone the strangest variations which touch the highest and lowest planes of Hinduism, but the Muttra legend still retains its special note of pastoral romance, and exhibits Krishna in two principal characters, as the divine child and as the divine lover The mysteries of birth and of sexual union are congenial topics to Hindu theology, but in the cult of Muttra we are not concerned with reproduction as a world force, but simply with childhood and love as emotional manifestations of the deity The same ideas occur in Christianity, and even in the Gospels Christ is compared to a bridegroom, but the Krishna legend is far more gross and naïve

The infant Krishna is commonly adored in the form known as Makhan Chor or the Butter Thief² This represents him as a crawling child holding out one hand full of curds or butter which he has stolen. We speak of idolving a child, and when Hindu women worship this image they are unconsciously generalizing the process and worshipping childhood, its wayward pranks as well as its loveable simplicity, and though it is hard for a man to think of the freaks of the butter thief as a manifestation of divinity, yet clearly there is an analogy between these childish escapades and the caprices of mature deities, which are respectfully described as mysteries. If one admits the worship of the Bambino, it is not unreasonable to include in it admiration of his rogueries, and the tender playfulness which is permitted to enter into this cult appeals profoundly to

In the Sutta nipâta Mâra, the Evil One is called Kanha, the phonetic equivalent of Krishna in Prâkrit Can it be that Mâra and his daughters have anything to do with Krishna and the Gopîs?

² Compare the Greek stories of the infant Hermes who steals Apollo's cattle and invents the lyre Compare too, as having a general resemblance to fantastic Indian legends, the story of young Hephæstus.

Indian women Images of the Makhan Cher are sold by thou sands in the streets of Muttra

Even more popular is the image known as Kanhaya which represents the god as a young man playing the flute as he stands in a careless attitude which has something of Hellenie grace Krishna in this form is the beleved of the Gopis or milk maids of the land of Braj and the spouse of Radha though she had ne menepoly of him The stones of his frolics with these damsels and the rites instituted in memory thereof have brought his wor ship into merited discredit. Arishnalam offers the most extensive manifestation to be found in the world of what W. James calls the theopathic condition as illustrated by nuns like Marguérito Mario Alacoque Saint Gertrude and the more distinguished To be leved by God and leved by him to Saint Theresa distraction (jusqu'à la felie) Margaret melted away with leve at the thought of such a thing She said to God Hold hack O my God these terrents which everwhelm me or else enlarge my capacity for their reception 1 These are not the words of the Gita govinds or the Prem Sagar as might be supposed but of a Cathelie Bishep describing the transports of Sister Mar guérite Mane and they illustrate the temper of Arishna s worshippers But the verses of the Marathi poet Tukaram who lived about 1600 A.D and sang the praises of Krishna rase above this sentimentality though he uses the language of love In a letter to Sivaji, who desired to see him he wroto chaste wife longs only to see her lord such am I to Vitthalas All the world is to me Vitthala and nothing else thee also I behold in him He also wrote elsewhere he that taketh the unprotected to his heart and doeth to a servant the same kind ness as to his own children is assuredly the image of God. More recently Ramakrishna, whose sayings breathe a wide intelligence as well as a wide charity has given this religion of love an expression which if somewhat too sexual to be perfectly in accordance with western taste is nearly related to emotional Christianity A true lover sees his god as his nearest and dearest relative he writes just as the shepherd women of

Mgr Bongard, Histoire de la Benkeureuse Marguérite Merie. Quoted by W James, Varieties of Religious Experience, p. 343.

Vitthal or Vittoba is a local delty of Pandharpur in the Deccan (perhaps a delified Brahm of the place) now identified with Krishna.

Vṛindâvana saw in Krishna not the Lord of the Universe but their own beloved The knowledge of God may be likened to a man, while the love of God is like a woman Knowledge has entry only up to the outer rooms of God, and no one can enter into the inner mysteries of God save a lover Knowledge and love of God are ultimately one and the same There is no difference between pure knowledge and pure love¹ "

These extracts show how Krishna as the object of the soul's desire assumes the place of the Supreme Being or God But this surprising transformation² is not specially connected with the pastoral and erotic Krishna the best known and most thorough-going exposition of his divinity is found in the Bhagavad-gîtâ, which represents him as being in his human aspect, a wailior and the charioteer of Arjuna Probably some seventy-five millions to-day worship Krishna, especially under the name of Hari, as God in the pantheistic sense and naturally the more his identity with the supreme spirit is emphasized, the dimmer grow the legendary features which mark the hero of Muttra and Dvârakâ, and the human element in him is reduced to this very important point that the tie uniting him to his worshippers is one of sentiment and affection

In the following chapters I shall treat of this worship when describing the various sects which practise it. A question of some importance for the history of Krishna's deification is the meaning of the name Vâsudeva. One explanation makes it a patronymic, son of Vasudeva, and supposes that when this prince Vâsudeva was deified his name, like Râma, was transferred to the deity. The other regards Vâsudeva as a name for the deity used by the Sâttvata clan and supposes that when Krishna was deified this already well-known divine name was bestowed on him. There is much to be said for this latter theory. As we have seen the Jams give the title Vâsudeva to a series of supermen, and a remarkable legend states that a king called.

 $^{^1}$ Life and Sayings of Rámakrishna Trans F Max Muller, pp 137-8 The English poet Crashaw makes free use of religious metaphors drawn from love and even Francis Thompson represents God as the lover of the Soul, $e\,g$ in his poem Any Saint

² Though surprising, it can be paralleled in modern times for Kabir (c 1400) was identified by his later followers with the supreme spirit

³ Mahâbhâr Sabhâp xiv Vishnu Pur v xxxiv The name also occurs in the Taittiriya Âranyaka (i 31) a work of moderate if not great antiquity Nâzâyanâya vidmahe Vasudevâya dhîmahi

Paundraka who pretended to be a dolty used the title Våsudeva and ordered Krishna to cease using it for which impertinence he was slain. This clearly implies that the title was something which could be detached from Krishna and not a mere patrony mic. Indian writings countenance both etymologies of the word. As the name of the deity they derive it from vas to dwell he in whom all things shide and who shides in all!

ĸ

Siva and Vishnu are not in their nature different from other Indian ideas high or low They are the offspring of philosophic and poetio minds playing with a juxuriant popular mythology But even in the epics they have already become fixed points in a flux of chaoging fancies and serve as receptacles in which the most diverse notions are collected and stored. Nearly all philosophy and superstition finds its place in Hinduism hy being connected with one or both of them The two worships are not characteristic of different periods they coexist when they first become known to us as they do at the present day and in essential doctrines they are much slike. We have no name for this curious double theirm in which each party describes its own deaty as the supreme god or All-god yet without denying tho god of the other Something similar might be produced in Christianity if different Churches were avowedly to worship different persons of the Trinity

Siva and Vishan are comotimes contrasted and occasionally their worshippers quarrel³ But the general inclination is rather

¹ See Vlahpu Pur vi. v See also Wilson, Vaskys Purdan, L. pp. and 17

Thus the Saura Puring inveighs against the Madhya sect (ALL III -XL) and calls Vishno the servant of Sivar a Puriole legal work called the Vriddha Harita-Rambita is said to contain a polemic against files. Occasionally we hear of collisions between the followers of Vishnu and Sira or the dason tion of temples by hostile fanation. But such conflicts take place most often not between widely different sects but between subdivisions of the same sect, a.g., Ten-galais and Vada-galais. It would seem too that at promit most Hindre of the higher coster avoid estentations membership of the modern sects, and though they may practise special devotion to either Vishun or Siva, yet they wish the temples of both deities when they go on pligrimages. Jogendra Nath Bhattacharva in his Hundu findes and Sects mays (p. 364) that aristocratic Brahmans usually keep in their private chapels both a saligram observers vary in their estimates of the importance of sectarian divisious, some holding that sect is the a . of modern Hindulum and others that most educated Blades do not worship a scetarian delty. The Kurma Purana, Part L chap. XXII. contains some curious rules as to what delties should be worshipped by the various classes of men and spirits.

to make the two figures approximate by bestowing the same attributes on both A deity must be able to satisfy emotional devotion hence the Tamil Sivaite says of Siva the destroyer, "one should worship in supreme love him who does kindness to the soul" But then the feature in the world which most impresses the Hindu is the constant change and destruction, and this must find a place in the All-god Hence the sportive kindly Krishna comes to be declared the destroyer of the worlds¹ is as if in some vast Dravidian temple one wandered through two corridors differently ornamented and assigned to the priests of different rites but both leading to the same image Hence it is not surprising to find that there is actually a deity if indeed the term is suitable, but European vocabularies hardly provide one which meets the casc called Harihara (or Sankara-Nârâyana), that is Siva and Vishnu combined The Harryamsa contains a hymn addressed to him fairly ancient sculptures attest the prevalence of his worship in the Deccan, especially at Badâmı, he was once the chief deity of Camboja and he is still popular in south India Here besides being worshipped under his own name he has undergone a singular transformation and has probably been amalgamated with some aboriginal deity Under the designation of Ayenâr (said to be a corruption of Harihara) he is extensively worshipped as a village god and reputed to be the son of Siva and Vishnu, the latter having kindly assumed the form of a woman to effect his birth

Another form of this inclination to combine and unite the various manifestations of the Divine is the tendency to worship groups of gods, a practice as old as the Vedas Thus many temples are dedicated to a group of five, namely, Siva, Vishnu, Dûrgâ, Ganeśa and the Siin and it is stated that every Hindu worships these five deities in his daily prayers? The Trimûrti, or figure of Brahmâ, Siva and Vishnu, illustrates the worship of groups. Its importance has sometimes been over-estimated by Europeans from an idea that it corresponded to the Christian Trinity, but in reality this triad is late and has little significance. No stress is laid on the idea of three in one and the number of persons can be increased. The Brahma-vaivarta Purâna for instance adds Krishna to Brahmâ, Siva and Vishnu. The union

¹ Bhag gîtâ, xı 23-34

² See Srisa Chandra Vasu, Daily practice of the Hindus, p 118

of three personalities is merely a way of summing up the chief attributes of the All-God Thus the Vishnu Purāṇa¹ extols Vishnu as being 'Hiranysgarbha Hari and Sankara (*e. Brahmā Vishnu and Siva) the creator preserver and destroyer but in another passage as him who is Brahma Isvara and spint (Pums) who with the three Guṇas (qualities of matter) is the cause of creation preservation and destruction 'The origin of the triad so far as it has any doctrinal or philosophical meaning is probably to be sought in the personification of the three Guṇas¹

¹ IL land L l

Boo Maitriyana Up. v 2. It is highly probable that the celebrated image at Eighanta is not a trimurd at all but a Mahesambril of Siva. Bee Gopinitha Rao, Hindu Icosog II. 382.

CHAPTER XXVI

FEATURES OF HINDUISM RITUAL, CASTE, SECT, FAITH

1

In the last chapter I traced the growth of the great gods Siva and Vishnu The prominence of these figures is one of the marks which distinguish the later phase of Indian religion from the earlier But it is also distinguished by various practices, institutions and beliefs, which are more or less connected with the new deities. Such are a new ritual, the elaboration of the caste system, the growth of sects, and the tendency to make devotion to a particular deity the essence of religion. In the present chapter I shall say something of these phenomena

Hinduism has often and justly been compared to a jungle As in the jungle every particle of soil seems to put forth its spirit in vegetable life and plants grow on plants, creepers and parasites on their more stalwart brethren, so in India art, commerce, warfare and crime, every human interest and aspiration seek for a manifestation in religion, and since men and women of all classes and occupations, all stages of education and civilization, have contributed to Hinduism, much of it seems low, foolish and even immoral The jungle is not a park or garden Whatever can grow in it, does grow The Brahmans are not gardeners but forest officers To attempt a history or description of Indian creeds seems an enterprise as vast, hopeless and pathless as a general account of European politics As for many centuries the life of Europe has expressed itself in politics, so for even longer ages the life of India, which has more inhabitants than western Europe¹, has found expression in religion, speculation and philosophy, and has left of all this thought a voluminous record, mighty in bulk if wanting in dates and events And why should it chronicle them? The truly religious mind does not care for the history of religion,

¹ The population of India (about 315 millions) is larger than that of Europe without Russia

just as among us the scientific mind does not dwell on the history of science

Let in spite of their exuberance Hinduism and the jungle have considerable uniformity. Here and there in a tropical forest some well grown tree nr brilliant flower attracts attention but the general impression left un the traveller by the regetation as he passes through it mile after mile is infinite repetition as well as infinite inxurance And so in Hindoism A mnnograph nn nne god nr nne teacher is an interesting study. But if we continue the experiment different gods and different tenebers are found to be much the same. We can write about Vishouism and Sivaism as if they were different religions and this thrugh incomplete is not incorrect. But in their higher phases both show much the same excellences and when degraded both lead to much the same abuses except that the worship of Vishnu does not allow animal sacrifices. This is true even of externals In the temples of Madura Poons and Benares the deities the rites the dectrines the race of the wershippers and the archi tecture are all different yet the impression of uniformity is strong In spitn of divergences the religion is the same in all three places is smacks of the soil and nothing like it can be found entaide India

Hindulam is an unusual combination of animism and pantheism which are commonly regarded as the extremes of savage and of philosophic belief. In India both may be foond separately but frequently they are combined in startling juxtaposition. The same person who worships Vishuu as identical with the universe also worships him to the form of a pubble or plant. The average Hindu who cannot live permaceedly in the altitudes of pantheistic thought regards his gods as great natural forces akin to the mighty rivers which he also worships irresistible and often beneficent but also capricions and destructive. Whereas Judalam Christianity and Islam all identify the moral law with the will and coudoct of the deity in Hindulsm this is oot completely admitted in practice though a library might be filled.

¹ But compare the English poet

[&]quot;Flower in the craunied wall
I pluck you out of the crannies,
.....but if I could understand
What you are root and all, and all in all
I should know what Qol and man is.

with the beautiful things that have been said about man and God The outward forms of Indian religion are pagan after the fashion of the ancient world, a fashion which has in most lands passed away But whereas in the fourth century A D European paganism, despite the efforts of anti-christian eclectics, proved inelastic and incapable of satisfying new religious cravings, this did not happen in India The bottles of Hinduism have always proved capable of holding all the wine poured into them When a new sentiment takes possession of men's souls, such as love, repentance, or the sense of sin, some deity of many shapes and sympathies straightway adapts himself to the needs of his worshippers And yet in so doing the deity, though he enlarges himself, does not change, and the result is that we often meet with strange anachronisms, as if Jephthah should listen appreciatively to the Sermon on the Mount and then sacrifice his daughter to Christ Many Hindu temples are served by dancing girls who are admittedly prostitutes¹, an institution which takes us back to the cultus of Corinth and Babylon and is without parallel in any nation on approximately the same level of civilization Only British law prevents widows from being burned with their dead husbands, though even in the Vedic age the custom had been discontinued as barbarous? But for the same legislation, human sacrifice would probably be common What the gods do and what their worshippers do in their service cannot according to Hindu opinion be judged by ordinary laws of right and wrong The god is supra-moral the worshipper when he enters the temple leaves conventionality outside

Yet it is unfair to represent Hinduism as characterized by licence and cruelty. Such tendencies are counterbalanced by the strength and prevalence of ideas based on renunciation and self-effacement. All desire, all attachment to the world is an evil, all self-assertion is wrong. Hinduism is constantly in extremes sometimes it exults in the dances of Krishna or the destructive fury of Kâlî more often it struggles for release from the transitory and for union with the permanent and real by

¹ Efforts are now being made by Hindus to suppress this institution

² In the Vedic funeral ceremonies the wife hes down by her dead husband and is called back to the world of the hving which points to an earlier form of the rite where she died with him But even at this period, those who did not follow the Vedic customs may have killed widows with their husbands (see too Ath Veda, XII 3), and later, the invaders from Central Asia probably reinforced the usage The much abused Tantras forbid it.

self-denisl or rather self negation which aims at the total suppression of both pleasure and pain This is on the whole its dominant note

In the records accessible to us the transition from Brahman ism-that is the religion of the Vedas and Brahmanas-to Hinduism does not appear as direct but as masked by Buddhism We see Buddhism grow at the expense of Brahmanism We are then conscious that it becomes profoundly modified under the influence of new ideas. We see it decay and the religion of the Brahmans emerge victorious But that religion is not what it was when Buddhism first arose and is henceforth generally known as Hinduism. The materials for studying the period lu which the change occurred—say 400 n c to 400 A p -are not scanty but they do not facilitate chronological investigation Art and architectore are mainly Buddhist until the Gupta period (c 320 A D) and literature though plentifoi is undated Tho Mahahharata and Ramayana must have been edited in the course of these 800 years but they consist of different strata and it is not easy to separate and arrange them without assuming what we want to prove From 400 n c (if not from an oarlier date) onwards there grew up a great volome of epic poetry founded on popular ballads, telling the stories of Rama and the Pandavas1 It was distinct from the canonical literatures of both Brahmans and Buddhists but though it was not in its essential character religious yet so general in India is the interest in religion that whole theological treatises were incorporated in these stones without loss in Indian opinion to the interest of the narrative. If at the present day a congregation is seen in

¹ For the history of the Rimiyana and Mahlihirata and the dates assignable to the different periods of growth see Winternitz, Geck. Ind. Lit. vol. z. p. 403 and p. 439. Also Hopkins Great Epoc of Isd a, p. 307. The two poems had assumed something like their present form in the second and fourth centuries a.p. r. postively. These are probably the latest date for any substantial additions or alterations and thure is considerable evidence that poems called Bhárata and Ramáyana were well known early in the Christian ers. Thus in Afragbosha s Būtrāl whise, (story xirv) they are mentioned as warlike poems inculesting unbuddhirt views. The Ramáyana is mentioned in the Mahlvibhlahl and was known to Vascbandhu (J.E.A.S. 1907 p. 90). A Cambojan incerption dating from the first years of the seventh century records arrangements made for the recitation of the Ramáyana, Purtus and complete (* ha) Bhárata which implies that they were known in India considerably earlier. See Barth, Juscip. Bascanta & Combodge pp. 20–31. The Mahabharata theid indmits that it is the result of gradual growth for in the opening section it says that the Bhitata considera of 8300 verses, 43,000 cms. and 10,000 cms.

a Hindu temple listening to a recitation, the text which is being chanted will often prove to be part of the Maliabliarata Such a ceremony is not due to forgetfulness of the Veda but is a repetition of what happened long before our era when rhapsodists strung together popular narratives and popular theology Such theology cannot be rigidly separated from Brahmanism and Buddhism It grew up under their influence and accepted their simpler ideas But it brought with it popular beliefs which did not strictly speaking belong to either system By attacking the main Brahmanic doctrines the Buddhists gave the popular religion its opportunity For instance, they condemned animal sacrifices and derided the idea that trained priests and complicated rites are necessary This did not destroy the influence of the Brahmans but it disposed them to admit that the Vedic sacrifices are not the only means of salvation and to authorize other rites and beliefs It was about this time, too, that a series of invasions began to pour into India from the north-west may be hard to distinguish between the foreign beliefs which they introduced and the Indian beliefs which they accepted and modified But it is clear that their general effect was to upset traditional ideas associated with a ritual and learning which required lifelong study

2

It has been well said¹ that Buddhism did not waste away in India until rival sects had appropriated from it everything they could make use of Perhaps Hinduism had an even stronger doctrinal influence on Buddhism. The deification of the Buddha, the invention of Bodhisattvas who are equivalent to gods and the extraordinary alliance between late Buddhism and Sivaism, are all instances of the general Indian view overcoming the special Buddhist view. But Buddhism is closely connected with the theory of incarnations and the development of the Advaita philosophy, and in the externals of religion, in rites, ceremonies and institutions, its influence was great and lasting. We may take first the doctrine of Ahimsâ, non-injury, or in other words the sanctity of animal life. This beautiful doctrine, the glory of India, if not invented by the Buddha at least arose in schools which were not Brahmanic and were related to the Jain and

¹ Hardy, Indische Religionsgeschichte, p 101

Buddhist movements. It formed no part of the Vedic religiou in which sacrifice often meant butchery. Bot in Hinduism it meets with extensive though not universal acceptance. With the Vaishpavas it is an article of faith nor do the worshippers of five usually propitiate him with animal sacrifices though these are offered by the Saktas and also by the small class of Brahmans who still preserve the Vedic ritual. Hardly any Hindus babitually eat meat and most abhor it especially beef Yet beef-cating seems to have been permitted in Vedic times and even when parts of the Mahabharata were composed.

Apart from animal sacrifices Buddhiam was the main agent in effecting a mighty revolution in worship and ratoal One is tempted to regard the change as total and complete but such wide assertions are rarely true in India oustoms and institutions are not swept away by reformers but are cut down like the grass and like the grass grow up again They sometimes die out but they are rarely destroyed. The Vedio sacrifices are still occasion ally offered but for many centuries have been almost entirely superseded by another form of worship associated with temples and the veneration of images This must have become the dominant form of Hindu oultus in the first few centuries of our ers and probably earlier. It is one of the ironies of fate that the Boddha and his followers should be responsible for the growth of image worship but it seems to be true. He laughed at sacrifices and left to his disciples only two forms of religious exercise sermons and meditation. For Indian monks, this was perhaps sufficient, but the laity graved for some outward form of worship This was soon found in the respect shown to the memory of the Buddhs and the relies of his body although Hinduism never took kindly to relie worship We hear too of Cetyas In the Pitakas this word means a popular shrine uncon nected with either Buddhist or Brahmanic ceremonial sometimes

But some of these latter merifice images made of dough instead of living nimels

It is said that the Agniahtoma was performed in Benarce in 1898, and in the last waver I am told that one or two Vedic sportfore have been offered annually in various parts of southern India. I have myself seen the sites where such ascriftees were offered in 1898-9 in Mysore city and in Chidambaram, and in 1812 at Wei mear Poons. The most usual form of secrifice news. a days is said to be the Vijapeys. Much Vedic ritual is still preserved in the domestol life of the Nambathir and other Brahms of southern India. See Coohin, Tribes and Castes, and Thurston, Castes and Tribes of southern Lada.

perhaps merely a sacred tree or stone, probably honoured by such simple rites as decorating it with paint or flowers. A little later, in Buddhist times, the Cetiya became a cenotaph or reliquary, generally located near a monastery and surrounded by a passage for reverential circumambulation.

Allusions in the Pitakas also indicate that then as now there were fairs The early Buddhists thought that though such gatherings were not edifying they might be made so They erected sacred buildings near a monastery, and held festivals so that people might collect together, visit a holy place, and hear sermons In the earliest known sanctuaries, the funeral monument (for we can scarcely doubt that this is the origin of the stûpa)1 has already assumed the conventional form known as Dagoba, consisting of a dome and chest of relics, with a spire at the top, the whole surrounded by railings or a colonnade, but though the carving is lavish, no figure of the Buddha himself is to be seen. He is represented by a symbol such as a footprint, wheel, or tree But in the later school of sculpture known as Gandhara or Græco-Buddhist he is frequently shown in a full length portrait This difference is remarkable. It is easy to say that in the older school the Buddha was not depicted out of reverence, but less easy to see why such delineation should have shocked an Indian But at any rate there is no difficulty in understanding that Greeks or artists influenced by Greeks would think it obvious and proper to make an effigy of their principal hero

In these shrines we have if not the origin of the Hindu temple, at any rate a parallel development more nearly allied to it than anything in the Vedic religion² For the Buddhist shrine was a monument built over a receptacle containing relics and the essential feature of Hindu temples is a cell containing an image or emblem and generally surmounted by a tower The surrounding courts and corridors may assume gigantic proportions, but the central shrine is never large. Thages had no place

¹ The outline of a stûpa may be due to imitation of houses constructed with curved bamboos as Vincent Smith contends (*History of Fine Art*, p. 17) But this is compatible with the view that stone buildings with this curved outline had come to be used specially as funeral monuments before Buddhism popularized in India and all Eastern Asia the architectural form called stûpa

² The temple of Aihole near Badami seems to be a connecting link between a Buddhist stûpa with a pradakslima path and a Hindu shrine

in the Vedio sacrifices and those now worshipped in temples are generally small and rude and sometimes (as at Bhuvanoshwar and Surangam) the derty is represented by a block or carved stone which cannot be moved and may have been honoured as a sacred rock long before the name of Vishan or Siva was known in those regions1 The conspicuous statues often found outside the shrine are not generally worshipped and are merely ornaments. Buddhism did not create the type of ritual now used in Hindu temples yet it contributed towards it, for it attacked the old Brahmanic sacrifices it countenanced the idea that particular places and objects are boly and it encouraged the use of images. It is strange that these wide-spread ideas should find no place in the Vedic religion but even now-a-days whenever the old Vedic sacrifices are celebrated they are uncontaminated by the temple caremonial. More than this the pricets or Pujans who officiate in temples are not always Brahmans and they rarely enjoy much consideration? This ourious and marked feature may be connected with the inveterate Indian feeling that though it is well to multiply rates and rules for neophytes no great respect is due to men occupied with mere ocremonial But it also testifies to a dim consciousness that modern temples and their ceremonies have little to do with the thoughts and mode of life which made the Brahmans a force in India. In many ways the Brahmans dissociate themselves from popular religion. Those of good family will not perform religious rites for Sudras and treat the Brahmans who do so as inferiors3

The simplest ceremonial in use at the present day is that employed in some Sivaute temples. It consists in placing leaves on the lings and pouring holy water over it. These ries which may be descended from prehistoric stone worship are generally

In most temples (at least in southern India) there are two images; the sediasegrates which is of stone and fixed in the sanctuary and the views-segrates which is smaller made of most and carried in pro-solven.

³ Thus Bhatti härya (Hinda Castes and Sects, p. 127) summerates eleven classes of Brahm a, who "have a vary low status on account of their being connected with the great public shrince," and adds that mere revitence in a place of pilgrimage for few game attom tends to lower the status of a Brahm to family

Thus in Bengal there is a special class, the Barna Brahm who perform religious rites for the lower castes, and are divided into six classes according to the castes to whom they minister. Other Brahm ne will not east or intermarry with them or even take water from them.

accompanied by the reading of a Purâna But the commonest form of temple ritual consists in treating the image or symbol as an honoured human being¹ It is awakened, bathed, dressed and put to bed at the close of day Meals are served to it at the usual hours The food thus offered is called masad (or favour) and is eaten by the devout. Once or twice a day the god holds a levee and on festivals he is carried in procession. These ceremonies are specially characteristic of the worship of Krishna whose images receive all the endearments lavished on a pet child But they are also used in the temples of Siva and Parvatî, and no less than twenty-two of them are performed in the course of the day at the temple of Bhuvancshwar in Orissa It is clear that the spirit of these rites is very different from that which inspires public worship in other civilized countries at the present day They are not congregational or didactic, though if any of the faithful are in the temple at the time of the god's levee it is proper for them to enter and salute him. Neither do they recall the magical ceremonies of the Vedic sacrifices2 The waving of lights (arati) before the god and the burning of incense are almost the only acts suggestive of ecclesiastical ritual The rest consists in treating a symbol or image as if it were a living thing capable of enjoying simple physical pleasures Here there are two strata We have really ancient rites, such as the anointing or ornamenting of stones and offerings of food in sacred places In this class too we may reckon the sacrifice of goats (and formerly of human beings) to Kâlî³ But on the other hand the growing idea of Bhakti, that is faith or devotion, imported a sentimental element and the worshipper endeavoured to pet, caress and amuse the deity

It is hard to see anything either healthy or artistic in this

¹ This is extraordinarily like the temple ritual of the ancient Egyptians For some account of the construction and ritual of south Indian temples see Richards in J of Mythic Soc. 1919, pp. 158–167

² But Vedic mantras are used in these ceremonies. The libations of water or other liquids are said to be accompanied by the mantras recited at the Soma sacrifice.

³ At these sacrifices there is no elaborate ritual or suggestion of symbolism The animal is beheaded and the inference is that Kâlî likes it Similarly simple is the offering of coco nuts to Kâlî The worshipper gives a nut to the pujâri who splits it in two with an axe, spills the milk and hands back half the nut to the worshipper This is the sort of primitive offering that might be made to an African fetish

emotional ritual. The low and foolish character of many temple ceremonies disguists even appreciative foreigners but these services are not the whole of Hindin worship All Hindus per form in the course of the day numerous acts of private devotion varying according to sect and a pious man is not dependent on the temple like a catholio on his oburch Indian life is largely occupied with these private intimate individual observances hardly noticeable as ceremonies and concerned with such things as dressing ablition and the preparation of food

The monastic institutions of India seem due to Buddhism There were wandering monks before the Buddha s time but the practice of founding establishments where they could reside permanently originated in his order There appears to be no record of Hindu (as opposed to Buddhist) monasteries before the time of Sankara in the ninth century though there must have been places where the learned congregated or where wandering ascetics could lodge Sankara perceived the advantage of the cenchitic life for organizing religion and founded a number of maths or colleges Subsequent religious leaders imitated him At the present day these institutions are common yet it is clear that the wandering spirit is strong in Hindus and that they do not take to monastic discipline and fixed residence as readily as Tibetans and Burmese A math is not so much a convent as the abode of a teacher His pupils frequent it and may become semi resident aged pilgrims may make it their last home but the inmates are not a permanent body following a fixed rule like the monks of a Vlhāra. The Sattras of Assam however are true monasteries (though even there yows and monastic contiune are unknown) and so are the establishments of the Swaminarayana sect at Ahmedabad and Wartal.

3

The vast and complicated organization of caste is mainly a post-Vedio growth and in the Buddha s time was only in the making. His order was open to all classes alike but this does not imply that he was adverse to caste so far as it then pre

¹ See especially the Amhattha Stitta (Dig. Nik. 3) and Rhys Davids s introduction.

vailed, or denied that men are divided into categories determined by their deeds in other births. But on the whole the influence of Buddhism was unfavourable to caste, especially to the pretensions of the Brahmans, and an extant polemic against caste is ascribed (though doubtfully) to Aśvaghosha¹ On the other hand, though caste is in its origin the expression of a social rather than of a religious tendency, the whole institution and mechanism have long been supported and exploited by the Few of them would dispute the proposition that a man cannot be a Hindu unless he belongs to a caste The reason of this support is undisguised, namely, that they are the first and chief caste They make their own position a matter of religion and claim the power of purifying and rehabilitating those who have lost caste but they do not usually interfere with the rules of other castes or excommunicate those who break them² That is the business of the Pancayat or caste council

Sometimes religion and caste are in opposition, for many modern religious leaders have begun by declaring that among believers there are no social distinctions. This is true not only of teachers whose orthodoxy is dubious, such as Nânak, the founder of the Sikhs, and Basava, the founder of the Lingayats3, but also of Vallabhâcârya and Cartanya But in nearly all cases caste reasserts itself The religious teachers of the sect receive extravagant respect and form a body apart This phenomenon, which recurs in nearly all communities, shows how the Brahmans established their position At the same time social distinctions make themselves felt among the laity, and those who claim to be of good position dissociate themselves from those of lower birth The sect ends by observing caste on ordinary occasions, and it is only in some temples (such as that of Jagannath at Puri)4 that the worshippers mix and eat a sacred meal together Sometimes, however, the sect which renounces caste becomes

¹ See Weber, Die Vajrasuchi and Nanjio, Catal No 1303 In Ceylon at the present day only members of the higher castes can become Bhikkhus

² But it is said that in Southern India serious questions of caste are reported to the abbot of the Sringeri monastery for his decision

³ The modern Lingâyats demur to the statement that their founder rejected caste

⁴ So too in the cakras of the Saktists all castes are equal during the performance of the ceremony

itself a casto Thus the Sikhs have become almost a nation and ather modern castes arising out of sects are the Atiths who are Sivaites the Saraks who appear to have been originally Buddhists and the Baishnabs (Valshnavas) a name commonly given in Bengal to those followers of Caitanya who persist in the original rule of disregarding easte regulations within the sect and hence now form a separate community. But as a rule sect and caste are not co-extensive and the caste is not a religious corporation. Thus the different aubdlyisions of the Baniyas belang to different sects and even in the same subdivision there is no religious uniformity.

Casto in its later developments is so complex and irregular that it is impossible to summarize it in a formula or explain it as the development of one principle. In the earliest form known two principles are already in operation. We have first racial distinction. The three upper castes represent the invading Aryans, the fourth the races whom they found in India In the modern system of casto race is not a strong factor. Many who claim to be Brahmans and Ashatriyas have no Arvan blood hnt still the Arvan element is atrongest in the highest castes and decreases as we descend the social scale and also decreases in the higher castes lu proportion as we move from the north west to the east and south But secondly in the three upper castes the dividing principle as reported in the earliest accounts is not race but occupation. We find in most Arvan countries a division into nobles and people but in India these two classes become three the priests having been able to assume a promineace unknown olsewhere and to stamp on literature their claim to the highest rank. This claim was probably uover admitted in practice so completely as the priests desired. It was certainly dispated in Buddhist times and I have myself heard a young Rajput say that the Brahmans falsified the Epics so as ta give themselves the first place

It is not accessary far our purpose to describe the details of the modern caste system. Its effect on Indian religion has been considerable for it created the social atmosphere in which the

Some (Kh. Asiwala, Dasa Srimalis and Palliwala) include both Jains and V. i.b. vasi the Agarwala are mostly Valshnavas but some of them are Jains and some worship Siva and Käll. Jogendra Nath Bhattacharya, Hind. C. size and Sects pp. 200 ft.

various beliefs grew up and it has furnished the Brahmans with the means of establishing their authority. But many religious reformers preached that in religion caste does not exist—that there is neither Jew nor Gentile in the language of another creed

and though the application of this theory is never complete, the imperfection is the result not of religious opposition but of social pressure. Hindu life is permeated by the instinct that society must be divided into communities having some common interest and refusing to intermaily or eat with other communities. The long list of modern castes hardly bears even a theoretical relation to the four classes of Vedic times. Numerous subdivisions with exclusive rules as to intermarilage and eating have arisen among the Brahmans and the strength of this fissiparous instinct is seen among the Mohammedans who nominally have no caste but yet are divided into groups with much the same restrictions.

This remarkable tendency to form exclusive corporations is perhaps correlated with the absence of political life in India Such ideas as nationality, citizenship, allegiance to a certain prince, patriotic feelings for a certain territory are rarer and vaguer than elsewhere, and yet the Hindu is dependent on his fellows and does not like to stand alone So finding little satisfaction in the city or state he clings the more tenaciously to smaller corporations These have no one character they are not founded on any one logical principle but merely on the need felt by people who have something in common to associate together Many are based on tribal divisions, some, such as the Marathas and Newars, may be said to be nationalities many the bond of union is occupation, in a few it is sectarian religion We can still observe how members of a caste who migrate from their original residence tend to form an entirely new caste, and how intertribal marriages among the aborigines create new tribes

¹ The names used are not the same The four Vedic castes are called *Varna* the hundreds of modern castes are called *Jáli*

1

Sect1 must not be confounded with caste. Hindu sects are of many kinds some if not militant are at least exceedingly self-confident Others are so gentle in stating their views that they might he called schools rather than sects were the word not too intellectual. The notion that any creed or code can be quod semper quod ubique quod ab omnibus is less prevalent than in Europe and even the Veda though it is the eternal word is admitted to exist in soveral recensions. Hinduism is possible as a oreed only to those who select. In its literal sense it means simply all the beliefs and rites recognized in India too multifarious and inconsistent for the most hospitable and addled hrain to hold But the Hindus who are as loth to abolish queer behefs and practices as they are to take animal life are also the most determined seekers after a satisfying form of religion. Brahmanio ritual and Buddhist monasticism demand the dedication of a life Not every one can afford that but the sect is open to all It attempts to sort out of the chaos of mythology and superstituon something which all can under stand and all may find useful It selects some aspect of Hindram and makes the best of it Sects usually start hy preaching theism and equality in the sight of God but in a few generations mythology and social distanctions creep in Hence though the prevalence of sect is undoubtedly a feature of modern Hindman it is also intelligible that some observers should assert that most Hindus belong to the same general religion and that only the minority are definitely sectarian. The sectarian tendency is stronger in Vishnuism than in Sivaism The latter has produced some definite sects as for instance Tingavats hut is not like Vishnoism split up into a number of Churches each founded hy a human teacher and provided by him with a special creed

Most Indian sects are in their origin theisto that is to say they take a particular delty and identify him with the Supreme Being But the pantheistic tendency does not disappear Popular religion naturally desires a personal delty But it is significant that the personal delty frequently assumes pan theistic attributes and is declared to be both the world and the

¹ Sampradâya seems to be the ordinary Samarit word for sectarian doctrins. It means traditional test bit g transmitted from one teacher to another.

human soul The best known sects arose after Islam had entered India and some of them, such as the Sikhs, show a blending of Hindu and Moslem ideas. But if Mohammedan influence favoured the formation of corporations pledged to worship one particular deity, it acted less by introducing something new than by quickening a line of thought already existing. The Bhagavad-gîtâ is as complete an exposition of sectarian pantheism as any utterances posterior to Mohammedanism.

The characteristic doctime of sectarian Hinduism is bhakti, faith or devotion. The older word śraddhâ, which is found in the Vedas, is less emotional for it means simply belief in the existence of a deity, whereas bhakti can often be rendered by love It is passionate, self-oblivious devotion to a deity who in return (though many would say there is no bartering) bestows his grace (prasada or anugraha) St Augustine in defining faith says "Quid est credere in Deum? credendo amare, credendo diligere, credendo in eum ire, et ejus membris incorporari1" This is an excellent paraphrase of bhakti and the words have an oriental ring which is not quite that of the New Testament Though the doctrine of bhakti marks the beginning of a new epoch in Hindiism it is not necessary to regard it as an importation or due to Christianity About the time of the Christian era there was felt in many countries a craving for a gentler and more emotional worship and though the history of Bhaktism is obscure, Indian literature shows plainly how it may be a development of native ideas. Its first great textbook is the Bhagavad-gîtâ, but it is also mentioned in the last verse of the Svetåsvatara Upanishad and Panini appears to allude to bhaktı felt for² Vâsudeva The Kathâ Upanishad³ contains the following passage

"That Âtman cannot be gained by the Veda, nor by understanding nor by much learning. He whom the Âtman chooses, by him the Âtman can be gained. The Âtman chooses him as his own." Here we have not the idea of faith or love, but we have the negative statement that the Âtman is not won by knowledge and the positive statement that this Âtman chooses

 $^{^{1}}$ I am discussing elsewhere the possible debt which Christianity and Hinduism may owe to one another

² Pânını, IV 3 95–98

³ Kathâ Up 1 1 2, 23

his own. In the Rig Veda! there is a poem put into the mouth of Vao or speech containing such sentiments as I give wealth to him who gives sacrifice I am that through which one cats breathes sees and hears Him that I love I make strong to be a priest, a seer a sage This reads like an ancient preliminary study for the Bhagavad-gita Like Krishna the derty claims to be in all and, like him to reward her votance. It is true that the Come unto me is not distinctly expres ed but it is enrely struggling for expression? Again in the Kaushitaki Upanishad (rti 1 and 2) Indra says to Pratardana who had asked him for a boon. Know me only that is what I deem most beneficial to man that he should know me He who meditates on me as life and immortality game his fell life in this world and in heaven immortality. Here the relation of the devotee to the delty is purely intellectual not emotional but the idea that intellectual devotion directed to a particular deits will be rewarded is clearly present. In the Rig Veda this same Indra is called a deliverer and advocate a friend a brother and a father even a father and mother in one. Here the worshipper does not talk of bhalts because he does not analyze his feelings hut clearly these phrases are inspired by affectionate devotion

Nor is the spirit of bhakts absent from Buddhism The severe doctrine of the older schools declares that the Buddha is simply a teacher and that every men must save himself. But since the teacher is the scoree of the knowledge which saves it is natural to feel for him grateful and affectionate devotion. This sentiment permeates the two books of poems called Thera and Therigatha and sometimes fields clear expression? In the commentary on the Dhammapades the doctrine of salvation by devotion is affirmed in its extreme form namely that a dying man who has faith in the Buddha will be reborn to heaven. But this commentary is not of early date and the doctrine quoted is probably an instance of the Hinayana borrowing the attractive features of the Mahayana. The sutras about Amiltabha's paradise which were composed about the time of the Christian era and owe something to Persian though not to Christian

¹ R.V x. 125.

Compare too the hymne of the R.V. to Varuns as a radimentary expansion of Bhakti from the weeklipper's point of view.

^{*} Eq Thoragatha, \$18-841 and 1231-1245.

^{* 1.} Z.

influence, preach faith in Amitâbha as the whole of religion They who believe in him and call on his name will go to heaven

When bhakti was once accepted as a part of Indian religion, it was erected into a principle, analogous or superior to knowledge and was defined in Sûtras¹ similar to those of the Sânkhya and Vedânta But its importance in philosophy is small, whereas its power as an impulse in popular religion has been enormous. To estimate its moral and intellectual value is difficult, for like so much in Hinduism it offers the sharpest contrasts. Its obvious manifestations may seem to be acts of devotion which cannot be commended ethically and belief in puerile stories, yet we find that this offensive trash continually turns into gems of religious thought insurpassed in the annals of Buddhism and Christianity

The doctrine of bhakti is common to both Vishnuites and Sivaites It is perhaps in general estimation associated with the former more than with the latter, but this is because the Bhagavad-gîtâ and various forms of devotion to Krishna are well known, whereas the Tamil literature of Dravidian Sivaism is ignored by many European scholars. One might be inclined to suppose that the emotional faith sprang up first in the worship of Vishnu, for the milder god seems a natural object for love, whereas Siva has to undergo a certain transformation before he can evoke such feelings But there is no evidence that this is the historical development of the bhakti sentiment, and if the Bhagavad-gîtâ is emphatic in enjoining the worship of Krıshna only, the Svetâśvatara and Maitrâyanîya Upanishads favour Siva, and he is abundantly extolled in many parts of the Mahâbhârata Here, as so often, exact chronology fails us m the early history of these sects, but it is clear that the practice of worshipping Siva and Vishnu, as being each by himself allsufficient, cannot have begun much later than the Christian era and may have begun considerably earlier, even though people did not call themselves Saivas or Vaishnavas

¹ They are called the Sândilya Sûtras and appear to be not older than about the twelfth century AD, but the tradition which connects them with the School of Sândilya may be just, for the teaching of this sage (Chāndog Up III. 14) lays stress on will and belief Râmânija (Śribhâshya, II 2 43) refers to Sândilya as the alleged author of the Paŭcarâtra There are other Bhakti sûtras called Nâradîya and ascribed to Nârada, published and translated in *The Sacred Books of the Hindus*, No 23 They consist of 84 short aphorisms Raj Mitra in his notices of Sanskrit MSS describes a great number of modern works dealing with Bhakti.

Bhaktl is often associated with the dectrine of the playful ness of God This Idea—so strange to Europe - may have its roots partly in the odd non moral attributes of some early deities Thus the Radra of the Satarudilya hymn is a queer character and a trickster But it soon takes a philosophical tinge and is used to explain the creation and working of the universe which is regarded not as an example of cannelous ironical inscrutable action but rather as manifesting easy joyous movement and the exuberant rhythm of a dance excented for its own sake The Europeau can hardly Imagine a sensible person doing anything without an object he thinks it almost profane to ascribe motiveless action to the Creater he racks his brain to discover any purpose in creation which is morally worthy and moderately in accord with the facts of experience But he can find none The Hindu on the contrary argues that God being complete and perfect cannot be actuated by aims or motives for all such impulses imply a desire to obtain some thing whereas a perfect and complete being is one which by its very dofinition needs neither change nor addition. Therefore whatover activity is ascribed to the creator must not be thought of as calculating purposeful endeavour but as spontaneous oxultant movement needing and admitting no explanation and analogous to sport and play rather than to the proceedings of prudent people This view of the divine activity is expounded by so serious a writer as Sankara in his commentary on the Vedanta Sutras and it also finds mythological expression in numerous popular legends. The Tamil Puranas describe the sixty four miracles of Siva as his amusements his laughter and joyous movements brighten all things and the street minstreis sing. He sports in the world. He sports in the soul2 supposed to dance in the Golden Hall of the temple at Chidam baram and somethlug of the old legends of the Satarudriya

1 Let it is found in Francis Thompson a poem called Any Saint

Sa best God loves to lest With cl thiren small, a freak Of heavenly hide and seek

For thy wayward wit.

Pops, The History of Manikka Vepopar p. 23. For the 64 sports of Siva see Siddhenta Dipika, vol. 1x.

hangs about such popular titles as the Deceiver and the Maniac (Kalvar) and the stories of his going about disguised and visiting his worshippers in the form of a mendicant. The idea of sport and playfulness is also prominent in Vishnuism. It is a striking feature in the cultus of both the infant and the youthful Krishna, but I have not found it recorded in the severer worship of Râma.

Another feature of Hindu sects is the extravagant respect paid to Gurus or teachers The sanctity of the Guru is an old conviction in India By common consent he is entitled to absolute obedience and offences against him are heinous crimes But in sectarian literature there appears a new claim, namely, that the Guru in some way is or represents the god whose worship he teaches If the deity is thought of primarily as a saviour, the Guru is said to deliver from suffering and hell if he requires surrender and sacrifice, then person and possessions must be dedicated to the Guru Membership of a sect can be attained only by initiation at the hands of a Guru who can teach a special mantra or formula of which each sect has its own In some of the more modern sects the Guru need not be a Brahman, but if he cannot be venerated for his caste, the deficiency is compensated by the respect which he receives as a repository of oral teaching. The scriptural basis of many sects is dubious and even when it exists, many of the devout (especially women) have not the inclination or ability to read and therefore take their religion from the lips of the Guru, who thus becomes an oracle and source of truth In Bengal, the family Guru is a regular institution in respectable castes. In many sects the founder or other prominent saint is described as an incarnation and receives veneration after death1

This veneration or deification of the Guru is found in most sects and assumes as extreme a form among the Saivas as among the Vaishnavas The Saiva Siddhânta teaches that divine instruction can be received only from one who is both god and man, and that the true Guru is an incarnation of Siva Thus the works of Mânikka-Vâçagar and Umâpati speak of Siva coming to his devotees in the form of the Guru. In the sects that worship Krishna the Gurus are frequently called Gosain

 $^{^{1}}$ E g Râmânuja, Nammârvâr, Basava

(Goswam)¹ Sometimes they are members of a particular family as among the Vallabhācāryaa In other sects there is no hereditary principle and even a Sudra ia eligible aa Guru

One other feature of Sectarian Hinduism must be mentioned It may be described as Tantrism or in one of its aspects as the later Yoga and is a combination of practices and theories which have their roots in the old literature and began to form a connected doctrine at least as early as the eighth century A D Some of its principal ideas are as follows (1) Letters and syllables (and also their written forms and diagrams) have a potent influence both for the human organism and for the universe This idea is found in the early Upanishads and is fully do veloped in the later Sectatian Upanishads (ii) The human organism is a miniature copy of the universe. It contains many lines or channels (nadi) along which the nerve force moves and also nervous centres distributed from the hips to the head (iii) In the lowest centre resides a force identical with the force which creates the universe! When by processes which are partly physical it is roused and made to ascend to the highest centro emancipation and bliss are obtained (iv) There is a mysterious connection between the process of cosmic evolution and sound especially the sacred sound Om

These ideas are developed most thoroughly in Saktist works hot are by no means peculiar to them. They are found in the Pancaratra and the later Puranas and have influenced almost all modern seets although those which are based on emotional devotion are naturally less inclined to favour physical and magnesi means of obtaining salvation.

Apparently mesting "posterior of cows," and originally a title of the youthful Kri hya. It is also interprated as meaning Lord of the Vedas or Lord of his own

^{*} K g the beginning of the Chind. Up, about the syllable Om. See too the last section of the Attaraya Aran. The Yoga Upanishads analyse and explain Om and some Vishnutte Ups taked (Nyisimha and Ramati paniya) enlarge on the subject of letters and diagrams.

⁸ The same kies pervades the old literature in a alightly different form. The parts of the same constantly identified with parts of the universe or of the human body

The calcus are mentioned in Act v of Milatt and Midhava written early in the eighth century The doctrine of the midh occurs in the older Upanishada (a.g. Chind and Matridyapa) in a redirectary form.

CHAPTER XXVII

THE EVOLUTION OF HINDUISM BHÂGAVATAS AND PÂŚUPATAS

Ţ

India is a literary country and naturally so great a change as the transformation of the old religion into theistic sects preaching salvation by devotion to a particular deity found expression in a long and copious literature This literature supplements and supersedes the Vedic treatises but without impairing their theoretical authority, and, since it cannot compare with them in antiquity and has not the same historic interest, it has received little attention from Indianists until the present century But in spite of its defects it is of the highest importance for an understanding of medieval and contemporary Hinduism. Much of it is avowedly based on the principle that in this degenerate age the Veda is difficult to understand1, and that therefore God in His mercy has revealed other texts containing a clear compendium of doctrine Thus the great Vishnuite doctor Râmânuja states authoritatively "The incontrovertible fact then is as follows. The Lord who is known from the Vedanta texts recognising that the Vedas are difficult to fathom by all beings other than himself with a view to enable his devotees to grasp the true meaning of the Vedas, himself composed the Pancarâtra-Sâstra2"

This later sectarian literature falls into several divisions

A Certain episodes of the Mahâbliârata The most celebrated of these is the Bhagavad-gîtâ, which is probably anterior to the Christian era Though it is incorporated in the Epic it is frequently spoken of as an independent work. Later and less celebrated but greatly esteemed by Vishnuites is the latter part

¹ An attempt was made to adapt the Veda to modern ideas by composing new Upanishads. The inspiration of such works is not denied but they have not the same influence as the literature mentioned below.

² Śri Bhâshya, ii 2 43 So too the Vishnu Purâna, i 1 describes itself as equal in sanctity to the Vedas Sankara on Brah Sûtras, i 3 33 says that the Purânas are authoritative

of book vit commonly known as Narayanjya! Both these episodesandothers are closely analogous to metrical Upanishads. The Mahabharata even styles itself (1 201) the Veda of Krishna (Karshna)

The Rūmāyana does not contain religious episodes comparable to those mentioned but the story has more than once been re written in a religious and philosophic form. Of such versions the Adhyatmanumyana² and Yoga vasishtha rūmū yana are very popular.

B Though the Puranas' are not at all alike most of them show clear affinity both as literature and as a ligious thought to the various strata of the Maliabhurata, and to the Law Books especially the metrical code of Manu These all represent a form of orthodoxy which while admitting much that is not found in the Veda is still Brahmanic and traditionals. The older Puranas (e.g. Matsva Vayu Murl andeva Vishni) or at least the older parts of them are the literary expression of that Hindu reaction which gained political power with the accession of the Gupta dynasty. They are less definitely sectorian than later works such as the Narada and I mga Puranas, yet all are more or less sectorian.

The most influential Purant is the Bhagavata one of the great scriptures for all seets which worship k-pishin. It is said to have been translated into every language of India and forty versions in Bengali alone are mentioned. It was probably com-

³ Bee G binn in Ind. Auf 1005 p. 51 and p. 3"3.

^{*} E.9 the Sanatsajatiya and Anughta (both in S B F viii.). See Decision Fier ph losophische Terte d. Mahtthefenters.

^{*} Forming part of the Brahmanda Pura a.

⁴ See for a summary of them Winternitz, Geck Ind L 1. 1. pp. 4.0-483. For the dates are Pargite Dynastics of the half re! I holds that the historical portions of the older Parkans were compiled in Prakrit hout. ... of a n and re-edited in Sanzkrit about 3.0. See also Vincent Smith, Early History p. 1 and, gainst Pargiter Keith in J.R.A.3 1014 p. 1041. Abertoni (who wrote in 1030) mentions eighteen Purkans and gives two lists of them. Days (0.00 a b) noentions the recitation of the Vayn Purkan. The commentary on the Svetsavatara Upan ascribed to Sanzkra spector the Drahms T., Lings P and Vishnut P as authorities as well as Paranis texts described as Vishnutharma and Stratharmout ra. But the authorible of this commentary is doublind. The Paranis III returns a we know it probably began with the Gupta dynasty or a century before it but the word Parkan in the sense of an ancient igened which on, but to be learnt occurs as early as the Satapatha Pithinanga (XX & 0.8) and e on in AX X X T. 7.

posed in the eighth or ninth century¹ A free translation of the tenth book into Hindi, called the Prem Sagar or Ocean of Love, is greatly revered in northern India² Other sectarian Purânas are frequently read at temple services. Besides the eighteen great Purânas there are many others, and in south India at any rate they were sometimes composed in the vernacular, as for instance the Periya Purâna (c. 1100 a. d.) These vernacular Purânas seem to be collections of strangely fantastic fairy tales

C The word Tantra originally meant a manual giving the essentials of a subject but later usage tends to restrict it to works, whether Hindu or Buddhist, inculcating the worship of Siva's spouse But there are exceptions to this restriction the Panca-tantra is a collection of stories and the Lakshmi-tantra is a Vishnute work³

The fact is that a whole class of Sanskrit religious literature is described by the titles Tantra, Âgama and Samhitâ⁴, which taken in a wide sense are practically synonymous, though usage is inclined to apply the first specially to Sâktist works, the second to Sivaite and the third to Vishnuite The common character of all these productions is that they do not attempt to combine Vedic rites and ideas with sectarian worship, but boldly state that, since the prescriptions of the Veda are too hard for this age, some generous deity has revealed an easier teaching This teaching naturally varies in detail, but it usually comprises devotion to some special form of the godhead and also a special ceremonial, which commences with initiation and includes the use of mystic formulæ, letters and diagrams

- ¹ Pargiter, *l c* pp xvii, xxviii It does not belong to the latest class of Purânas for it seems to contemplate the performance of Smârta rites not temple ceremonial, but it is not quoted by Râmânuja (twelfth century) though he cites the Vishnu Purâna Probably he disapproved of it
- ² It was made as late as 1803 by Lallû Jî Lâl, but is a rendering into Hindi of a version in the Braj dialect, probably made in the sixteenth century
- ³ Another Vishnuite work is cited indifferently as Pâdma tantra or Pâdma-sam hîtâ, and the Bhâgavata Purâna (1 3 8) speaks of the Sâttvatam Tantram, which is apparently the Sâttvata samhitâ The work edited by Schrader is described as the Ahrbudhnya Samhitâ of the Pâncarâtra Âgama
- ⁴ See for some notices of these works A. Avalon's various publications about Tantra Srinivasa Iyengar, Outlines of Indian Philosophy, 118-191 Govindacarya Svâmi on the Vaishnava Samhitâs, JRAS 1911, pp 935 ff Schomerus, Caiva Siddhânta, pp 7 ff and Schrader's Introduction to the Pâncarâtra Whereas these works claim to be independent of the Veda, the Sectarian Upanishads (see vol I p 76) are an attempt to connect post Vedic sects with the Veda

Tantras Agamas and Samhitâs all treat of their subject-matter in four divisions? the first of which relates to the great problems of philosophy the second to the discipling necessary for uniting the self and God the third and fourth to ceremonial

These works have another feature in common namely that they are little known except to these Hindus who use them for religious purposes and are probably not very anxious to see them published Though they are numerous fow of them have been printed and those few have not been much studied by European scholars I shall say something more about them below in treating of the various sects Some are of respectable antiquity but it is also clear that modern toxts pass under ancient names The Pancaratram and Pasupatam which are Vishnuite and Sivaite Samhitas are mentioned in the Mahahharata and some extant Vishnuite Samhltas were perhaps composed in the fourth century AD 2 Ramanuja as quoted abovo states that the Pancarâtra éastra (apparently the same as the Pancaratra tantra which he also mentions) was composed by Vasudeva himself and also eltes as scripture the Sattvata, Paushkara and Parama Samblias In the same context he speaks of the Mahabharata as Bharata-Samhita and the whole passage is Interesting as being a statement hy a high authority of the reasons for occepting a neu Vedio work like the Poncaratra as revesled scripture

As already indicated European usage makes the words Tantra Tantrism and tantrio refer to the worship of goddesses It would be better to describe this literature and worship as Saktism and to use Tantrism for a tendency in doctrine and ceremonial which otherwise has no special name. I have been informed by Tamil Pandits that at the present day the ritual in some temples is smarta or according to Smritt, but in the majority according to the Agamas or tantrio. The former which is fellowed by many well known shrines (for instance in Benares and in the great temples of south India) conforms to the pre-

¹ Jfiana, Yoga, Carya, Ariya, The same names are used of Buddhirt Tantras,

except that Anutters replaces Juans.

As See Schruder Introd. to the Pilecardies, p. 98. In the Raghuvanue, x. 7 As a new point and per gent in the Raghuvanue, x. 7 As a new point and per gent it is hard to say whether Agama means the books now so-called or merely tradition. Alberuni seems not to have known of this literature and a Tantra for him is merely a minor treates on astronomy. He evidently regards the Vedag. Purious, philosophical Darianas and Ppics as constituting the religious literature of India.

cepts of the Purânas, especially on festival days. The officiants require no special initiation and burnt offerings are presented. But the Agamic ritual can be performed only by priests who have received initiation, burnt offerings rarely form part of the ceremony and vernacular hymns are freely used.

Such hymns however as well as processions and other forms of worship which appeal directly to the religious emotions are certainly not tantric Tantrism is a species of religious magic, differing from the Vedic sacrifices in method rather than principle² For all that, it sets aside the old rites and announces itself as the new dispensation for this age Among its principal features are the following The Tantras are a scripture for all, and lay little stress on caste the texts and the ritual which they teach can be understood only after initiation and with the aid of a teacher the ritual consists largely in the correct use of spells, magical or sacramental syllables and letters, diagrams and gestures its object is less to beseech than to compel the god to come to the worshipper another object is to unite the worshipper to the god and in fact transform him into the god man is a microcosm corresponding to the macrocosm or imprese the spheres and currents of the universe are copied in miniature in the human body and the same powers rule the same parts in the greater and the lesser scheme Such ideas are widely disseminated in almost all modern sects3, though without

¹ Råjagopala Chariar (Vaishnavile Reformers, p 4) says that in Vishnu temples two rituals are used called Påncaråtra and Vaikhånasa. The latter is apparently consistent with Smårta usage whereas the Påncaråtra is not. From Gopinåtha Rao's Elements of Hindu Iconography, pp 56, 77, 78 it appears that there is a Vaikhånasågama parallel to the Pancaråtrågama. It is frequently quoted by this author, though as yet unpublished. It seems to be the ritual of those Bhågavatas who worship both Šiva and Vishnu. It is said to exist in two recensions, prose and metrical, of which the former is perhaps the oldest of the Vaishava Âgamas. The Vaikhånasa ritual was once followed at Śrirangam but Råmånuja substituted the Påncaråtra for it

² Avalon, Principles of Tantra, p xxvii describes it as "that development of the Vaidika Karmakânda which under the name of the Tantra Shâstra is the scripture of the Kali age" This seems to me a correct statement of the tantric heavy

³ Thus the Gautamiya Tantra which is held in high estimation by Vishnuite householders in Bengal, though not by ascetics, is a complete application of Śākta worship to the cult of Krishna The Vārāhi Tantra is also Vishnuite See Raj Mitra, Sanskrit MSS of Bikaner, p 583 and Nolices of Sk MSS III (1876), p 90, and I celxxxvii See too the usages of the Nambuthiri Brahmans as described in

forming their essential doctrino but I must repeat that to say all sects are tantric does not mean that they are all Saktist Bet Saktist sects are fundamentally and thoroughly tantric in their theory and practice

D Besides the Sanskrit books mentioned above numerous vernacular works especially collections of hymns are accepted as authoritative by various seets and almost every language has scriptures of its own In the south two Tamil hymnals the Devaram of the Sivaites and Nalayira Prahandham of the Vishnuites are recited in temples and are boldly stated to be revelations equivalent to the Veda In northern India may be mentioned the Hindi Ramayana of Tulsi Das, which is almost universally venerated the Bhakta mala of Nabha Das' the Sur-sagar of Surdss and the Prem Sagar In Assam the Nam Gosha of Madhab Deb is honoured with the same homage as a sacred image. The awkwardness of admitting direct inspiration in late times is avoided by the theory of spiritual descent that is to say of doctrinal transmission from teacher to teacher the divine revelation having been made to the original teacher at a discreetly remote epoch

2

In considering the evolution of modern Hinduism out of the old Vedie religion three of the many factors responsible for this huge and complicated result deserve special attention. The first is the unusual intensity and prevalence of the religious temperament. This has a double effect both conservative and alterative andeut oustoms receive an unreasonable respect, they are not abolished for their immorality or absurdity but since real interest implies some measure of constructive power there is a constant growth of new ideas and reinterpretations resulting in inconsistent combinations. The second is the absonce of hierarchy and discipline The guiding principle of the Brahmans has always been not so much that they have a particular creed to enforce as that whatever is the creed of India they must be its ministers. Naturally every priest is the champion of his own god or rite and such zeal may lead to occasional conflicts. But

Cockin Tribes and Castes, H. pp. 229–233. In many ways the Nambuthiris promute ancient Vedic practices.

¹ See Grierson s articles Gleenings from the Bhakt m ! In J.R.A.S 1909-1910.

though the antithesis between the ritualism of the older Brahmanism and the faith or philosophy of Sivaism and Vishnuism may remind us of the differences between the Catholic Church and Protestant reformers, yet historically there is no resemblance in the development of the antithesis To some extent Hinduism showed a united front against Buddhism, but the older Brahmanism had no organization which enabled it to stand as a separate Church in opposition to movements which it disliked The third factor is the deeply rooted idea, which reappears at frequent intervals from the time of the Upanishads until to-day, that rules and rites and even creeds are somehow part of the lower and temporal order of things which the soul should transcend and leave behind This idea tinges the whole of Indian philosophy and continually crops up in practice The founder of a strange sect who declares that nothing is necessary but faith in a particular deity and that all ceremonies and caste observances are superfluous is not in the popular esteem a subverter of Hinduism

The history of both Sivaism and Vishnuism illustrates these features Siva begins as a wild deity of non-moral attributes As the religious sense develops he is not rejected like the less reputable deities of the Jews and Arabs but remains and collects round himself other strange wild ideas which in time are made philosophical but not ethical The rites of the new religion are, if not antagonistic, at least alternative to the ancient sacrifices, yet far from being forbidden they are performed by Brahmans and modern Indian writers describe Siva as peculiarly the Brahman's god Finally the Sivaite schools of the Tamil country reject in successive stages the grosser and more formal elements until there remains nothing but an ecstatic and mystical monotheism Similarly among the Vishnuites Krishna'is the centre of legends which have even less of conventional morality Yet out of them arises a doctrine that the love of God is the one thing needful so similar to Christian teaching that many have supposed it must be borrowed

The first clear accounts of the worship of Siva and Vishnu are contained in the epics and indicate the existence of sectarian religion, that is to say of exclusive devotion to one or other deity. But there is also a tendency to find a place for both, a tendency which culminates in the composite deity Sankara-

Narayana already mentioned Many of the Puranas¹ reflect this view and praise the two delties impartially. The Mahabharata not unfrequently does the same but the general impression left by this poem is that the various parts of which it consists have been composed or revised in a sectarian spirit. The body of the work is a narrative of exploits in which the hero Krishua plays a great part bot revised so as to make him appear often as a deity and sometimes as the Supreme Spirit. But moch of the didactic matter which has been added particularly books xii and xiii breathes an equally distinct Sivaite spirit and in the parts where Krishna is treated as a mere here the principal god appears to be not Vishno but Siva.

The Mahabharata and Puranas contain legends which though obscure refer to conflicts of the worshippers of Siva with those who offered Vedic samplees as well as with the votaries of Vishno and to a sobsequent reconcilistion and blending of the various oults. Among these is the well known story of Dakshas sacrifice to which Siva was not invited Enraged at the omission he violently breaks up the sacrifice either in person or through a being whom he creates for the purpose assaults the officiants and the gods who are present and is pacified by receiving a share Similarly we hear-that he once seized a victim at a sacrifice and that the gods in fear allotted to him the cholcest portion of the offerings. These stories indicate that at one time Brahmans did not countenance his worship and he is even represented as saying to his wife that according to rule (dharmatah) he has no share in the sacrifice2 Possibly human victims were immedated in his honour as they were in Kall's until recently for in the Mahabharata' it is related how Krishna expostulated with Jardsandha who pro-

Mahább, II. seos. 16 22 ff.

E.g. Märkandeya, Vām and Variha. Also the Skanda Upe i had a Makh Vanaparvan, 11001 ff. The Rhägavata Purina, Book IV sec. 7 suph free more clearly the objections of the Rishis to Siva as an enemy of Vedic marifices and a patron of unballowed rites.

Mahibh. ZI. soc. 233. In the same way the worship of Dionysm was once a noveliv in Greece and not counter need by the more continuous and respectable party. See Eur Bacchae, 45. The Variha Parina relates that the Sivaite scriptures were revealed for the benefit of certain Brahmans whose size had rendered them incapable of performing. Yetlic rites. There is probably some truth in this legend in so far as it means that Brahmans who were excommunicated for some fault were disposed to become the ministers of noy Vedic cults.

posed to offer to Siva a sacrifice of captive kings. In the Vishnu-Purâna, Krishna fights with Siva and burns Benares. But by the time that the Mahabharata was put together these quarrels were not in an acute stage. In several passages¹ Krishna is made to worship Siva as the Supreme Spirit and in others² vice versa Siva celebrates the glory of Krishna. Vishnuites do not disbelieve in Siva but they regard him as a god of this world, whereas their own deity is cosmic and universal. Many Vishnuite works³ are said to be revealed by Siva who acts as an intermediary between us and higher spheres.

3

In the following sections I shall endeavour to relate the beginnings of sectarianism. The sects which are now most important are relatively modern and arose in the twelfth century or later, but the sectarian spirit can be traced back several centuries before our era. By sectarians I mean worshippers of Siva or Vishnu who were neither in complete sympathy with the ancient Brahmanism nor yet excommunicated by it and who had new texts and rites to replace or at least supplement the Vedas and the Vedic sacrifices. It is probable that the different types of early Indian religion had originally different geographical spheres. Brahmanism flourished in what we call the United Provinces. Buddhism arose in the regions to the east of this district and both Vishnuism and Sivaism are first heard of in the west.

The earliest sect of which we have any record is that of the Bhâgavatas, who were or became Vishnuite. At a date which it is impossible to fix but considerably before the epoch of Pânini, a tribe named the Yâdavas occupied the country between Muttra and the shores of Gujarat. Septs of this tribe were called Vrishni and Sâttvata. The latter name has passed into theology. Krishna belonged to this sept and it is probable that this name Vâsudeva was not originally a patronymic but the name of a deity worshipped by it. The hero Krishna was identified with this god and subsequently when the Brahmans wished to bring this powerful sect within the pale of orthodoxy.

¹ Drona p , 2862 ff Anusâsana p , 590 ff

 $^{^{2}}$ E g Anusâsana p , 6806 ff

 $[\]stackrel{\circ}{=} E g$ the Ahrbudhnya Samhitâ and Adnyâtma Râmâyana

hoth were identified with Vishnu. In the Mahahharata¹ the rule or ritual (vidhi) of the Săttivatas is treated as equivalent to that of the Bhāgavatas and a work called the Sāttivata Samhitā is still extant. Bhāgavata appears to be the most general name of the sect or sects and means simply of the Lord (Bhagavat) that is worshippers of the one Lord¹ Their religion is also called Fkāntika dharma or the religion with one object that is monotheism³

A considerable literature grew up in this school and the principal treatise is often spoken of as Pancaratra because it was revealed by Narayana during five nights. The name how ever appears to be strictly speaking applicable to a system or body of doctrine and the usual term for the books in which this system is expounded is Samhita. All previous discussions and speculations about these works of which little was known until recently are superseded by Schrader's publication of the Ahirhudhnya Samhita which appears to be representative of its class. The names of over two hundred are cited and of these more than thirty are known to be extant in his. The majority were composed in north western India but the Pancaratra doctrine spread to the Dravidian countries and new Samhita can hardly be later than 800 a D.* Of the older works Schrader

Santiper o ii, 1°711 ff. In the Bhagavad-gitā Krizhņa says that he is Vāsndeva of the Vrishnia, xl. 37

Ct. the title Bhicavata Puring.

Ekkyana is mentioned several times in the Chindogya Up. (vm. 1 2 and alterwards) as a branch of religious or literary knowledge and in connection with Narada. But it is not presented as the highest or satisfying knowledge.

Even in the Satapatha Br Narayana is mentioned in connection with a sacrifice

l sting five days, xui. 6. I

The Samhiria hitherto best known to orientalists appear to be late and apparious. The Brithadbrahma Samhita published by the A on ware Press mentions Rumannia. The work printed in the Bibliothera Indica an Marsda pancarktra (although its proper title apparently is Johnson's share been analyzed by Roussel in Massay Blards and is apparently a late literagued compliation of this origin filly Schrade swork was published by the Adyar Library in Nadras, 1916. Apparently the two forms Piticarktra and Pafforather are both found, but that with the long word is the more usual. Govinddostyra sarticle in I.R.A.S. 1911, poil may also be committed.

the more usual. Govindickeys a satisfie in J.R.A.S. 1911 p. 961 may also be consulted.

The oblest are pparently the Pa bk ra, Väräha, Brithm Bättvata, Jaya and
Ahirbudhnya Samhiffa, all quoted as authoritative by either Rämännja or Vedänta

It is quoted as equal to the Vedas by Yamundoärya, so it must then have been in existence some centuries.

thinks that the Ahirbudhnya was written in Kashmir¹ between 300 and 800 a D and perhaps as early as the fourth century It mentions the Sâttvata and Jayâkhya, which must therefore be older

The most remarkable feature of this literature is its elaborate doctrine of evolution and emanation from the Deity, the world process being conceived in the usual Hindu fashion as an alternation of production and destruction A distinction is drawn between pure and gross creation What we commonly call the Universe is bounded by the shell of the cosmic egg and there are innumerable such eggs, each with its own heavens and its own tutelary deities such as Brahmâ and Siva who are sharply distinguished from Vishnu But beyond this multitude of worlds are more mysterious and spiritual spheres, the highest heaven or Vaikuntha wherein dwells God in his highest form (Para) with his Śaktis², certain archangels and liberated souls Evolution commences when at the end of the cosmic night the Saktı of Vıshnu³ is differentiated from her Lord and assumes the two forms of Force and Matter4 He as differentiated from her is Vâsudeva a personal deity with six attributes⁵ and is the first emanation, or Vyûha, of the ineffable godhead From him proceeds Sankarshana, from Sankarshana Pradyumna, and from Pradyumna Anıruddha These three Vyûhas take part in creation but also correspond to or preside over certain aspects of human personality, namely Sankarshana to the soul that animates all beings, Pradyumna to intelligence and Aniruddha to individuality Strange to say these seem to be the names of distinguished personages in the Sattvata or Vrishni clan⁶ Mere deification occurs in many countries but the transformation of heroes into metaphysical or psychological terms could hardly have happened outside India Next to the Vynhas come twelve

² There is much diversity of statement as to whether there are one or many Saktis

Kriyájakti and Bhûtiśakti

⁶ The words seem to have been originally proper names See the articles in the Petersburg I exicon

¹ The story of Svetadvîpa or White Island in the Sântiparvan of the Mahâbhârata states definitely that Nârada received the Pancarâtra there

³ Vishnu is the name of God in all his aspects, but especially God as the absolute Vâsudeva is used both of God as the absolute and also as the first emanation (Vyûha)

⁵ Jñâna, aiśvarya, śaktı, bala, vîrya, tejas These are called gunas but are not to be confounded with the three ordinary gunas

suh Vyūhas amoog whom is Nārāyana! and thirty nine Avataras All these beings are outside the cosmic eggs and our gross creation. As a prelode to this last there takes place the evolution of the aggregates or sources from which individual souls and matter are drawn of space and of time and finally of the elements the process as described seeming to follow an older form of the Sankhya philosophy than that known to us Tho task of human souls is to attalo ilberation, but though the language of the Samhltas is not entirely consistent the older view is that they become like to God not that they are absorbed in him2

Thus it is not locorrect to say that the Bhagavata religion is monotheistic and recognizes a creator of souls. Indeed Sankara2 coodemns it on the very ground that it makes indi vidual souls originate from Vasudeva in which case since they have an origin they must also have an cod But Ramanuja in replying to this entless seems to depart from the older view for he says that the Supremo Being voluntarily abides in foor forms which include the soul mind and the principle of judi vidoality This, if not Pantheism is very different from European monothelsm4

The history of these Bhagavatas Pancaratras or worshippers of Vishnu must have begun several ceuturies before our era for there are allusions to them in Panini and the Niddesa. The names of Vasudeva and Sankarshana occur in old inscriptions and the Greek Heliodoros calls himself a Bhagayata on the colomn found at Besnagar and approsed to date from the first part of the second century n c

The Pancaratra was oot Brahmanie in origin, and the form

Narayana like Vishpu is used to designate more than one supect of God. Sometimes it denotes the Absolute.

* The above brief sketch is based on Schrader a Int. to the Pincardire where the reader can find full details.

* Comment on Vedinta sutres, rr. * 4...

And, as Schrader ob-si ca the evolutionary system of the Panoaratra is practically concerned with only one force, the Sakti, which under the name Bhuu is manifested as the Universe and as Krivi vitalines and au c us is (p. 31).

On Sotta nipita, 790, 792. The doctrine of the Vythas is expounded in the

Hahabharata Santip. cocoxi., 36 ff., 70 ff.; occoxiz. 25 ff.

Lidder a List of Brahmi inscriptions, No. 6, supposed not to be later than 200 n.c. and No. 1112 supposal to be of the first century n.c. Sankarshapa is also mentioned in the Kantillya Arth fistra, xiri. 3.

Some Samhitia emphasise the distinction between the followers of the Veda and the enlightened once who worship the Lord. Bee Schrader Pdacardira p. 97

of the Sânkhya philosophy from which it borrowed was also un-Brahmanic It seems to have grown up in north-western India in the centuries when Iranian influence was strong and may owe to Zoroastrianism the doctrine of the Vvûhas which finds a parallel in the relation of Ahura Mazda to Spenta Mainyu, his Holy Spirit, and in the Fravashis It is also remarkable that God is credited with six attributes comparable with the six Amesha Spentas In other ways the Pâncarâtra seems to have some connection with late Buddhism Though it lays little stress on the worship of goddesses, yet all the Vyûhas and Avatâras are provided with Saktis, like the Buddhas and Bodhisattvas of tantric Buddhism, and in the period of quiescence which follows on the dissolution of the Universe Vishnu is described under the name of Sûnva or the void It attaches great importance to the Cakra, the wheel or discus which denotes Vishnu's will to be1, to evolve and maintain the universe, and it may have contributed some ideas to the very late form of Buddhism called Kålacakra This very word is used in the Ahirbudhnya Samhitâ as the name of one of the many wheels engaged in the work of evolution

Though the Pâncarâtra is connected with Krishna in its origin, it gives no prominence to devotion to him under that name as do modern sects and it knows nothing of the pastoral It recommends the worship of the four Vyûhas³ presiding over the four quarters in much the same way that late Buddhism adores the four Jinas depicted in somewhat similar forms Similarly the Sivaites say that Siva has five faces, namely Isana or Sadasıva (the highest, undifferentiated form of the deity) at the top and below Vâmadeva, Aghora, Tatpurusha and Sadyojata, presiding respectively over the north, south, east and west It is thus clear that in the early centuries of our era (or perhaps even before it) there was a tendency in Vishnuism, Sivaism and Mahayanist Buddhism alike to represent the ineffable godhead as manifested in four aspects somewhat more intelligible to human minds and producing in their turn many inferior manifestations Possibly the

 $^{^1}$ Syâm ıtı Sankalpa, Ahırbudh Sam
n 7 In some late Upanıshads (egNâradaparıvrâjaka and Brihatsannyâsa) Cakrî ıs used as a synonym for a Pâñcarâtra

² The same is true of Râmânuja, who never quotes the Bhâgavata Purâna ³ See the quotations from the Sâttvata Samhitâ in Schrader, pp 150-154 As in the Pâncarâtra there is the Para above the four Vyûhas, so some late forms of Buddhism regard Vairocana as the source of four Jinas

theory originated among the VI limites? but as often happened in India It was adopted by their opponents. Some of these theories are of much importance as living beliefs at the present day but their influence can be seen in sconographs.

As a sect the Pancarátras reem to have been a subdivi ion of the Bhāgavatas and probably at the pre-ent day many Vislinultes would accept the second name but not the first. The Pancarátra is studied at only a few places in routhern India but its doctrines permeate the popular work called Bhaktamālā and In view of the express approbation of Rāmānuja and other authonties it can hardly be repudiated by the Sri Van Innavas Bhāgavata is sometimes u cd in the south as a name for Smartas who practise Vedic rites and worship both Siva and Vislinus.

4

In these early times there were strenuous theological struggles now forgotten, though they have left their traces in the legends which tell how the title of her has and others to divine honours was challenged. Amalgamation was the usual method of conciliation. Several gods grew sufficiently important to become in the eyes of their norshippers the supreme spirit and at least four were united in the deity of the Bhagasatas namely Vasudeva Krishna Vislinu and Narayana Of the first three I have snoken already \Arasana never became like Vishnu and krishna a great mythological figure but in the late Vedic period he is a personification of the primitival waters from which all things sprang or of the spirit which moved in them? From this he easily became the supreme spirit who animates all the universe and the name was probably acceptable to those who desired a purer and simpler worship because it was connected with comparatively few legends. But there is some confusion in its use for it is applied not only to the supreme being but to a double incarnation of him called Nara Naras ana and images of the pair may still be seen in Vishnuite temples

Mand 1. 10-11 kiratifies him with Brahms and says. "The waters are called Nárah because they are produced from A ra, and be is called Markyana because they were his place of movement (ayana). The sum statement occurs in the Nárdyantya.

[.] The Manicheans also had groups of five deities (see Chavannes and Pelliot in J A 1913 t pp. 223–238) but they are ju tas lik by to have her one of from Rud J A 1913 to pp. 235–238. Shallow jury J India Casta, and Reta, p. 555.

They are said to have revealed the true doctrine to Nârada and are invoked at the beginning of each book of the Mahâbhârata¹ One of the main theses of the Nârâyanîya² is the identity of Nârâyana and Vâsudeva, the former being a Brahmanic, the latter a non-Brahmanic name for the Deity

The celebrated Bhagavad-gîtâ³ which is still held in such respect that, like the New Testament or Koran, it is used in law courts for the administration of oaths, is an early scripture of the Bhâgavata sect. In it the doctrines of Krishna's divinity, the power of faith and the efficacy of grace are fully established. It is declared to be too hard for flesh and blood to find by meditation their way to the eternal imperceptible spirit, whereas Krishna comes straightway to those who make him their sole desire. "Set thy heart on me, become my devotee, sacrifice to me and worship thou me. Then shalt thou come to me. Truly I declare to thee thou art dear to me. Leave all (other) religious duties and come to me as thy sole refuge. I will deliver thee from thy sins. Sorrow not "But the evolution of Sankarshana, etc., is not mentioned. The poem has perhaps been re-edited."

- ¹ They are said to have been the sons of Dharma (religion or rightconsness) and Ahimsâ (not injuring) This is obvious allegory indicating that the Bhâgavata religion rejected animal sacrifices. At the beginning of the Nârâyanîya (Sântip ccexxxv) it is said that Nârâyana the soul of the universe took birth in a quadruple form as the offspring of Dharma, viz Nara, Nârâyana, Hari and Krishna. Nara and Nârâyana are often identified with Arjuna and Vâsudeva. Eg Udyogap xxlx 19
 - ² Mahâbhâr 👊
- ³ It is an episode in Mahâbhâr vi and in its present form was doubtless elaborated apart from the rest. But we may surmise that the incident of Krishna's removing Arjuna's scruples by a discourse appeared in the early versions of the story and also that the discourse was longer and profounder than would seem appropriate to the European reader of a tale of battles But as the Vedânta philosophy and the doctrine of Krishna's godhead developed, the discourse may have been amplified and made to include later theological views Garbe in his German translation attempts to distinguish the different strata and his explanation of the inconsistencies as due to successive redactions and additions may contain some truth But these inconsistencies in theology are common to all sectarian writings and I think the main cause for them must be sought not so much in the alteration and combination of documents, as in a mixed and eclectic mode of thought. Even in European books of the first rank inconsistencies are not unknown and they need not cause surprise in works which were not written down but com mitted to memory A poet composing a long religious poem in this way and feeling, as many Hindus feel, both that God is everything and also that he is a very present personal help, may very well express himself differently in different parts. On the other hand the editors of such poems are undoubtedly tempted to insert in them later popular doctrines

and interpolated several times but the strata cae hardly be distinguished for the whole work if not exactly paradoxical is celectic and coetinually argues that what is apparently highest is not best for a particular person. The Hindus geocrally regard the contemplative life as the highest but the Bhagavad-gith is insistent in enjoining unselfish action, it admits that the sopreme reality cannot be grasped by the mind or expressed in speech, but it recommends the worship of a personal deity. Even the older parts of the poem appear to be considerably later than Boddhism. But its mythology if not Vedic is also hardly Puranic and it knows nothing of the legends about the pastoral Krishna. It presupposes the Sahkhya and Yoga though in what stage of development it is hard to say and in many respects its style resembles the later Upanishads. I should suppose that it assumed its presect form about the time of the Christian era, rather before than after and I do not think it owes anything to direct Christian infloence. In its original form it may have been considerably older.

The Bhagavad gita identifies Krishna with Vasodeva and with Vishnu but does not mention Naray and and from its general style I should imagine the Narayaniya to be a later poem If so the evolution of Bhagavata theology will be that Krishna, a great here in a tribe lying cotside the sphere of Brahmanism is first identified with Vasudeva the god of that tribe and thee hoth of them with Vishnu At this stage the Bhagavad-gita was composed A later current of speculation added Narayana to the already complex figure and a still later one not accepted hy all sects brought the pastoral and amorous legeods of Krishna Thus the history of the Bhagavatas illustrates the Indian disposition to combine gods and to see in each of them only an aspect of the one But until a later period the types of divinity known as Vishnu and Siva resisted combination The worshippers of Siva have in all periods shown less inclination than the Vishnutes to form distinct and separate hodies and the earliest Sivarte sect of which we know anything the Pasupatas1 arose slightly later than the Bhagavatas

The name appears not to be in common use now but the Phiupata school is reviewed in the Sarra-dariana eathgraha (c. 1330).

5

Patanjali the grammarian (c 150 B c) mentions devotees of Siva1 and also images of Siva and Skanda There is thus no reason to doubt that worshippers of Siva were recognized as a sect from at least 200 B C onwards Further it seems probable that the founder or an early teacher of the sect was an ascetic called Lakulin or Lakuliśa, the club-bearer The Vâyu Purâna² makes Siva say that he will enter an unowned corpse and become incarnate in this form at Kâyârohana, which has been identified with Kârvân in Baroda Now the Vâyu is believed to be the oldest of the Puranas, and it is probable that this Lakulin whom it mentions lived before rather than after our era and was especially connected with the Pâsupata sect This word is derived from Pasupati, the Lord of cattle, an old title of Rudra afterwards explained to mean the Lord of human souls In the Santipaivan3 five systems of knowledge are mentioned Sânkhya, Yoga, the Vedas, Pâsupatam and Pancarâtram, piomulgated respectively by Kapila, Hiranyagarbha, Apântaiatamas, Siva the Lord of spirits and son of Brahmâ, and "The Lord (Bhagavan) himself" The author of these verses, who evidently supported the Pâncarâtra, considered that these five names represented the chief existing or permissible varieties of religious thought. The omission of the Vedânta is remarkable but perhaps it is included under Veda Hence we may conclude that when this passage was written (that is probably before 400 AD and perhaps about the beginning of our era) there were two popular religions ranking in public

¹ Sivabhâgavata, see his comment on Pânini, v 3 99 and v 2 76 The name is reinarkable and suggests that the Sivaites may have imitated the Bhâgavatas

² I xxIII 209 The Bibliotheca Ind edition reads Nakuli Aufrecht (Bodl MSS) has Lakuli. The same story is found in Linga P chap xxIV Lakuli is said to have had four pupils who founded four branches Lakulin does not play an important part in modern Sivaism but is mentioned in inscriptions from the tenth till the thirteenth centuries. The Sarva darsana sangraha describes the Nakulisa Pâsupata system and quotes Nakulisa who is clearly the same as Lakulin. The figures on Kushan coins representing Siva as holding a club may be meant for Lakulin but also may be influenced by Greek figures of Herakles. See for Lakulin Fleet in JRAS 1907, pp 419 ff and Bhandarkar Vaishnavism and Saivism, pp 115 ff. The coins of Wema Kadphises bear the title Mahisvara, apparently meaning worshipper of the Great Lord. Temples in south India seem to have been named after Kâyârohana in the seventh century AD. See Gopinâtha Rao, Hindu Iconography, II p 19

esteem with the philosophic and natual doctrines of the Brahmans. The Mahāhhārata contains a hymn¹ which praises Siva under 1008 names and is not without resemblance to the Bhagavad gitā. It contains a larger number of strange epithets, but Siva is also oxtolled as the All God, who asks for devotion and grants grace. At the close of the hymn Siva says that he has introduced the Påsupata religion which partly contradicts and partly agrees with the institutions of caste and the Åsramas, but is blanted by fools?

These last words hint that the Påånpatas laid themselves open to criticiam by their extravagent practices such as strange sounds and gestures? But in such matters they were ontdone by other sects called Kāpālikas or kālāmukhas These carried skulls and ate the flesh of corpses and were the fore runners of the filthy Aghoris who were frequent in northorn India especially near Mount Abu and Girnar a century ago and perhaps are not yet quito oxtinet The blographers of Sankara' represent him as contending with these demoniac fanatics not merely with the weapons of controversy but as urging the princes who favoured him to exterminate them

Hindu authorities treat the Påsnpatas as distinct from the Salvas or Sivaites and the distinction was kept up in Camboja in the fourteenth century. The Salvas appear to be simply worshippers of Siva who practice a sano ritual. In different parts of India they have peculiarntics of their own but whereas the Vaishnavas have split up into many sects each revering its own founder and his teaching the Salvas if not a united body present few well marked divisions. Such as exist I shall notice below in their geographical or historical connections. Most of them accept a system of theology or philosophys which starts.

K. IL,

Mahábhár xm 13°02 ff. It is recited by Daksha when he recognizes the might of Siva after the unfortunat incident of his partice

Si ti-parvan, section celxxxv especially line 10, 4 Off.

See Sarva-damana-sangralis chap, vr. and the comments of Ramanuj and Sankara on Veilanta Sütras, it. 35.

⁴ E.q. Sańkara-dig il yz. The first notice if these sects ppears to be an inscription at Igstputi in the Nauk district of about 600 a.p. recording a grant for the worship of hapdecvars and the maintenance i Mahávrátina («Kapálikās) in his temple. But doubtless the sects are much older.

The principal are the Påi patas, the Saivasiddhantam of southern India and the Si aisin. I Kashmir.

The Carva-dar-ann-autgraha, hap, wa go es a summary of it.

with three principles, all without beginning or end These are Pati or the Lord, that is Siva Pasu, or the individual soul Påśa or the fetter, that is matter or Karma¹ The task of the soul is to get free of its fetters and attain to the state of Siva But this final deliverance is not quite the same as the identity with Brahman taught by the Vedânta the soul becomes a Siva, equal to the deity in power and knowledge but still dependent on him rather than identical with him²

Peculiar to Saiva theology is the doctrine of the five kañcukas3 or envelopes which limit the soul Spirit in itself is free it is timeless and knows no restrictions of space, enjoyment, knowledge and power But when spirit is contracted to individual experience, it can apprehend the universe only as a series of changes in time and place its enjoyment, knowledge and power are cramped and curtailed by the limits of personality The terminology of the Saivas is original but the theory appears to be an elaboration of the Pâncarâtra thesis that the soul is surrounded by the sheath of Mâyâ

The early literature of the worshippers of Siva (corresponding to the Samhitâs of the Pâncarâtras) appears to have consisted of twenty-eight works composed in Sanskrit and called Âgamas⁴ There is fairly good evidence for their antiquity Tirumular, one of the earliest Tamil poets who is believed to have lived in the first centuries of our era, speaks of them with enthusiasm and the Buddhist Sanskrit works called Agamas (corresponding to

¹ The Pâśupatas seem to attach less importance to this triad, though as they speak of Pati, Pasu and the impurities of the soul there is not much difference In their views of causation and free will they differed slightly from the Saivas, since they held that Siva is the universal and absolute cause, the actions of indi viduals being effective only in so far as they are in conformity with the will of Siva The Saiva siddhânta however holds that Siva's will is not irrespective of individual Karma, although his independence is not thereby diminished. He is like a man holding a magnet and directing the movements of needles

² There is some difference of language and perhaps of doctrine on this point in various Sivaite works Both Sivaites and Pancaratrins sometimes employ the

language of the Advaita But see Schrader, Int to Pañcarátra, pp 91 ff

3 The five Kañcukas (or six including Mâyâ) are strictly speaking tattvas of which the Saivas enumerate 36 and are kâla, myatı, râga, vidyâ and kalâ contrasted with nityatva, vyapakatva, purnatva, sarvajnatva, sarvakartritva which are qualities of spirit See Chatterji, Kashmir Saivism, 75 ff, 160, where he points out that the Kañcukas are essentially equivalent to Kant's "forms of perception and conception" Sec too Schrader, Int to Pancaratra, 64, 90, 115

4 See for names and other details Schomerus, Der Sana Siddhanta, pp 7, 23 also many articles in the Siddhanta Dipila

the Pali Nikâyas) cannot be later than that period It is highly probable that the same word was in use among both Hindus and Buddhists at the same time And since the Mahabharata mentions the Pasupatam there is no difficulty in supposing that expositions of Sivaite doctrine were current in the first century A.D. or even B.C. But unless more texts of the Agamas come to light the question of their age has little practical importance for it is said by native scholars that of the twenty-eight primary books there survive only fragments of twenty which treat of ritual besides the verses which form the text expounded at length in the Sivañanabotham1 There are also said to be 120 Upagamas of which only two or three have been preserved entire Of these two have been printed in part the Mrigendra and Panshkaras The former is cited in the Sarva-darsana sangraha (ahout 1330) but does not show any signs of great antiquity It is thus clear that the Agamas are not much studied hy modern Sivaites but it is unhesitatingly stated that they are a revelation direct from Siva and equal to the Vedas and this affirmation is important even though the texts so praised are little known for it testifies to the general feeling that there are other revelations than the Veda But the Vedas and the Vedanta Sûtras are not ignored. The latter are read in the light of Nilakantha st commentary which is considered by south Indian Pandits to be prior to Sankara.

They are taken from the Agama called Raurava. The Sivaltes of K hmir appear to have regarded the extant Siva-editas as an Agam

The Se shrit text and tran I tion of the Magendra are published in the Siddhish Dapita, vol. rv 1901 ft. It is sometimes described as an Upsgama and sometimes as the Jähanpidis of the Kamir Agama.

* So Thumblar Nil 1 nths in his commentary on the Vedanta Shtras says:

"I see no difference between the Veds and the Salvagam "

Or Siftantha. The commentary is translated in S difficult Dipular vol. I. St. In spile of sectarian views as to its early date, it seems to be influenced by the views and language of Rimfaulia.

CHAPTER XXVIII

ŚANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHMIR LINGÂYATS

1

About the sixth century AD the decadence of Buddhism and the invigoration of Brahmanism were both well advanced The Mahabharata existed as a great collection of epic and religious poetry and the older Puranas were already composed Even at the present day authorities differ as to whether Siva or Vishnu commands the allegiance of the majority and naturally it is hard to describe the distribution of sects in earlier times. The monuments of the Guptas (for instance the ruins at Eran) suggest that they were Vishnuites but a little later the cult of Siva becomes more prominent The Emperor Harsha (612-648) and his family were eclectic, honouring Siva, the Siin and the Buddha, but it is not recorded that they worshipped Vishnu Bâna who hved at his court indicates that Sivaism was the predominant form of worship, but also mentions Buddhists and Bhâgavatas Hsuan Chuang on the other hand holds him up as a devout Buddhist Great Sivaite shrines in different parts of India such as the temple of Bhuvaneshwar in Orissa and the Kailas at Ellora were probably constructed in the seventh century and it is likely that in the defeat of Buddhism the worshippers of Siva played an active part

This conflict is connected with the names of Kumârila Bhaṭṭa (c 725 AD) and Śankara Âcârya (c 800 AD) It clearly represents forces which cannot be restricted to the character of individuals or the span of human lives. The elements which compose Hinduism had been vigorous long before the eighth century and Buddhism, though decadent, continued to exist in India later. But probably the careers of these two men are the best record of the decisive turn of the tide. It is often said that they revived Hinduism, but however much they insisted on the

¹ In various allusions to be found in the Kâdambarî and Harshacarita.

authority of ancient tradition the real result of their labours was not to re-establish the order of things which prevailed before the rise of Buddhism but to give anthority and solidity to the mixture of Brahmanism Buddhism and popular behefs which had grown np kumāriļa is said to have been a Brahman of Bihar who was a Buddhist monk in thecame a worshipper of Siva and so zealous a persecutor of his former faith that he persuaded a king of his time named Sudhanvan to exterminate it from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin This is a monstrous exaggeration hut he was donhtless a determined cuemy of the Buddhists as can be seen from his philosophical works. Ho taught little about metaphysics or the nature of God hin the insisted on the necessity and efficacy of Vedic rices

More important both as a thinker and an organizer was Sankara. There is some discrepancy in the traditious of his hirth but he was prohably born about 788 A D 2 in a family of Namhutiri Brahmans at Kaladia in the Coohin state. Kaladi occupies a healthy position at some height ahove the sea level and the neighbourhood is now used as a sanatorium. The cocca and trees and towered temples which mark many sonth Indian landscapes are absent and paddy fields alternate with a jungle of flowering plants studded with clumps of hamboos. A hroad river broken by sandhanks winds through the district and near the villages there are often beautiful avonues of great trees. Not far distant is Trichur which possesses a Vedle college and a large temple forhidden to Europeans hat like most edifices in Malabar modest in architecture. This is not the land of giant gopurams and multitudinous sculpture, but of lives dedicated.

¹ The best known of these is the Tantravärttika, a commentary on the Pürvamimämes.

This is the guar ally accepted date and does not appear to conflict with supthing else that is at μ t known of R when. An alternative suggestion is some date between 890 and 660 (see Telang, I.A. xm. 1884 p. 85 and Floet, I.A xm. 1887 p. 41). But in this case, it is very strange that I-Ching does not mention so complanous an enemy of the B debta. It does not seem to me that the use of Părnavarman s name by fankars in an illustration (Cossa, on Verbrain Sm. m. 1.17) use—rily implies they were contemporaries, but it does prove that he ca—of have lived before Förnavarman.

Another tradition says he was born at Chirlambaram, but the temple at Badrin th in the Himslayss said to have been founded by him has always been served by Nambutiri Brahm on from Malabar. In 1910 a great temple erected in his become was consecrated at K. 1-dl.

CHAPTER XXVIII

ŚANKARA SIVAISM IN SOUTHERN INDIA. KASHMIR LINGÂYATS

1

About the sixth century AD the decadence of Buddhism and the invigoration of Brahmanism were both well advanced The Mahabharata existed as a great collection of epic and religious poetry and the older Puranas were already composed at the present day authorities differ as to whether Siva or Vishnu commands the allegiance of the majority and naturally it is hard to describe the distribution of sects in earlier times. The monuments of the Guptas (for instance the runs at Eran) suggest that they were Vishnuites but a little later the cult of Siva becomes more prominent The Emperor Harsha (612-648) and his family were eclectic, honouring Siva, the Sun and the Buddha, but it is not recorded that they worshipped Vishnu Bâna who lived at his court indicates that Sivaism was the predominant form of worship, but also mentions Buddhists and Bhâgavatas Hsuan Chuang on the other hand holds him up as a devout Buddhist Great Sivaite shrines in different parts of India such as the temple of Bhuvaneshwar in Orissa and the Kailas at Ellora were probably constructed in the seventh century and it is likely that in the defeat of Buddhism the worshippers of Siva played an active part

This conflict is connected with the names of Kumârila Bhatța (c 725 AD) and Sankara Âcârya (c 800 AD) It clearly represents forces which cannot be restricted to the character of individuals or the span of human lives. The elements which compose Hinduism had been vigorous long before the eighth century and Buddhism, though decadent, continued to exist in India later. But probably the careers of these two men are the best record of the decisive turn of the tide. It is often said that they revived Hinduism, but however much they insisted on the

¹ In various allusions to be found in the Kâdambarî and Harshacarita.

authority of ancient tradition the real result of their lahours was not to re-establish the order of things which prevailed before the rise of Buddhism hut to give authority and solidity to the mixture of Brahmaniam, Buddhism and popular behefs which had grown up Kumānja is said to have been a Brahman of Bihar who was a Buddhist monk but became a worshipper of Siva and so zealous a persecutor of his former faith that he persuaded a king of his time named Sudhanvan to exterminate it from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin This is a monstrous exaggeration but he was doubtless a determined enemy of the Buddhists as can be seen from his philosophical works. He taught little about metaphysics or the nature of God, but he insisted on the necessity and efficacy of Vedic rites

More important both as a thinker and an organizer was Sankara. There is some discrepancy in the traditions of his high high high high posterior in the Cochin state. Aladi cocupies a healthy position at some height above the sea level and the neighhourhood is now used as a sanatonum. The cocoa nut trees and towered temples which mark many south Indian landscapes are absent and paddy fields alternate with a jungle of flowering plants studded with clumps of bamhoos. A hroad neer thoken hy sandhanks winds through the district and near the villages there are often beautiful avenues of great trees. Not far distant is Trichur which possesses a Vedic college and a large temple forbidden to Europeans hut like most edifices in Malahar modest in architecture. This is not the land of giant gopurams and multitudinous soulpture, but of lives dedicated.

¹ The best known of these is the Tantravarttika, a commentary on the Prirvaminana.

³ This is the generally accepted date and does not appear to conflict with anything eise that is at promit known of fin burn. An alternative suggletion is some date between 500 and 650 (see Telang, I.A. zm. 1887. p. 41). But in this case, it is very strange that I-Ching does not mention so completeous an enemy of the Buddhi! I is does not seem to me that the use of Pdrawaraman a name by Sankars in an illustration (Cossa. on Vedenta Sail II. I. II) near. Ifly implies they were contemporation, but it does you that he can not have lived before Pdrawaraman.

Another tradition says he was born as Chid mbaram, but the temple at Badrinath in the Himalayas said to have been founded by him has always been served by Nambutiri Brahm from Malabar In 1910 a great temple erected in his honour was consecrated at Kaladi.

to the acquisition of traditional learning and the daily performance of complicated but inconspicuous rites

The accounts of Sankara's life are little but a collection of legends, in which, however, the following facts stand out He was the pupil of Govinda, who was himself the pupil of Gaudapâda and this connection would be important could we be certain that this Gaudapâda was the author of the metrical treatise on philosophy bearing his name He wrote popular hymns as well as commentaries on the Upanishads, Vedânta Sutras and Bhagavad-gîtâ, thus recognizing both Vedic and post-Vedic literature he resided for some time on the Narbudda and at Benares, and in the course of the journeys in which like Paul he gave vent to his activity, he founded four maths or monasteries, at Sringeri, Puri, Dwârakâ and Badrinath in the Himalaya Near the latter he died before he was an old man On his deathbed he is said to have asked forgiveness for going on pilgrimages and frequenting temples, because by so doing he had seemed to forget that God is everywhere

It is clear that his work both as an author and organizer was considerable and permanent, and that much of his career was spent outside Dravidian lands His greatest achievement was his exposition of the Vedânta, of which I treat elsewhere He based his arguments unreservedly on the Vedic texts and aimed at being merely conservative, but those texts and even the ancient commentaries are obscure and inconsistent, and it was reserved for his genius to produce from them a system which in consistency, thoroughness and profundity holds the first place in Indian philosophy His work did not consist, as he himself supposed, in harmonizing the Upanishads In this department of interpretation he is as uncritical as other orthodox commentators, but he took the most profound thoughts of the old literature and boldly constructed with them a great edifice of speculation Since his time the Vedânta has been regarded as the principal philosophy of India a position which it does not seem to have held before and his interpretation of it, though often contested and not suited to popular religion, still commands the respect and to some extent the adherence of most educated Hindus

In practical religion he clearly felt, as every Indian reformer still must feel, the want of discipline and a common standard, Though the Buddhism of his day had een ed to anti-fy the needs of India he saw that its strength lav in its morality its relative freedom from superstition and its recle instical organization Accordingly he denonneed extravagant sects! and forbade such practices as branding. He also in tituted an order of ascetics? In doing this he was not only trying to obtain for Hindnism the disciplinary advantages of the Buddhi t church but also to break through the rule pre-cribing that a Brahman mil t first be a householder and only late in his devote himself entirely to reheion. This rule did the Brahmans good service in insuring the continuity and respectability of their class but it tended to drive enthu issts to other up de

It does not seem that any sect can plausibly claim Sankara as founder or adherent. His test religion was Vedantism and this though not incompatible with sectorian worship is predi posed to be impartial. The land save that when summoned to his mother a deathird he spoke to her first of the Vedanta philosophs. But she hade him give her some consolation which she could understand. So he recited a hymn to Siva, but when the attendants of that god appeared she was frightened Sankara then recited a hymn to Mahnn and when his gentler messengers came to her bed ide she gave her son her biessing and allowed them to take her willing soul

This story implies that he was ready to sanction any form of reputable worship with a slight bias towards Vishnulsma At the present day the Smartas who consider themselves his followers have a preference for the worship of Sixa. But the basis of their falth is not Sivalsm but the recognition of the

1 His conflicts with them are described in works, alled 8 phare vit va of which at least four are extant.

5 They are railed Dalanami which merely mean that ca h ascetic be re one or other of ten sumames (Sarawati, Ilharati, Tirtha, etc.). See for a further account of them Jogendra Nath Bhaltacharya, Hand Cast ad Sect pp. 3 4-370

The order in all its branches seems to hav trong panthel tie inclinations. They

mutter the formula Sivo ham I am Sira.

I have been told by south Indian Pandits that they think & sh ra was born in a Bhigareta family and that there is some evidence his kinamen were tru trea of a temple of Krishna. The Saktas also claim him, but the tradition that hoppowed the fiktus is strong and probable. Many hymns addressed to Vishon, flive and various forms of Durgh are attributed to him. I have not been ble to discover what is the external evidence for their authenticity but hymns must have been popular in south India before the time of Sankara and it is eminently probable that he did not perfect this important branch of composition.

great body of Indian traditions known as Smrti And that, next to Vedântism, was the essence of Sankara's teaching he wished to regard tradition as a coherent whole, based on the eternal Veda but including authoritative Smriti to be interpreted in the light of the Veda, and thus he hoped to correct extravagant and partial views and to lead to those heights whence it is seen that all is one, "without difference"

The results of Sankara's labours may still be seen in the organization of southern Hinduism which is more complete than in the north. It is even said that the head of the Sringeri monastery in Mysore exercises an authority over Smarta Brahmans similar to that of the Pope¹ This is probably an exaggeration but his decision is accepted as settling caste disputes, and even to-day the Sringeri math² is one of the most important religious institutions in India The abbot, who is known as Jagadguru, is head of the Smarta Brahmans The present occupant is said to be thirty-third in succession from Sankara and numbers among his predecessors Sâyanâcârya, the celebrated Vedic commentator who lived in the fourteenth century The continued prosperity of this establishment and of other religious corporations in the Dravidian country, whereas the Mohammedans destroyed all monasteries whether Hindu or Buddhist in the north, is one of the reasons for certain differences in northern and southern Hinduism. For instance in northern India any Brahman, whatever his avocation may be, is allowed to perform religious ceremonies, whereas in the Deccan and south India Brahmans are divided into Laukikas or secular and Bhikshus or religious The latter are householders, the name having lost its monastic sense, but they have the exclusive right of officiating and acting as Gurus and thus form a mained clergy

¹ See Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Sects, p 16

Schrader in his catalogue of the Sanskrit MSS in the Adyar Library, 1908, notices an Upanishad called Mahâmâyopanishad, ascribed to Sankara himself, which deals with the special qualities of the four maths. Each is described as possessing one Veda, one Mahâvâkyam, etc. The second part deals with the three ideal maths, Sumeru, Paramâtman and Sâstrâthainâna.

² This math has an endowment of about £5000 a year, instituted by the kings of Vijayanagar The Guru is treated with great respect. His palankin is carried crossways to prevent anyone from passing him and he wears a jowelled head dress, not unlike a papal tiara, and wooden shoes covered with silver. See an interesting account of Sringeri in J. Mythic Society (Bangalore), vol. viii. pp. 18–33

It is possible that the influence of Sankara may have had a puntanteal side which partly accounts for the degeneration of later Indian art. His ligher teaching inculeated a spiritual creed which needed no shrines while for those who required rites he recommended the old Brahmanic ritual rather than the modern temple cultus. The result of this may have been that piety and learning were diverted from art, so that architecture and sculpture ceased to be in touch with the best religious intelligence.

The debt of Sankara to Buddhlam is an interesting question He indited polemics against it and contributed materially to Its downfall but yet if the success of creeds is to be measured by the permanence of ideas, there is some reason for thinking that the vanquished led the conqueror captive Sankarn s approval both in theory and in practice of the monastic life is Buddhistic rather than Brahmanical1 The doctrines of Waya and the distinction between higher and lower truth which are of cardinal importance in his philosophy receive only dubious support from the Upanishads and from Badarayana but are practically identical with the teachings of the Madhyamika School of Buddhism and it was towards this line of thought rather than towards the theism of the Pasupatas or Bhagavatas that he was drawn The affinity was recognized in India for Sankara and his school were stigmatized by their opponents as Buddhists in disguise²

1

The reader wiii perhaps have noticed that up to the career of Sańkara we have been concerned exclusively with uerthern India and even Sańkara theugh a native of the south ived much in the north and it was the traditional sacred lore of the north which he desired to establish as orthodoxy. Not only the older literature Brahmanic as well as Buddhist but most of the Purapas ignore the great stretob of Dravidian country which forms the southern portion of the peninsula and if the Ramayana sings of Rama s bridge and the couquest of Lanka this is clearly an excursion into the realms of fancy. Yet the Dravidian dis

¹ There is some reason to suppose that the Math of Sringeri was founded on the site of a Buddhist mass (erg. See Journal of Mythic Society Bangalore 1916 p. 181

² Praceh na banddh See for further details Book tv chap, xxr ad fa.

tricts are ample in extent, their monuments are remarkable, their languages are cultivated, and Tamil literature possesses considerable interest, antiquity and originality. Unfortunately in dealing with these countries we experience in an unusually acute form the difficulties which beset every attempt to trace the history of ideas in India, namely, the absence of chronology Before 1000 and materials for a connected history are hardly accessible. There are, however, many inscriptions and a mass of literature (itself of disputable date) containing historical allusions, and from these may be put together not so much a skeleton or framework as pictures of ancient life and thought which may be arranged in a plausible order.

It may be said that where everything is so vague, it would be better to dismiss the whole subject of southern India and its religion, pending the acquisition of more certain information, and this is what many writers have done But such wide regions, so many centuries, such important phases of literature and thought are involved, that it is better to run the risk of presenting them in false sequence than to ignore them Briefly it may be regarded as certain that in the early centuries of our era Buddhism, Jainism and Brahmanism all flourished in Dravidian lands The first two gradually decayed and made way for the last, although James remained powerful until the tenth century At a fairly early date there were influential Sivaite and Vishnuite sects, each with a devotional literature in the vernacular Somewhat later this literature takes a more philosophic and ecclesiastical tinge and both sects produce a succession of teachers Tamil Sivaism, though important for the south, has not spread much beyond its own province, but the Vishnuism associated with such eminent names as Râmânuja and Râmânand has influenced all India, and the latter teacher is the spiritual ancestor of the Kabırpanthıs, Sıkhs and various unorthodox Political circumstances too tended to increase the importance of the south in religion, for when nearly all the north was in Moslim hands the kingdom of Vijayanagar was for more than two centuries (c 1330-1565) the bulwark of Hinduism But in filling up this outline the possibilities of error must be remembered The poems of Manikka-Vaçagar have such individuality of thought and style that one would suppose them to mark a conspicuous religious movement. Yet some authorities

refer them to the third century and others to the eleventh nor has any standard been formulated for distinguishing earlier and later varieties of Tamil

I bave already mentioned the view that the worship of Siva and the Linga is Dravidlan in origin and horrowed by the Arvans There is no proof that this worship had its first home In the south and spread northwards for the Vedie and epic literature provides a sufficient pedigree for Siva But this deity always collected round himself attributes and epithets which are not those of the Vedie gods but correspond with what we know of non Aryan Indian mythology It is possible that there un Aryan cults attained in Dravidian lands fuller and more independent development than in the countries colonized by the Arvans so that the portrait of Siva especially as drawn by Tamli writers does retain the features of some old Dravidlan deity a deity who dances who sports among men and bewilders them by his puzzling disguses and transformations! But it is not proved that Siva was the chief god of the early Tamils An ancient poem the Purra Porul Venba Maial* which contains hardly any aliusions to him mentious as the principal objects of worship the goddess Kottavai (Victorious) and her son Muruvan Popular legends' clearly indicate a former struggie between the old religion and Hinduism ending as usual in the recognition by the Brahmans of the ancient gods in a slightly modified form

We have no records whatever of the introduction of Breh manism into southern India but it may reasonably be supposed to have made its appearence there severel ceutures before our era though in what form or with what attempth we cannot say Traditiou credits Agastya and Parasu Râma with having established colonies of Brahmans in the south at undated but remote epochs. But whatever colonization occurred was not on a large scale. An inscription found in Mysore's states that Mukkanna Kadamba (who probably lived in the third contury AD) imported a number of Brahman families from the north

¹ The old folklore of Benyal gives a ploture of Siva, the peasant s god which is neither Vedic nor Dravidian. See Dinesh Chandra Ben Bengali Lang and Lit. pp. 68 ft. and 230 ft.

J.R.A.S 1899 p. 24...

See some ourious examples in Whitehead's Village Gods of South India. Rice, Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, pp. *7 and 201.

because he could find none in the south Though this language may be exaggerated, it is evidence that Brahmans cannot have been numerous at that time and it is probable that Buddhism and Jainism were better represented Three of Asoka's inscriptions have been found in Mysore and in his last edict describing his missionary efforts he includes "the kings of the Pândyas and Colas in the south" among the conquests of Buddhism Mahinda founded a monastery in the Tanjore district and probably established Buddhism at various points of the Tamil country on his way to Ceylon. There is therefore no reason to be doubtful of Buddhist activity, literary or other, if evidence for it is forthcoming. Hsuan Chuang in 640 a D. deploies the decay of Buddhism and speaks of the ruins of many old monasteries.

According to Jain tradition, which some think is supported by inscriptions at Śravana-Belgola², Bhadrabâhu accompanied by Candra Gupta (identified with the Maurya king of that name) led a migration of Jains from the north to Mysore about 300 B c. The authenticity of this tradition has been much criticized but it can hardly be disputed that Jainism came to southern India about the same time as Buddhism and had there an equally vigorous and even longer existence

Most Tamil scholars are agreed in referring the oldest Tamil literature to the first three centuries of our era and I see nothing improbable in this. We know that Asoka introduced Buddhism into south India. About the time of the Christian era there are many indications that it was a civilized country³ which maintained commercial relations with Rome and it is reasonable to suppose that it had a literature. According to native tradition there were three successive Sanghams, or Academies, at Madura. The two earlier appear to be mythical, but the third has some historical basis, although it is probable that poems belonging to several centuries have been associated with it. Among those which have been plausibly referred to the second century a D are the two narrative poems. Silappadhikaram and Mani-

¹ The early Brahmi inscriptions of southern India are said to be written in a Dravidian language with an admixture not of Sanskrit but of Pali words See Arch Survey India, 1911-12, Part i p 23

² See Rice, Mysore and Coorg, pp 3-5 and Fleet's criticisms, I A xxi 1892, p 287

² The various notices in European classical authors as well as in the Sinhalese chronicles prove this

mêkhalar as well as the celebrated collection of didactic verses known as the Kural Tho first two poems especially the Mani makhaiar are Buddhist in tone. The Aurai is ethical rather than religious it hardly mentions the delty! shows no interest in Brahmanie philosophy or ritual and extols a householder s life above an ascetic s. The Naiadiyar is an anthology of somewhat similar Jain poems which as a collection is said to date from the eighth century though verses in it may be older This Jain and Buddbist literature does not appear to have attained any religious importance or to have been regarded as even quasi canonical but the Dravidian Hindus produced two large colice tions of sacred works one Sivarte the other Vishnuite which in popular esteem rival the sanetity of the Vedas Both consist of hymns attributed to a succession of saints and still sung in the temple worship and in both sects the saints are followed by a series of teachers and philosophers. We will take the Sivaites first

3

Their collection of hymns is known as Tirumurai and was compiled by Nambi Andar Nambi said to have lived under king Rajaraja (c 1000 A D) The first portion of it known as Dovaram contains the hymns of Sambandha Appar and Sundara. These persons are the most emment of the sixty three saints' of the southern Sivaites and are credited with many miracies Tamil scholars' consider that Sambandha cannot have lived later than the beginning of the seventh century. He was an adversary of the Jains and Appar is said to have been persecuted by the Buddhists Of the other works comprised in the Tirumural the most important is the Tiruvacagam of Manikka Vacagar4 one of the finest devotional poems which India can show It is not like the Bhagavad gita an exposition by the deity but an outpouring of the soul to the deity. It only incidentally explains the poet a views its main purpose is to tell of his emotions experiences and aspirations. This character

¹ Except in the first chapter

A complete list of them is given in Foulkes, C techism of the Sharra rel gion 1863, p. 21

³ Tamihon Antiquery 3, 1909 pp. 1–65. Edited and translated by Popo, 1900.

istic seems not to be personal but to mark the whole school of Tamil Saiva writers

This school, which is often called the Siddhânta¹, though perhaps that term is better restricted to later philosophical writers, is clearly akin to the Pâśupata but alike in thought, sentiment and ritual far more refined. It is in fact one of the most powerful and interesting forms which Hinduism has assumed and it has even attracted the sympathetic interest of Christians. The fervour of its utterances, the appeals to God as a loving father, seem due to the temperament of the Tamils, since such sentiments do not find so clear an expression in other parts of India. But still the whole system, though heated in the furnace of Dravidian emotion, has not been recast in a new mould. Its dogmas are those common to Sivaism in other parts and it accepts as its ultimate authority the twenty-eight Saiva Âgamas. This however does not detract from the beauty of the special note and tone which sound in its Tamil hymns and prayers.

Whatever the teaching of the little known Agamas may be, the Saiva-Siddhânta is closely allied to the Yoga and theistic forms of the Sânkhya It accepts the three ultimates, Pati the Lord, Paśu his flock or souls, and Pâśa the fetter or matter So high is the first of these three entities exalted, so earnestly supplicated, that he seems to attain a position like that of Allah in Mohammedanism, as Creator and Disposer But in spite of occasional phrases, the view of the Yoga that all three God, souls and matter are eternal is maintained2 Between the world periods there are pauses of quiescence and at the end of these Siva evolves the universe and souls. That he may act in them he also evolves from himself his energy or Paraçattı (Sk Saktı) But this does not prevent the god himself in a personal and often visible form from being for his devotees the one central and living reality The Sakti, often called Umâ, is merely Siva's reflex and hardly an independent existence

¹ Established opinion or doctrine Used by the Jams as a name for their canon

² Thus the catechism of the Saiva religion by Sabhapati Mudaliyar (transl Foulkes, 1863) after stating emphatically that the world is created also says that the soul and the world are both eternal. Also just as in the Bhagavad gitâ the ideas of the Vedanta and Sânkhya are incongluously combined, so in the Tiruva cagam (e.g. Pope's edition, pp. 49 and 138) Siva is occasionally pantheized. He is the body and the soul existence and non existence, the false and the true, the bond and the release

The remarkable feature of this religiou, best seen in the Tiruvaçagam, is the personal tie which connects the soul with God In no literature with which I am acquainted has the individual religious life—its stringgles and dejection its hopes and fears its confidence and its triumph—received a delineation more frank and more profound Despite the strangely exotio colouring of much in the picture not only its outline but its details strikingly resemble the records of devout Christian lives in Europe Siva is addressed not only as Lord but as Father He loves and desires human souls Hard though it is for Brahma and Viahnu to reach theo yet thou did st desire mo What the soul desires is deliverance from matter and life with Siva and this he grants by bestowing grace (Arul) With mother love he came in grace and made me his O thou who art to thy true servants true 'To thee O Father may I nitain may I yet dwell with thee Sometimes! the poet feels that his sins have shut bim off from communion with God He lies like a worm in the midst of auts gnowed by the senses and troubled sore ejaculating in utter misery. Thou hast foreaken me. But more often he seems on the point of expressing a thought commoner in Christianity than in Indian religiou namely that the troubles of this life are only a prepara tion for future beatitude. The idea that matter and suffering are not altogether evil is found in the later Sankhya where Prakriti (which in some respects corresponds to Sakti) is represented as a cenerous female power working in the interests of the soul.

Among the many beauties of the Tiruvaçagam is one which reminds us of the works of 6t Francis and other Christian poetry namely the love of nature and animals especially birds and insects. There are constant alludions to plants and flowers the refrain of one poem calls on a dragon fly to sing the praises of God and another bids the bird known as Kuyil call him to come. In another ode the poet says he looks for the grace of God like a patient heron watching night and day.

The first perusal of these poems impresses on the reader their resemblance to Christian literature They seem to be a tropical version of Hymna Ancient and Modern and to asombe to the deity and his worshippers precisely those sentiments

Invix

Christian Influence 1 mas have supervened but most of this Tamil poetrs is explicable as the development of the ideas expressed in the Bhagavad gith and the Svethivatara Upa expressed in the mangaran gun and the excussionant openished Chronologically Christian influence is not impossible and there is a tradition that Manikka Vaçagar reconverted to Hindusm some natives of Valabar who had become Chastians but the uncertaints of his date makes it hand to fix his place in the history of doctrine Becent Illindu scholars are di powel to assign him to the second or third century. In support of this it is plausible urged that he was an active adversary of the Buddhiets that tradition is unanimous in regarding him as carlier than the writers of the Devirant who make references (not however indisputable) to his poem and that Pensinvar who commented on it lived about 700 A D. I confers that the lone and sentiments of the poem seem to me what one would expect in the eleventh rather than in the third century it has something of the same emotional quality as the Cita govinda and the Bhagavata purana though it differs from them in doctane and in its more masculine devotion. But the Dravidians are not of the same race as the northern Hindus and since this ecastatic monotheism is clearly characteristic of their literature It may have made its appearance in the south earlier than

The Tiruraçagam is not unorthodox but it deals direct with God and is somewhat heedless of priests. This feature becomes more noticeable in other authors such as Palianally Pillal hapilar and the Telugu poet Vémana. The first named appears to have lived in the tenth century. The other two are legendary figures to whom anthologies of popular gnomic verses are ascribed and some of those attributed to hapilar are probably ancient. In all this poetry there rings out a note of almost defiant monotheism leonoclasm and antisacerdotalism. It may

The lines which arem most clearly to reflect Christian influence to those quoted by Caldwell from the \ana nore in the introduction to his Comparative quoted by Caldwell from the Nana nurs in the introduction to his Components the original of the Quotation is given. This part of the introduction is omitted in the relation. the latest date

² January 4 1809 Pp. 67-8... as the probable date and 375 as

facer case. The Raira calechim translated by Foulker says (p. *7) that fire revealed The Naiva catechism translated by Fourier says (p. -/) that ours remaining against the Timyacagam twice first to Manikka Vacagar and later to Tim Koralyar

be partly explained by the fact that in the south Brahmanism was preceded, or at least from early times accompanied, by Buddhism and Jainism These creeds did not make a conquest, for the Dravidian temperament obviously needed a god who could receive and reward passionate devotion, but they cleared the air and spread such ideas as the superiority of good deeds to rites and the uselessness of priests Even now verses expressing these thoughts are popular in the Madras Presidency, but the sect which produced them, known as the Sittars¹, is entirely extinct Caldwell attributes its literature to the seventeenth century, but the evidence available is small and it is clear that this theistic anti-brahmanic school had a long life. As in other cases, the Brahmans did not suppress so much as adapt it The collection which goes by the name of Siva-vâkyam contains poems of different ages and styles Some are orthodox, others have no trace of Brahmanism except the use of Siva as the name of the deity Yet it would seem that the anthology as a whole has not fallen under sacerdotal censure2

The important sect of the Lingâyats should perhaps be regarded as an offshoot of this anti-brahmanic school, but before describing it, it may be well briefly to review the history of orthodox Sivaism in the south

By this phrase is not meant the sect or school which had the support of Sankara but that which developed out of the poems mentioned above without parting company with Brahmanism Sankara disapproved of their doctrine that the Lord is the efficient cause of the world, nor would the substitution of vernacular for Sanskrit literature and temple ceremonies for Vedic sacrifices have found favour with him But these were evidently strong tendencies in popular religion. An important portion of the Devâram and the Kanda Purâna of Kachiyappar, a Tamil adaptation of the Skanda Purâna, were probably written between 600 and 750 a d 3. About 1000 a d d the Tirumurai (including the Devâram) was arranged as a collection in cleven parts, and about a century later Sekkilar composed the Periya Purâna, a poetical hagiology, giving the legends of

¹ Sanskrit Siddha

² Space forbids me to quote the Siva vakyam and Pattanattu Pillai, interesting as they are The reader is referred to Gover, Folk Songs of southern India, 1871, a work which is well worth reading

³ The date of the Skanda Purana creates no difficulty for Bendall considered a MS of it found in Nepal to be anterior to 659 A D

(mvzz

Sivaste saints and shrines. Many important temples were dedicated to Siva during the eleventh and twelfth centuries

There followed a period of scholasticism in which the body of doctrine called the Saiva Siddhanta was elaborated by four Acaryas namely Mey handa-Dovar' (1223) Arunandi Marai Mana-Sambandhar and Umapati (1313) It will thus be seen that the foundation of Sivarte philosophy in Tamil is later than Ramanus and the first Vishnuite movements and perhaps it was influenced by them but the methodical exposition of the Saiva-Siddhantama does not differ materially from the more poetic utterances of the Tiruvacegam It recognizes the three entities the Lord the soul and matter as separate but it shows a tendency (doubtless due to the influence of the Vedanta) both to explain away the existence of matter and to identify the soul with the Lord more closely than its original formula allow Matter is described as Maya and is potentially contained in the Lord who manifests it in the orestive process which bogins each kalps. The Lord is also said to be one with our souls and yet other The soul is by nature ignorant in bondage to the illusion of Maya and of Karma, but by the grace of the Lord it attains to union (not identity) with him in which it sees that its actions are his actions.

In modern times Saiva theology is represented among Dravidians by the works of Sivañanar (1785) and hus disciple Kachiyappar also by the poems of Rāma hings. Sivaism in Madras and other parts of southern India is still a vigorous and progressive Church which does not neglect European methods. Its principal organ is an interesting magazine called Siddhanta. Diplika or the Light of Truth. In northern India the Sivaites are less distinct as a body and have less organization but temples to Siva are numerous and perhaps the majority of Brahmans and ascetics regard him as their special deity and read Sivaite rather than Vishnuite texts. But it is probably also true that they are not sectarian in the same sense as the worshippers of Krishna.

It is not easy to estimate the relative numbers of Sivartes and Vishnuites in south India and good authorities hold

¹ One of his ma two was new adv diel, that is the mind becomes that (spiritual or material) with which it identifies itself most completely.

^{*} It is contained in fourteen fastras, most of which are attributed to the four teachers mentioned above.

opposite views The Sivaites are more united than the Vishnuites (whose many divisions and conspicuous sectarian marks attract attention) and are found chiefly among the upper classes and among ascetics, but perhaps there is much truth in an opinion which I once heard expressed by a Tamil Brahman, that the real division is not between the worshippers of Siva and of Vishnu, but between Smartas, those who follow more or less strictly the ancient ritual observances and those who seek for salvation by devotion and in practice neglect the Sanskrit scriptures There is little hostility The worship of both gods is sometimes performed in the same building as at Chidambaran or in neighbouring shrines, as at Srirangam. In south Kanara and Travancore it is generally held that the two deities are of equal greatness and in many places are found images representing them united in one figure But the great temples at Madura, Tinnevelly and Tanjore are all dedicated to Siva or members of his family If in the philosophical literature of the Siddhânta the purity of the theism taught is noticeable, in these buildings it is rather the rich symbolism surrounding the god which attracts attention In his company are worshipped Parvatî, Ganeśa, Subrahmanya, the bull Nandı and minor attendants he is shown leaping in the ecstacy of the dance and on temple walls are often depicted his sixty-four sports or miracles (lîlâ) For the imagination of the Dravidians he is a great rhythmic force, throbbing and exulting in all the works of nature and exhibiting in kindly playfulness a thousand antics and a thousand shapes

4

Another school of Sivaite philosophy flourished in Kashmir¹ from the ninth century onwards and is not yet extinct among Pandits. It bases itself on the Âgamas and includes among them the still extant Siva-sûtras said to have been discovered as revelation by Vasugupta. He lived about 800 AD and abandoned Buddhism for Sivaism. The school produced a dis-

¹ For the Kashmir school see Barnett in Muséon, 1909, pp 271-277 JRAS 1910, pp 707-747 Kashmir Sanskrit series, particularly vol in entitled Kashmir Sainsm The Siva sûtras and the commentary Vimar'sinî translated in Indian Thought, 1911-12 Also Srînivasa Iyengar, Outlines of Indian Philosophy, pp 168-175 and Sarva darsana sangraha, chap viii

tinguished line of literary men who flourished from the minth to the eleventh centuries1

The most recent authorities state that the Kashmir school is one and that there is no real opposition between the Spanda and Pratvabhina sections The word Spands equivalent to the godhead and ultimate reality is interesting for it means vibration accompanied by consciousness or so to speak self conscious ether. The term Pratvablishs or recognition is more frequent in the later writings. Its meaning is as follows. Siva is the only reality and the soul is Siva but Mava? forces on the soul a continuous stream of sensations. By the practice of meditation it is possible to interrupt the etream and in those moments light illuminates the darkness of the soul and it recognizes that it is Siva, which it had forgotten. Also the world is wholly unreal apart from Siva. It exists by his will and in his mind What seems to the soul to he cognition is really recognition for the soul (which is identical with the divine mind hut hinded and obstructed) recognizes that which exists only in the divine mind

It has been held that Kashmiran Siyasm is the parent of the Dravidian Saiva Siddhants and spread from Kashmir sonthwards by way of Kalyan in the eleventh century and this hypothesis certainly receives support from the mention of Keshmiri Brahmans in south Indian insorptions of the four teenth century. Yet I doubt if it is necessary to assume that south Indian Sivalam was derived from Kashmir for the worship of Siva must have been general long before the eleventh century. and Kashmiri Brahmans, far from introducing Sivaism to the south are more likely to have gone thither because they were sure of a good reception whereas they were exposed to Moslim

Among them may be mentioned Kallet author of the Sponds Karibde and Sominand of the Sivadriahti, who both flourished about 850-900. Utpels, who composed the Pratyabhijfis-barks lived some fifty years later and in the eleventh century Ahhlm va Gupta and Kahemardia composed numerous commentaries.

⁸ K hmirlan Salvism is often called Triks, that is tripartite, because, like other variethe, it treats of three ultimates & ra, Salts Asse or Pate, Pales Polla. But it has a decided tendency towards montem.

Also called the Sakti or Matrica

⁴ See Epig Curs. vn. Sk. 114. 18, 20 and Jour Mythic Society 1917 pp. 178, 180 To my nothing of Sivalte temples like the K ile at Hilors, the chief doctrines and even the terminology of Sivaite philosophy are mentioned by Sankers on Ved. Setres, 11. 2. 37

persecution in their own country Also the forms which Sivaism assumed in these two outlying provinces present differences in Kashmir it was chiefly philosophic, in the Dravidian countries chiefly religious. In the south it calls on God to help the sinner out of the mire, whereas the school of Kashmir, especially in its later developments, resembles the doctrine of Sankara, though its terminology is its own

Before the advent of Islam, Kashmir was a secluded but cultured land Its pleasant climate and beautiful scenery, said to have been praised by Gotama himself1, attracted and stimulated thinkers and it had some importance in the history of Buddhism and of the Pancarâtra as well as for Sivaism It is connected with the Buddhist sect called Saivastivadins and in this case the circumstances seem clear. The sect did not originate in Kashmir but its adherents settled there after attending the Council of Kanishka and made it into a holy land Subsequently, first Vishnuism and then Sivaism² entered the mountain valleys and flourished there Kashmirian thinkers may have left an individual impress on either system but they dealt with questions which had already been treated of by others and their contributions, though interesting, do not seem to have touched the foundations of belief or to have inspired popular movements The essential similarity of all Sivaite schools is so great that coincidences even in details do not prove descent or borrowing and the special terms of Kashmirian philosophy, such as spanda and pratyabhina, seem not to be used in the south

The Siva-sûtras consist of three sections, describing three methods of attaining svacchanda or independence. One (the gist of which has been given above) displays some though not great originality, the second is Sâktist, the third follows the ordinary prescriptions of the Yoga. All Sivaite philosophy is really based on this last and teaches the existence of matter, souls and a deity, manifested in a series of phases. The relations of these three ultimates are variously defined, and they may be identified with one another, for the Sânkhya-Yoga doctrine may be com-

 $^{^{1}}$ In the Samyuktavastu, chap XL (transl in JA 1914, ii pp 534, etc.) the Buddha is represented as saying that Kashmir is the best land for meditation and leading a religious life

² Chatterji, Kashmir Śaiwsm, p 11, thinks that Abhinava Gupta's Paramārtha sāra, published by Barnett, was an adaptation of older verses current in India and called the Âdhâra Kārikās

bined (though not very consistently) with the teaching of the Vedanta. In Kashmirian Sivaism Vedantist influences seem strong and it even calls itself Advaita. It is noteworthy that Vasugupta who discovered the Siva-sūtras also wrote a commentary on the Bhagavad gita

The gist of the matter is that since a taste for speculation is far more prevalent in India than in Europe there exist many systems of popular philosophy which being a mixture of religion and metaphysics involve two mental attitudes. The ordinary worshipper implores the Lord to deliver him from the bondage of sin and matter the philosopher and saint wish to show that thought is one and such ideas as sin and matter partial and illusory. The originality of the Saiva Saddhanta lies less in its dogmas than in its devotional character in the feeling that the soul is immersed in darkness and struggles upwards by the grace of the Lord so that the whole process of Karma and Maya is really beneficent.

ĸ

As already mentioned Sivassm has an important though unorthodox offshoot in the Langayats¹ or I ingavants. It appears that they originated at Kalyan (now in the Nizam s dominions) at the time when a usurper named Bijjala (1165–1167) had seized the throne of the Chalukyas. Their founder was Basava (the vernacular form of Vrishabha) assisted by his nephew Channabasava¹ whose exploits and miracles are recorded in two Purauas composed in Kanarese and bearing their respective names. According to one story Bijjala who was a Jain persecuted the Lingdyats and was assessmated by them But there are other versions and the early legends of the sect merit little credence. The Lingdyats are Puritans. They reject caste the supremiacy of the Brahmans sacrifices and other rites and all the later Brahmanic literature. In theory they reverence the Vedas but practically the two Purauas mentioned are their

¹ See Thurston, Castes and Tribes of southern India, s.v. vol. iv. pp. 236-291 and Gravitary of the Rombey Proplems and Nature and Minney pp. 210-1884.

and Graties of the Boston Prendescy vol. XXIII article Bijapur pp. 210-1884.

An inscription found at Adur in Dharwar also mentions Hamayya as a champion of Sirate monotherism. He is perhape the same as Ch. Deaarva. The Vingiputs maintain that Basava merely revived the old true religion of Sira and founded nothing new.

sacred books¹ They are strict vegetarians and teetotallers they do not insist on child marriages nor object to the remainage of widows. Their only object of worship is Siva in the form of a lingam and they always carry one suspended round the neck or arm. It is remarkable that an exceptionally severe and puritanical sect should choose this emblem as its object of worship, but, as already observed, the lingam is merely a symbol of the creative force and its worship is not accomplished by indecent rites². They hold that true Lingâyats are not liable to be defiled by births or deaths, that they cannot be injured by sorcely and that when they die their souls do not transmigrate but go straight to Siva. No prayers for the dead are needed

Though trustworthy details about the rise of the Lingâyats are scarce, we can trace their spiritual ancestry. They present in an organized form the creed which inspired Pattanattu Pillai in the tenth century. About a hundred years later came Râmânuja who founded a great Vishnuite Church and it is not surprising if the Sivaites followed this example, nor if the least orthodox party became the most definitely sectarian

The sectarian impulse which is conspicuous after the eleventh century was perhaps stimulated by the example of Mohammedanism. There was little direct doctrinal influence, but a religious people like the Hindus can hardly have failed to notice the strength possessed by an association worshipping one god of its own and united by one discipline. Syrian Christianity also might have helped to familiarize the Lingâyats with the idea of a god not to be represented by images or propitiated by sacrifices, but there is no proof that it was prevalent in the part of the Deccan where they first appeared

The Lingâyats spread rapidly after Basava's death³ They still number about two millions and are to be found in most Karanese-speaking districts. They are easily recognizable for all carry the lingam, which is commonly enclosed in a red scarf

¹ They have also a book called *Prabhuling lila*, which is said to teach that the deity ought to live in the believer's soul as he lives in the lingam, and collections of early Kanarese sermons which are said to date from the thirteenth century

² The use of the langa by this sect supports the view that even in its origin the symbol is not exclusively phallic

Their creed is said to have been the state religion of the Wodeyars of Mysore (1399-1600) and of the Nayaks of Keladi, Ikken or Bednur (1550-1763)

worn roand the neck or among the richer classes in o silver box It is made of grey scapstone and o Lingayat must on no account part with it for a moment. They are divided into the laity and the Jangams or priests. Some of these marry but others are itinerant ascetics who wander over India frequenting especially the five Simhasauas or Lingayat sees. They are treated with extreme respect by the laity and sometimes wear fantastic costumes such as plates re-embling ormour or little bells which announce their approach as they walk.

In doctrine the Lingayots remain faithful to their original tenets and do not wership any god or goddess except Siva in the form of the Lingam though they show respect to Ganesa and other deities as also to the founder of their sect. But in social matters it is agreed by oll observers that they show a tendency to reintroduce caste and to minimize the differences separating them from more orthodex sects. According to Basava's teaching all members of the community both men and women are equal. But though converts from oll castes are still accepted it was found at the last census that well to-do Lingayats were anxious to be eatered under the name of Virasaiva Brahmans. Kahatriyas etc. and did not admit that caste distinctions are obliterated among them. Similarly though the remarriage of widows is not ferhidden there is a growing teadouty to look at it askance.

At hador Uijeni, Benarce, Srisaliam and hedarnith in the Himalayas. In every Lingdyat village there is a monastery full ted to one of these five establish ments. The great importance attached to monastic institutions is perhaps due to Jain influence.

CHAPTER XXIX

VISHNUISM IN SOUTH INDIA

1

Though Sivaism can boast of an imposing array of temples, teachers and scriptures in the north as well as in the south, yet Vishnuism was equally strong and after 1000 a depending stronger. Thus Alberum writing about north-western India in 1030 a depending siva and Durgâ several times incidentally but devotes separate chapters to Nârâyana and Vâsudeva, he quotes copiously from Vishnuite works but not from sectarian Sivaite books. He mentions that the worshippers of Vishnu are called Bhâgavatas and he frequently refers to Râma. It is clear that in giving an account of Vishnuism he considered that he had for all practical purposes described the religion of the parts of India which he knew

In their main outlines the histories of Vishniusm and Sivaism are the same Both faiths first assumed a definite form in northern India, but both flourished exceedingly when transplanted to the south and produced first a school of emotional hymn writers and then in a maturer stage a goodly array of theologians and philosophers as well as offshoots in the form of eccentric sects which broke loose from Brahmanism altogether But Vishnuism having first spread from the north to the south returned from the south to the north in great force, whereas the history of Sivaism shows no such reflux² Sivaism remained comparatively homogeneous, but Vishnuism gave birth from the eleventh century onwards to a series of sects or Churches still extant and forming exclusive though not mutually hostile associations The chief Churches or Sampradâyas bear the names of Sanakâdı, Śrî, Brahmâ and Rudra The first three were founded by Nimbâditya, Râmânuja and Madhva respectively

Such as the Vishnu Purâna, Vishnu Dharma, said to be a section of the Garuda Purâna and the Bhagavad gîtâ

 $^{^2}$ The Hindus are well aware that the doctrine of Bhakti spread from the south to the north. See the allegory quoted in $J\ R\ A\ S$ 1911, p. 800

Care in

The Radra-sampradâya was rendored celebrated by Vallablia though be was not its founder

The belief and practice of all Vishaulto sects alike is a modified monotheism the worship of the Supreme Being under some such name as Rama or Vasudeva. But the monotheism is not perfect. On the one hand it passes into pantheism on the other it is not completely disengaged from mythology and in all secta the consort and attendants of the delty receive great respect even if this respect is theoretically distinguished from adoration. Nearly all seets reject sacrified in toto and make the basis of salvation omotional-namely devotion to the deity and as a counterpart to this the oblef characteristic of the derty is loving condescension or grace The theological philosophy of each sect is nearly always whatever name it may bear a variety of the system known as Visishtadvalta or qualified monism which is not unlike the Sankhya Yoga! For Vishnuites as for Sivaltes there exist God the soul and matter but most sects shrink from regarding them as entirely separate and bridge over the differences with various theories of emanations and successive manifestations of the deity. But for practical religion the soul is entangled in matter and with the help of God struggles towards union with him The precise nature and latimacy of this union has given rise to as many subtle theories and phrases as the sacraments in Furope Vishnuito sects in all parts of India show a tendency to recognize vernacular works as their scriptures but they also attach great importance to the Upanishads the Bhagavad cita the Naravaniva and the Vedanta Satras Each has a special interpretation of these last which becomes to some extent its motto

But these hooks belong to the relatively older literature Many Vishnuite or rather Krishnaite works composed from the eighth century ouwards differ from them in tone and give prominence to the god's amorous adventures with the Gopis and (still later) to the personality of Rådhå. This cestatic and soutamental theology though found in all parts of India is more prevalent in the north than in the south. Its great texthook is the Bhågavata Purana. The same spirit is found in

Thus Rāmānuja says (Sri Bhāshya, π ... 43) that the Vedānta Sūtras do not relute the Sātkhya and Yoga but merely certain erroneous views as to Brahman not being the self.

Jayadeva's Gîtâ-govinda, apparently composed in Bengal about 1170 A D and reproducing in a polished form the religious dramas or Yâtras in which the life of Krishna is still represented

2

The sect1 founded by Nımbârka or Nımbâdıtva has some connection with this poem. Its chief doctrine is known as dvaitadvaitamata, or dualistic non-duality, which is explained as meaning that, though the soul and matter are distinct from God, they are yet as intimately connected with him as waves with water or the coils of a rope with the rope itself. This doctine is referred to in the religious drama called Prabodhacandrodaya, probably composed at the end of the eleventh century The Nimâvats, as the adherents of the sect are called. are found near Muttra and in Bengal It is noticeable that this sect, which had its origin in northern India, is said to have been persecuted by the Jams² and to have been subsequently revived by a teacher called Nivasa This may explain why in the twelfth century Vishnuism flourished in the south rather than in the north³ Less is known of the Nimbarkas than of the other sects They worship Krishna and Râdhâ and faith in Krishna is said to be the only way to salvation Krishna was the deity of the earliest bhakti-sects Then in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries there was a reaction in favour of Râma as a more spiritual deity, but subsequently Vallabha and Caitanya again made the worship of Krishna popular Nimbarka expressed his views in a short commentary on the Vedânta Sûtras and also in ten verses containing a compendium of doctrine4.

² See Grierson in E R E vol II p 457

Called the Daśaśloki It is translated in Bhandarkar's Vaishn and Saiviem, pp 63-5

¹ It has been described as the earliest of the Vishnuite Churches and it would be so if we could be sure that the existence of the doctrine called Dvaitâdvaita was equivalent to the existence of the sect. But Bhandarkar has shown some reason for thinking that Nimbâditya lived after Râmânuja. It must be admitted that the worship of Râdhâ and the doctrine of self-surrender or prapatti, both found in the Daśaśloki, are probably late

The Church of the Nimavats is also called Sanakâdi sampradâya because it professes to derive its doctrine from Sanaka and his brethren who taught Narada, who taught Nimbârka At least one sub sect founded by Harivamsa (born 1559) adopts a doctrine analogous to Saktism and worships Râdhâ as the manifestation of Krishna's energy

extract consisting of 602 verses selected for use in daily worship is in part accessible This poetry shows the same ecstatic devotion and love of nature as the Tiruvacagam It contemplates the worship of images and a temple ritual consisting in awakening the god at morning and attending on him during the day It quotes the Upanishads and Bhagavad-gîtâ, assimes as a metaphysical basis a vedantized form of the Sânkhya philosophy, and also accepts the legends of the pastoral Krishna but without giving much detail Jains, Buddhists and Saivas are blamed and the repetition of the name Govinda is enjoined Though the hymns are not anti-Brahmanic they decidedly do not contemplate a life spent in orthodox observances and their reputed authors include several Sûdras, a king and a woman

After the poet-samts came the doctors and theologians Accounts of them, which seem historical in the main though full of miraculous details, are found in the Tamil biographies2 illustrating the apostolic succession of teachers. It appears fairly certain that Râmânuja, the fourth in succession, was alive ın 1118 the first, known as Nâthamımı, may therefore have lived 100-150 years earlier None of his works are extant but he is said to have arranged the poems of the Arvars for recitation in temple services He went on a pilgimage to northern India and according to tradition was an adept in Yoga, being one of the last to practise it in the south Third in succession was his grandson Yamınârcârya (known as Âlavandâr or victor), who spent the first part of his life as a wealthy layman but was converted and resided at Srîrangam Here he composed several important works in Sanskrit including one written to establish the orthodoxy of the Pancarâtra and its ritual3

Namm'arvar respectively The fourth part or Iyar pa is like the first a miscellany containing further compositions by these two as well as by others

¹ Nıtyânusandhânam series edited with Telugu paraphrase and English transla tion by M B Srinivasa Aiyangar, Madras, 1898

² The best known is the Guru paramparâ-prabhâvam of Brahmatantra svatantra swâmı For an English account of these doctors see T Râjagopala Chariar, The Varshnarate Reformers of India, Madras, 1909

3 Âgamaprâmânya He also wrote a well known hymn called Âlavandâr Stotram and a philosophical treatise called Siddhi traya

1

He was succeeded by Ramanuja a great name in Indian theology both as the organizer of a most important sect and if not the founder1 at least the accepted exponent of the Viáishtådvalta philosophy Ramanuja was born at Sriperum budur's near Madras where he is still commemorated by a celebrated shrine. As a vonth he studied Sivaito philosophy at Conicovaram hut ahandoned it for Vishnuism He appears to have been a good administrator. He made the definitive collection of the hymns of the Arvars and is said to have founded 700 maths and 80 hereditary abbotships for he allowed the members of his order to marry. He visited northern India including Kashmir if tradition may be believed but his chief residence was Surangam Towards the end of the eleventh century however the hostility of the Chola King Kulottunga who was an intolerant Sivaite forced him to retire to Mysore Hero he was protected hy King Vittala Dova whom he converted from Jainism and on the death of kulottunga in 1118 he returned to Sraraugam where he ended his days. In the tomple there his tomh and a shrine where his image receives divine honours may still he seen. His best known works is the Sri Bhashva or commentary on the Vedanta sutras

The sect which he founded is known as the Sri Sampradâya and its members as the Sri Vaishnavas. As among the Sivaites revelation is often supposed to be made by Siva through Saktisc here the Lord is said to have revealed the truth to his consort Sri or Lakshmi she to a denigod called Visvaksona and he to Nammārvār from whom Rāmānuja was eighth in spiritual descent Though the members of the sect are sometimes called Ramaites the personality of Rāma plays a small part in their faith especially as expounded by Rāmānuja. As names for the deity he uses Nārāyana and Vāsudeva and he quetes freely from

Towns which our the purkey and fitte

¹ He states himself that he followed Boddhäyana, a commentator on the Sötras of unknown date but anterior to Sankara. He quotes several other commentators particularly Dramkja, so that his school must have had a long line of teachers.

See Gentier of Indea vol. XXIII. a.v. There is a Kanarese account of his life called Dibya-caritra. For his life and teaching see also Bhandarkar in Benchit VIIIA. Orient. Congress, 1886, pp. 101ff. Lives in English have been published at Midras by Alkondaville Gortindicarys (1900) and Krishnaswami Alvengar (1909).

^a He also wrote the Vedārtha Bangraha, Vedārtha Pradīpa, Vedānta Sāra and a commentary on the Bhagayad-effa.

the Bhagavad-gîtâ and the Vishnu Purâna Compared with the emotional deism of Caitanya this faith seems somewhat philosophic and reticent

Râmânuja clearly indicates its principal points in the first words of his \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Bhâshya "May my mind be filled with devotion towards the highest Brahman, the abode of Lakshmî, who is luminously revealed in the Upanishads who in sport produces, sustains and reabsorbs the entire universe whose only aim is to foster the manifold classes of beings that humbly worship him¹" He goes on to say that his teaching is that of the Upanishads, "which was obscured by the mutual conflict of manifold opinions," and that he follows the commentary of Bodhâyana and other teachers who have abridged it

That is to say, the form of Vishnuism which Râmânuja made one of the principal religions of India claims to be the teaching of the Upanishads, although he also affiliates himself to the Bhâgavatas. He interprets the part of the Vedânta Sûtras which treats of this sect² as meaning that the author states and ultimately disallows the objections raised to their teaching and he definitely approves it "As it is thus settled that the highest Brahman or Nârâyana himself is the promulgator of the entire Pancarâtra and that this system teaches the nature of Nârâyana and the proper way of worshipping him, none can disestablish the view that in the Pancarâtra all the other doctrines are comprised³"

The true tradition of the Upanishads he contends has been distorted by "manifold opinions," among which the doctrine of Sankara was no doubt the chief That doctrine was naturally distasteful to devotional poets, and from the time of Nåthamuni onwards a philosophic reaction against it grew up in Srîrangam Râmânuja preaches the worship of a loving God, though when we read that God produces and reabsorbs the universe in sport, we find that we are farther from Christianity than we at first supposed There is a touch of mythology in the mention of Lakshmî⁴ but it is clear that Râmânuja himself had little liking for mythology. He barely mentions Râma and Krishna in the Srî Bhâshya nor does he pay much attention to the consort of

¹ SBE XLVIII. p 3 2 TI 2 36-39 3 TI 2 43 ad fin Râmânuja's introduction to the Bhagavad-gîtâ is more ornate but does not go much further in doctrine than the passage here quoted.

the deriv. On the other hand he shows no sign of rejecting the ritoal and regulations of the Brahmans. He apparently withed to prove that the doctrine of salvation his devotion to a personal god is compatible with a system asserted by orthodox as Canhara sown.

I shall treat elsewhere of his philosophy known as the Visishtadvalta or non-duality which set recomires a distinction between God and individual souls. The line of thought is old and at all periods is clearly a compromise unwilling to deny that God is everything and yet die strefied with the idea that a personal deity and our individual transmigrating souls are all merely illusion. Devotional their m was crowing in Ramannia a time. He could not break with the I pani hails and Vedantic tradition but he adapted them to the needs of his day. He taught firstly that the material world and human souls are not illu ion but so to speak the body of Cod who comprises and pervades them secondly this God is omniscient omniore ent almichty and all merriful and ealyation (that is mobil or deliverance from transmigration) is of tained by those souls who assisted by his grace meditate on him and know him thinlis this releation con iste not in absort tinn into Cod but in lib sful existence near him and in participation of his glorious qualities He further held! that God ext taln five modes namely fold ara the entire supreme spirit (b) the fourfold manifestation as \ a u deva Sankarehana I radyumna and Aniruddha (c) incarna tions such as Rama and Arishna (d) the internal controller or Antaryamin according to the text? who ablifug in the soul rules the soul within (c) duly consecrated images

The followers of Rămânuja are at present divided into two schools known as Tengalais and Vadagalais or southern and northern. The double residence of the founder is one reason for the division since both Vivsare and Trichinopols could claim to have personal knowledge of his teaching. The really important difference seems to be that the Tengalai or southern school is inclined to lireak away from Sanskrit tradition to ignore the Vedas in practice and to regard the Tamil Naliviram as an

¹ This firefold manifestation of the delty is a characteri tie Pâncarâtra doctrine See Schrader Jat. pp. 23, 51 and 6rf Bâddiya, H. 1...

See Br Ar Up III. 7 The firl laishparas attach great importance to this chapter

Only relatively northern and southern. Neither flourish in what we call northern India.

all-sufficient scripture, whereas the Vadagalais, though not rejecting the Nâlâyıram, insist on the authority of the Vedas But both divisions are scrupulous about caste observances and the ceremonial purity of their food. They are separated by nice questions of doctrine, especially as to the nature of prapatti, resignation or self-surrender to the deity, a sentiment slightly different from bhakti which is active faith or devotion. The northerners hold that the soul lays hold of the Lord, as the young monkey hangs on to its mother, whereas the southerners say that the Lord picks up the helpless and passive soul as a cat picks up a kitten¹ According to the northerners, the consort of Vishnu is, like him, uncreated and equally to be worshipped as a bestower of grace according to the southerners she is created and, though divine, merely a mediator or channel of the Lord's grace Even more important in popular esteem is the fact that the Vadagalai sectarian mark ends between the eyebrows whereas the Tengalais prolong it to the tip of the nose Odium theologicum is often bitterest between the sects which are most nearly related and accordingly we find that the Tengalais and Vadagalais frequently quarrel They use the same temples but in many places both claim the exclusive right to recite the hymns of the Aivars The chief difference in their recitation lies in the opening verse in which each party celebrates the names of its special teachers, and disputes as to the legality of a particular verse in a particular shrine sometimes give rise to free fights and subsequent lawsuits

The two schools reckon the apostolic succession differently and appear to have separated in the thirteenth century, in which they were represented by Pillai Lokâcârya and Vedânta Desika² respectively The Tengalai, of which the first-named teacher

² Also called Venkatanâtha For some rather elaborate studies in the history of the Srî-Vaishnavas see V Rangacharis' articles in J Bombay R A S 1915 and 1916 and J Mythic Society, 1917. Nos 2 ff

¹ Hence the two doctrines are called markata nyâya and marjâra nyâya, monkey theory and cat theory The latter gave rise to the dangerous doctrine of Doshabhogya, that God enjoys sin, since it gives a larger scope for the display of His grace. Cf Oscar Wilde in De Profundis, "Christ, through some divine instinct in him, seems "to have always loved the sinner as being the nearest possible approach to per"fection in man. In a manner not yet understood of the world, he regarded sin "and suffering as being in themselves beautiful holy things and modes of per
"fection. Christ, had he been asked, would have said—I feel quite certain about "it—that the moment the prodigal son fell on his knees and wept, he made his "having wasted his substance with harlots, his swine herding and hungering for "the husks they are beautiful and holy moments in his life."

was the practical founder, must be regarded as unnovators for in their use of Tamil as the language of religion they do not follow the example of Ramanuja. Lokacarya teaches that the grace of God is urresistible and should be met not merely by active faith but hy self-surrender! and entire submission to the guidance of the spiritual teacher. Ho was the anthor of eighteen works called Rahasyas or secrets' but though he appears to have been the first to formulate the Tengalai doctrines Manavala Mahamuni (1370-1443 a D) is regarded by the sect as its chief saint. His images and pictures are frequent in south India and he wrote numerous commentaries and pooms Vedanta Desika the founder of the Vadagalai was a native of Conjecuaram but spent much of his life at Srirangam He was a voluminous anthor and composed inter alia an allegorical play in ten acts purkraying the liberation of the soul under the auspices of King Viveka (discrimination) and Queen Sumati (Wisdom)

At the present day the two socts recognize as their respective heads two Actryss who are married whereas all Smarta Actryss are cellbates? The Tengalai Actrya resides near Tinnovelly the Vadagalai in the district of Kurnool They both make periodical visitations in their districts and have considerable ecclesiastical power. In the south Srirangam near Trichinopoly is their principal shrine in the north Melnoote in the Seringapatam district is esteemed very sacred.

5

It was only natural that Rāmānuja s advocacy of qualified non-duality should lead some more uncompromising spirit to affirm the doctrine of Dvaita or duality. This step was taken by Madhva Acarya a Kanarese Brahman who was probably born in 1190 a D 4 In the previous year the great temple of

¹ Prapatit and Askrykkhinina.—The word propation on not to occur in the 8d Bhladya and it is clear that Rimdnoja a temperament was inclined to active and intelligent devotion. But proputif is said to have been taught by N 1th small and Rathragopa (Rálagopala Charler Voist ordis References, p. 6). The word means iterally approaching

The Artha pascaka and Tattva-trays are the best known. See text and trans-

lation of the first in J.R.A.S 1910 pp. 585-607

⁸ PAm⁸ uja set less store than Sankars on assett/ten and remneration of the world. Ho held the doctrine called armwechen (or combination) namely that good works as well as knowledge are efficiations for salvation.

Also called Anandaŭrtha and Pürneprajūa. According to others he was born in 1238 a.n. See for his doctrines Grierson s article Madhvas in E R.E and his own

Jagannatha at Puri had been completed and the Vishnuite movement was at its height. Madhva though educated as a Saiva became a Vaishnava. He denied absolutely the identity of the Supreme Being with the individual soul and held that the world is not a modification of the Lord but that he is like a father who begets a son. Yet in practice, rigid monotheism is not more prevalent among Madhva's followers than in other sects. They are said to tolerate the worship of Sivaite deities and of the lingam in their temples and their ascetics dress like Saivas.

Madhva travelled in both northern and southern India and had a somewhat troubled life, for his doctrine, being the flat contradiction of the Advaita, involved him in continual conflicts with the followers of Sankara who are said to have even stolen his library. At any rate they anathematized his teaching with a violence unusual in Indian theology². In spite of such lively controversy he found time to write thirty-seven works, including commentaries on the Upanishads, Bhagavad-gîtâ and Vedânta Sûtras. The obvious meaning of these texts is not that required by his system, but they are recognized by all Vaishnavas as the three Prasthânas or starting-points of philosophy and he had to show that they supported his views. Hence his interpretation often seems forced and perverse. The most extraordinary instance of this is his explanation of the celebrated phrase in

commentaries on the Chândogya and Brihad Ar Upanishads published in Sacred Books of the Hindus, vols III and AIV For his date Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Śaivism, pp 58-59 and I A 1914, pp 233 ff and 262 ff Accounts of his life and teaching have been written by Padmanabha Char and Krishna Svami Aiyer (Madras, 1909). His followers maintain that he is not dead but still alive at Badarî in the Himalayas

 1 See Padmanabha Char l c page 12 Madhva condemned the worship of manimate objects (e g com Chând Up vu 14 2) but not the worship of Brahman in manimate objects

² In a work called the *Páshanda capetilá* or *A Slap for Heretics*, all the adherents of Madhva are consigned to hell and the Saurapurâna, chaps XXXVIII—XI. contains a violent polemic against them See Jahn's *Analysis*, pp 90–106 and Barth in *Mélanges Harlez*, pp 12–25 It is curious that the Madhvas should have been selected for attack, for in many ways they are less opposed to Sivaites than are other Vishnuite sects but the author was clearly badly informed about the doctrines which he attacks and he was probably an old-fashioned Sivaite of the north who regarded Madhvism as a new fangled version of objectionable doctrines

The Madhvas are equally violent in denouncing Sankara and his followers. They miswrite the name Samkara, giving it the sense of mongrel or dirt and hold that he was an incarnation of a demon called Manimat sent by evil spirits to corrupt

the world.

the Chandegya Upanishad Sa atmā tat tvam ası He reeds Sa atmā atat tvam ası and considers that it means You are not that God Why be so conceited as to suppose that you cre'? Monothestic texts have often received a mystical and pan theistic interpretation The Old Testament and the Koran have been so treated by Kabbalists and Sufis But in Madhva's commentances we see the opposite and probably rarer method Pantheistic texts are twisted until they are made to express uncompromising monotheism

The sect is often called Brahma-sampradava because it claims that its doctrine was revealed by Brahma from whom Madhya was the sixth teacher in spiritual descent. Its members are known as Madhyas but prefer to call themselves Sad Vaishnayns. Its teaching seems more neid and less omotional than that of other Vishnuites and is based on the Pancabheds or five eternal distinctions between (a) God and the soul (b) God and matter (c) the soul and matter (d) individual souls (e) individual atoms of matter God is generally called Vishpu or Narayana rather than Vasudeva Anshna is adored but not in his pastoral aspect. Vishnu and his spouse Lakshmi are real though superhuman personalities and their sons are Brahma the creator and Vavus Peculiar to this sect is the doctrine that except through Vayu the son of Vishnu salvation is impossible Vayu has been three times incarnate as Hanumat the helper of Rama as Bhima and as Madhya himself Souls are separate innumerable and related to God as subjects to a king. They are of three classes those who are destined to eternal bliss in the presence of God those who revolve eternally in the maze of transmigration and those who tending over downwards are doemed to oternal suffering

A See his connects on Chind. Up, vr. 8. 7 Compare Bhap-g xx 7 The text appears to say that the soul (Mrs) is a part (amas) of the Lord. Mathra says it is ac-called here. It bears some reduced similitards to the Lord, though quite distinct from him. Hadhwa s cregoris is supported by a system of tantic or cabiletie interpretation in which every letter has a special meaning Thus in the peg of the Chind. Up, mentioned above the simple words set pe sakeh are explained as equivalent to Bars as no, yama the coetroller and laths the dorderd one. The meding stat twam sails said not to have originated with M divra but to be found in a Bhágavsta work called the film semifiti.

In his one watery on the opening of the Chând. Up. Madhwa seems to imply a Trinity consisting of Viahnu, Rawai [at | which and Vaya.

This is sayneady stated at the end of the ornumentary on the Brith. Ar Upan.

This last doctrine, as well as the doctrine of salvation through Vâyu, the wind or spirit, has led many to suspect that Madhva was influenced by Christian ideas, but it is more probable that he owed something to Islam Such influence would no doubt be distant and indirect, for a Brahman would not come into contact with Moslim doctors, though it is said that Madhva could speak Persian¹ But some Moslim ideas such as the absolute separation of God from the world and the predestination of souls to eternal happiness and misery may have entered Brahman minds Still, nearly all Madhva's views (with the possible exception of eternal punishment) have Indian analogies The Yoga teaches that there are innumerable souls distinct from one another and from God and though salvation through the spirit sounds Christian, yet the Upanishads constantly celebrate Vâyu (wind) and Prâna (breath) as the pervading principle of the world and the home of the self "By the wind (Vâyu) as thread, O Gautama, this world and the other world and all creatures are bound together2" Thus the idea that the wind is the universal mediator is old and it does not seem that Madhva regarded Vâyu as a redeemer or expiation for sin like Christ

The Mâdhvas are still an energetic and important sect. Their headquarters are at Udipi in South Kanara and they also hold an annual conference at Tirupati at which examinations in theology are held and prizes given. At Udipi are eight maths and a very sacred temple, dedicated by Madhva himself to Krishna. The head of each math is charged in turn with the supervision of this temple during two years and the change of office is celebrated by a great biennial festival in January. The worship is more puritanical than in the temples of other sects, dancing girls for instance not being allowed, but great importance is attached to the practice of branding the body with the emblems of Vishnu. The sect, like the Śrî Vaishnayas, is divided

¹ Life and teachings of Śrś Madhvacharyar by Padmanabha Char 1909, p 159 Some have suspected a connection between Madhva's teaching and Manicheism, because he attached much importance to an obscure demon called Manimat (see Mahâbh iii 11,661) whom he considered incarnate in Sankara. It is conceivable that in his Persian studies he may have heard of Mani as an arch heretic and have identified him with this demon but this does not imply any connection between his own system (or Sankara's either) and Manicheism

² Brih. Ar Upan m 7 2

into two parties the Vyasakutas who are conservative and use Sanskut scriptures¹, and the Dasakutas who have more popular tendencies and use sacred books written in kanarese. Neither the Srt Vaishnavas nor the Madhyas are numerous in northern India.

¹ Among them are the Manimanjari the Madhravijaya and the Vayustoti, all attributed to a disciple of Madhra and his see.

CHAPTER XXX

LATER VISHNUISM IN NORTH INDIA

1

WITH the fifteenth century Hinduism enters on a new phase Sects arise which show the influence of Mohammedanism, sometimes to such an extent that it is hard to say whether they should be classed as Hindu or Moslim, and many teachers repudiate caste Also, whereas in the previous centuries the centre of religious feeling lay in the south, it now shifts to the north Hinduism had been buffeted but not senously menaced there the teachers of the south had not failed to recognize by their pilgimages the sanctity and authority of the northern seats of learning such works as the Gîtâ-govinda testify to the existence there of fervent Vishnuism But the country had been harassed by Moslim invasions and unsettled by the vicissitudes of transitory dynasties The Jams were powerful in Gujarat and Raiputâna In Bengal Sâktism and moribund Buddhism were not likely to engender new enthusiasms. But in a few centuries the movements inaugurated in the south increased in extension and strength Hindus and Mohammedans began to know more of each other, and in the sixteenth century under the tolerant rule of Akbar and his successors the new sects which had been growing were able to consolidate themselves

After Râmânuja and Madhva, the next great name in the history of Vishnuism, and indeed of Hinduism, is Râmânand His date is uncertain. He was posterior to Râmânuja, from whose sect he detached himself, and Kabir was his disciple,

¹ See Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Śaiwism, pp 66 ff, Grierson in Ind Ant 1893, p 226, and also in article Ramanandi in E R E, Farquhar, J R A S 1920, pp 185 ff Though Indian tradition seems to be unanimous in giving 1299 A D (4400 Kah) as the date of Râmânand's birth, all that we know about himself and his disciples makes it more probable that he was born nearly a century later. The history of ideas, too, becomes clear and intelligible if we suppose that Râmânand, Kabir and Nanak flourished about 1400, 1450 and 1500 respectively. One should be cautious in allowing such arguments to outweigh unanimous tradition, but tradition also assigns to Râmânand an improbably long life, thus indicating a feeling that he influenced the fifteenth century. Also the traditions as to the number of teachers between Râmânuja and Râmânand differ greatly

apparently his immediate disciple Some traditions give Prayaga as his birthplace others Melucote, but the north was the scene of his activity. He went on a lengthy pilgrimage and on his return was accused of having infringed the rules of his sect as to eating etc. and was excommunicated but received per mission from his Guru to found a new sect. He then settled in Benares and taught there Howrote no treatise but various hymns ascribed to him are still popular! Though he is not associated with any special dogma yet his teaching is of great importance as marking the origin of a popular religious movement charactenzed by the use of the vernacular languages instead of Sanskrit, and hy a laxity in caste rules onliminating in a readiness to admit as equals all worshippers of the true Gods This God is Rams rather than Krishpa. I have already pointed out that the worship of Rama as the Supreme Being (to be distinguished from respect for him as a hero) is not early in fact it appears to begin in the period which we are considering. Of the human forms of the deity Krishna was clearly the most popular but the school of Ramanuja while admitting both Rama and Krishna as incarnations preferred to adore God under less mythological and more philosophic names such as Narayana Ramanand who addressed himself to all classes and not merely to the Brahman aristocracy selected as the divino name Rama. It was more human than Nārāyana less sensuous than Krishna Every Hindn was familiar with the poetry which sings of Rama as a chivalrous and godlike hero But he was not, like Krishna the lover of the soul and when RAmsism was divested of mythology hy successive reformers it became a monotheism in which Hindu and Moslim elements could blend. Ramanand had twelve disciples among whom were Kabir a Raja called Pipå Rai Das a leather seller (and therefore an onteast according to Hindn ideas) as well as Brahmans The Ramats as his followers were called are a numerous and respectable body in north India, mmng the same sectaman mark as the Vadagalais from whom they do not differ materially although a Hindn might consider that their small regard for caste is a vital distinction. They often call themselves Avadhûtas that is those who have shaken off orldly residetions, and the more devent among them belong

One of them is found in the Granth of the Sikhs.

Râmânand's maxim was "Jâti pâti panhai nahîzni: Hari ku hhaj i so Hari kau hol." Let no one sak a man s oasto or seek. Whoever adores God, he is God a own.

to an order divided into four classes of which only the highest is reserved to Brahmans and the others are open to all castes. They own numerous and wealthy maths, but it is said that in some of these celibacy is not required and that monks and nums live openly as man and wife¹

An important aspect of the Râmat movement is its effect on the popular literature of Hindustan which in the fifteenth and even more in the sixteenth century blossoms into flowers of religious poetry Many of these writings possess real merit and are still a moral and spiritual force European scholars are only beginning to pay sufficient attention to this mighty flood of hymns which gushed forth in nearly all the vernaculars of India² and appealed directly to the people The phenomenon was not really new The psalms of the Buddhists and even the hymns of the Rig Veda were vernacular literature in their day. and in the south the songs of the Devaram and Nâlâviram are of some antiquity But in the north, though some Prakrit literature has been preserved. Sanskrit was long considered the only proper language for religion We can hardly doubt that vernacular hymns existed, but they did not receive the imprimatur of any teacher, and have not survived But about 1400 all this changes Though Râmanand was not much of a writer he gave his authority to the use of the vernacular he did not, like Râmânuja, either employ or enjoin Sanskrit and the meagre details which we have of his circle lead us to imagine him surrounded by men of homely speech

One current in this sea of poetry was Krishnaite and as such not directly connected with Râmânand Vidyâpati³ sang of the loves of Krishna and Râdhâ in the Maithili dialect and also in a form of Bengali. In the early fifteenth century (c. 1420) we have the poetess Mirâ Bai, wife of the Raja of Chitore who gained celebrity and domestic unhappiness by her passionate

¹ Bhattacharya, Hindu Castes and Sects, p 445

² Thus we have the poems of Kabir, Nanak and others contained in the Granth of the Sikhs and tending to Mohammedanism the hymns wherein Mirâ Bai, Vallabha and his disciples praised Krishna in Rajputana and Braj the poets inspired by Caitanya in Bengal Sankar Deb and Madhab Deb in Assam Namdev and Tirkaram in the Maratha country

³ See Beames, J. A. 1873, pp. 37 ff, and Grierson, Maithile Christomathy, pp. 34 ff, in extra No. to Journ. As Soc. Bengal, Part 1 for 1882 and Coomaraswamy's illustrated translation of Vidyâpati, 1915. It is said that a land grant proves he was a celebrated Pandit in 1400. The Bengali Vaishnava poet Chandî Dâs was his contemporary.

devotion to the form of Krisbna known as Rancbor According to one legend the image came to life in answer to ber fervent prayers and throwing his arms round her allowed her to meet a rapturous death in bis embrace. This is precisely the sentiment which we find later in the teaching of Vallabhacarva and Caltanya The hymns of the Bengali poets bave been collected in the Padakal pataru one of the chief sacred books of the Bengali Vaishnavas. From Vallabhacarya spring the group of poets who adorned Braj or the Muttra district Pre-emineut among them is the blind Sur Das who flourished about 1550 and wrote such sweet lyncs that Krishna himself came down and acted as his amanuensis. A somewhat later member of the same group is Nabha Das the author of the Bhakta Mala or Legends of the Saints, which is still one of the most popular religious works of uorthern India1 Almost coutemporary with Sur Das was the great Tula Das and Guersou's enumerates thirteen subsequent writers who composed Ramayanas in some dialect of Hindi. A little later came the Mahratta poet Tukaram (born about 1600) who gave utterance to Krishnnisin in another language

Tuls Das is too important to be merely mentioued as one in a list of poets. He is a great figure in Indian religion and the saying that his Râmâyana is more popular and more honoured in the North western Provinces than the Bible in Eugland is no exaggeratiou. He came into the world in 1532 but was exposed by his parents as born under an unlucky star and was adopted by a wandering Sâdhu. He married but his son died and after this loss he himself became a Sâdhu. He began to write his Râmâyana in Oudh at the age of forty three but moved to Benares where he completed it and died in 1623. Ou the Tulsi Ghat near the river Asi may still be seen the rooms which he occupied. They are at the top of a lofty building and command a beautiful view over the river.

See Grierson, Gleanings from the Bhakt well JR A 7 1909 and 1910.

Modern Verneumber Literature of Hinduston, 1889 p. 57

He also wrote so used other poems, among which may be mentioned the Ottavall and Kavittavall, dedicated respectively to the inlancy and the heroic deeds of Ram and the Vinaya Pattrika or patition, a volume of hymns and prayers.

⁸ Simil "ty Dinosh Chandra Sen (Lang ond Lil. of Respol, p. 170) mays that Krittvisa a translation of the Réméyapa "is the Bible of the people of the Gangetie Valley and it is for the most part the peasants who read it." Krittvikas was born in 1348 and roughly contemporary with Pémin and Thus the popular interest in Réme was used in different provinces at the same time.

His Râmâyana which is an original composition and not a translation of Vâlmîki's work is one of the great religious poems of the world and not unworthy to be set beside Paradise Lost The sustained majesty of diction and exuberance of ornament are accompanied by a spontaneity and vigour rare in any literature, especially in Asia The poet is not embellishing a laboured theme he goes on and on because his emotion bursts forth again and again, diversifying the same topic with an inexhaustible variety of style and metaphor As in some forest a stream flows among flowers and trees, but pours forth a flood of pure water uncoloured by the plants on its bank, so in the heart of Tulsi Das the love of God welled up in a mighty fountain ornamented by the mythology and legends with which he bedecked it, yet unaffected by them He founded no sect, which is one reason of his popularity, for nearly all sects can read him with edification, and he is primarily a poet not a theologian But though he allows himself a poet's licence to state great truths in various ways, he still enunciates a definite belief This is theism, connected with the name Râma in the north he is the author most esteemed by the Vishnuites, it would be a paradox to refuse him that designation, but his teaching is not so much that Vishnu is the Supreme Being who becomes incarnate in Râma, as that Râma, and more rarely Hari and Vâsudeva, are names of the All-God who manifests himself in human form Vishnu is mentioned as a celestral being in the company of Brahmâ¹, and so far as any god other than Râma receives attention it is Siva, not indeed as Râma's equal, but as a being at once very powerful and very devout, who acts as a mediator or guide "Without prayer to Siva no one can attain to the faith which I require²" "Râma is God, the totality of good, imperishable, invisible, uncreated, incomparable, void of all change, indivisible, whom the Veda declares that it cannot define³ "And yet, "He whom scripture and philosophy have sing and whom the saints love to contemplate, even the Lord God, he is the son of Dasarath, King of Kosala⁴" By the power of Râma exist Brahmâ, Vishnu and Siva, as also Mâyâ, the illusion which brings about the world His "delusive power is

¹ See Growse's Translation, vol 1. pp 60, 62

² Ib vol. 117 p 190, of vol 1. p 88 and vol. 111 pp 66-67 ³ Ib vol. 11. p 54

⁴ Ib vol. 1. p 77

a vast fig tree ats clustering fruit the countless multitude of worlds while all things animate and inanimate are like the insects that dwell inside and think their own particular fig the only one in existence!' God has made all things nain and pleasure sin and merit saints and sinners Brahmans and hutchers passion and asceticism It is the Veda that distinguishes good and evil among them? The love of God and faith are the only road to happiness The worship of Hari is real and ali the world is a dream2 Tulsi Das often uses the language of the Advaita philosophy and even calls God the annihilator of duality but though he admits the possibility of absorption and identification with the deit; he holds that the double relation of a loving God and a loving soul constitutes greater hiss saint was not absorbed into the divinity for this reason that be had already received the gift of faith. And in a similar spirit he says Let those preach in their wisdem who contemplate Thee as the supreme spirit the uncreate inseparable from the universe recognizable only by inference and beyond the under standing but we O Lord will ever hymn the glones of thy incarnation Like most Hindus he is little disposed to enquire what is the purpose of creation but he comes very near to saying that God has evolved the world by the power of Maya because the bliss which God and his beloved feel is greater than the bluss of impersonal undifferentiated divinity. It will be seen that Tulsi Das is thoroughly Hindn neither his fondamental ideas nor his mythological embellishments owe anything to Islam or Christianity He accepts unreservedly such principles as Maya transmigration Karma and release But his sentiments more than those of any other Indian writer bear a striking resemblance to the New Testament Though he helds that the whole world is of God, he none the less bids men shun evil and choose the good and the singular purity of his thoughts and style contrasts strengly with other Vishnuite works He

does not conceive of the leve which may exist between the soni

and God as a ferm of sexual passion

Growse aptly compares St Paul, "I had not known evil but by the law Ib. vol. II. p. 223.

¹ Growne Le. vol. II. p. 200 cl. p. 204 Maya who sets the whole world danct g and whore actions no one can understand is herself set d pring with all her troope, like an actress on the stage, by the play of the Lord's eyebrows. Cf. too, for the infinity of workle, pp. 210 211

2

The beginning of the sixteenth century was a time of religious upheaval in India for it witnessed the careers not only of Vallabhâcârya and Caitanya, but also of Nânak, the founder of the Sikhs. In the west it was the epoch of Luther and as in Europe so in India no great religious movement has taken place since that time. The sects then founded have swollen into extravagance and been reformed other sects have arisen from a mixture of Hinduism with Moslem and Christian elements, but no new and original current of thought or devotion has been started.

Though the two great sects associated with the names of Caitanya and Vallabhâcârya have different geographical spheres and also present some differences in doctrinal details, both are emotional and even erotic and both adore Krishna as a child or young man Their almost simultaneous appearance in eastern and western India and their rapid growth show that they represent an unusually potent current of ideas and sentiments But the worship of Krishna was, as we have seen, nothing new in northern India. Even that relatively late phase in which the sports of the divine herdsman are made to typify the love of God for human souls is at least as early as the Gîtâ-govinda written about 1170. In the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, the history of Krishna worship is not clear¹, but it persisted and about 1400 found speech in Bengal and in Rajputâna

According to Vaishnava theologians the followers of Vallabhâcârya² are a section of the Rudra-sampradâya founded in the early part of the fifteenth century by Vishnusvâmi, an emigrant from southern India, who preached chiefly in Gujarat The doctrines of the sect are supposed to have been delivered by the Almighty to Siva from whom Vishnusvâmi was fifteenth in spiritual descent, and are known by the name of Suddhâdvarta or pure non-duality They teach that God has three attributes sac-cid-ânanda existence, consciousness and bliss In the human

 $^{^1}$ The Vishnuite sect called Nimâvat is said to have been exterminated by Jains (Grierson in $E\ R\ E$ sub v Bhakti mârga, p 545) This may point to persecution during this period

² For Vallabhâcârya and his sect, see especially Growse, Mathurâ a district memoir, 1874, History of the sect of the Mahârâjas in western India (anonymous), 1865 Also Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, pp 76-82 and Farquhar, Outlines of Relig Lit of India, pp 312-317

or animal soul hilss is suppressed and in matter consciousness is suppressed too But when the soul attains release it recovers hiss and becomes identical in nature with God. For practical purposes the Vallabhacaris may be regarded as a sect founded by Vallahha said to have been born in 1470. He was the son of a Telinga Brahman who had migrated with Vishnusvami to the north

Such was the pions precodity of Vallabha that at the age of twelve he had already discovered a new religion and started on a pilgrimage to preach it He was well received at the Court of Vijayanagar and was so successful in disputation that he was recognized as chief doctor of the Vaishnava school. He subsequently spent nine years in travelling twice round India and at Brindahan received a visit from Krishna in person who hade him promulgate his worship in the form of the divine child known as Bala Gopala Vallabha settled in Benares and is said to have composed a number of works which are still extant1 He gained further victories as a successful disputant and also married and became the father of two sons At the age of fifty two he took to the life of a Sannyasi but died forty two days afterwards

Though Vallahha died as an ascetlo his doctrines are ourrently known as the Pushti Marga the road of well being or comfert His philosophy was more decidedly monistic than is usual among Vishnultes and Indian monism has generally taught that as the woul and God are one in essence the soul should realize this identity and renounce the pleasures of the senses. But with Vallabhacarya it may be said that the vision which is generally directed godwards and forgets the flesh turned earthwards and forgot God for his teaching is that since the individual and the delty are one the body should be reverenced and indulged Pushti2 or well being is the special grace of God and the cloot are called Pusht; itva. They depend entirely on God s grace and are contrasted with Maryada jivas or those who submit to moral discipline. The highest felicity is

The principal of them are the Skidhanta Rahasya and the Bhagavata Tika Subodhini, a commentary on the Bhilpaysta Parapa. This is a short poem of only seventeen lines printed in Growse s Mathurd, p. 150. It professes to be a revelation from the delity to the effect that sin can be done away with by union with Brahma (Brahma-sambandha karanāt). Other authoritative works of the seet are the Suddhadvalta martando, Sak länäryama sengraha and Pramoyara: årpava, all edited in the Chowkhamba Sanakrit series.

CL the use of the word poshapam in the Bhagayata Purana IL x.

not mukti or liberation but the eternal service of Krishna and eternal participation in his sports

These doctrines have led to deplorable results, but so strong is the Indian instinct towards self-denial and asceticism that it is the priests rather than the worshippers who profit by this permission to indulge the body, and the chief feature of the sect is the extravagant respect paid to the descendants of Vallabhâcârya They are known as Maharajas or Great Kings and their followers, especially women, dedicate to them tan, dhan, man body, purse and spirit, for it is a condition of the road of well-being that before the devotee enjoys anything himself he must dedicate it to the deity and the Maharaj represents the deity The daily prayer of the sect is "Om Krishna is my refuge I who suffer the infinite pain and torment of enduring for a thousand years separation from Krishna, consecrate to Krishna my body, senses, life, heart and faculties, my wife, house, family, property and my own self I am thy slave, O Krishna1" This formula is recited to the Maharaj with peculiar solemnity by each male as he comes of age and is admitted as a full member of the sect The words in which this dedication of self and family is made are not in themselves open to criticism and a parallel may be found in Christian hyinns But the literature of the Vallabhis unequivocally states that the Guru is the same as the deity2 and there can be little doubt that even now the Maharajas are adored by their followers, especially by the women, as representatives of Krishna in his character of the lover of the Gopis and that the worship is often licentious³ Many Hindus denounce the sect and in 1862 one of the Maharajas brought an action for libel in the supreme court of Bombay on

² For instance "Whoever holds his Guru and Krishna to be distinct and different shall be born again as a bird," Harirayaji 32 Quoted in History of the Sect of the Mahárdjas, p 82

¹ Growse, Mathurâ, p 157, says this formula is based on the Nâradapancarâtra It is called Samarpana, dedication, or Brahma-sambandha, connecting oneself with the Supreme Being

In the ordinary ceremonial the Maharal stands beside the image of Krishna and acknowledges the worship offered. Sometimes he is swung in a swing with or without the image. The hyuns sung on these occasions are frequently immoral Even more licentious are the meetings or dances known as Ras Mandali and Ras Lilâ. A meal of hot food seasoned with aphrodisiacs is also said to be provided in the temples. The water in which the Maharal's linen or feet have been washed is sold for a high price and actually drunk by devotees.

occount of the scrious charges of immorality brought against bim in the native press. The thal become a cause cellbre. Judg ment was delivered against the Maharaj the Judge declaring the charges to be fully substantiated. Yet in spite of these proceedings the sect still flourishes apparently unchanged in doctrine and practice and has a large following among the mercantile castes of western India. The Rådhå Vollabhis an analogous sect founded by Harivomsa in the sixteenth century give the pre-eminence to Rådhå the wife of Krishna, and in their secret ceremonies are said to dress as women. The worship of Rådhå is a late phase of Vishnuism and is not known even to the Bhågavate Purana.

Vallabhism owes much of its success to the family of the founder. They had evidently a strong dynastic sentiment as well as a love of missionary conquest-o powerful combination Vaijabhacarya left behind him eighty four principal disciples whose lives are recorded in the work ealled the Stories of the Eighty four Vaishnaras and his authority descended to his son Vithalnath Like his fother Vithalnath was active as a prose iytizer and migrim and propagated his doctrines extensively in many parts of western India such as Cutch Maiwa and Bijapur His converts came chiefly from the mercantile classes but also included some Brahmans and Mussulmans. Ho is said to have obolished caste distinctions but the sect has not preserved this feature. In his later years he resided at Muttra or the noigh bouring town of Gokul, whence he is known as Gokul Gosainii This title of Gosaln which is still borne by his male descendants is derived from Krishna's name Gosvamin the lord of cattle? He had seven sons in each of whom krahna is said to have been incarnate for five years. They exercised spiritual authority in separate districts—as we might say in different dioceses but the fourth son Gokulnotbli and his descendants closmed and still claim a special pre-eminence. The family is of present represented by about a hundred males who are accepted as

¹ Striedly appeling the RAdh & allabits are not an offshoot of Vallabia seahool but of the Nimitvata or of the Madhva-sampradays. The theory underlying their strange practices at na to be that Kṛābṇa is the only male and that all mankind should cultivate sentiments of female love for him. See Macnicol, Indica Theism, p. 134.

⁴ But other explanations are current such as Lord of the senses or Lord of the Vedas.

incarnations and receive the title of Maharaja About twenty reside at Gokul¹ or near Muttra there are a few in Bombay and in all the great cities of western India, but the Maharaj of Nath Dwara in Rajputâna is esteemed the chief This place is not an ancient seat of Krishna worship, but during the persecution of Aurungzeb a peculiarly holy image was brought thither from Muttra and placed in the shrine where it still remains

A protest against the immorality of the Vallabhi sect was made by Swâmınârâvana, a Brahman who was born in the district of Lucknow about 17802 He settled in Ahmedabad and gained so large a following that the authorities became alarmed and imprisoned him But his popularity only increased he became the centre of a great religious movement hymns descriptive of his virtues and sufferings were sung by his followers and when he was released he found himself at the head of a hand which was almost an army He erected a temple in the village of Wartal in Baroda, which he made the centre of his sect, and recruited followers by means of periodical tours throughout Gujarat His doctrines are embodied in an anthology called the Sikshâpatrî consisting of 212 precepts, some borrowed from accepted Hindu scriptures and some original and in a catechism called Vacanâmritam His teaching was summed up in the phrase "Devotion to Krishna with observance of duty and purity of life" and in practice took the form of a laudable polemic against the licentiousness of the Vallabhis As in most of the purer sects of Vishnuism, Krishna is regarded merely as a name of the Supreme Deity Thus the Sikshâpatrî says "Nârâyana and Siva should be equally recognized as parts of one and the same supreme spirit, since both have been declared in the Vedas to be forms of Brahma On no account let it be thought that difference in form or name makes any difference in the identity of the deity" The followers of Swâminârâyana still number about 200,000 in western India and are divided into the laity and a body of celibate clergy. I have visited their religious establishments in Ahmedabad It consists of a temple with a large and well-kept monastery in which are housed about 300 monks who wear costumes of reddish grey Except in Assam I have not seen in India any parallel to this monastery

¹ See Growse, Mathurâ, p 153 I can entirely confirm what he says This mean, inartistic, dirty place certainly suggests moral depravity

² His real name was Sahajananda

either in size or discipline. It is provided with a library and hospital. In the temple are images of Nara and Narayana (explained as Krishna and Arjuna), Krishna and Radha Ganesa and Hanuman.

3

The sect founded by Caltanya is connected with eastern India as the Vallabhis are with the west. Bengal is perhaps the native land of the worship of krishna as the god of leve. It was there that Jayadeva flourished in the last days of the Sena dynasty and the lyrical poet Chandidas at the end of the feurteenth century. About the same time the still greater poet Vidyapati was singing in Durbhanga. For these writers as for Caitanya, religion is the bond of leve which unites the soul and God as typified by the passion? that drew together Radha and krishna. The idea that God loves and seeks out human souls is familiar to Christianity and receives very emotional expression in well known bymns but the bold humanity of these Indian lynes seems to Europeans unsuitable. I will let a distinguished Indian apologize for it in his own words.

The paradox that has to be understood is that Krishna means God. Yet he is represented as a youth standing at a gate trying to waylay the beloved maiden attempting to entrap the soil as it were, into a clandestine meeting. This which is so inconceivable to a purely modern mind presents no difficulty at all to the Vaishnava devotee. To him God is the lover himself the sweet flowers, the fresh grass the gay sound heard in the woods are direct messages and tokens of love to his soul bringing to his mind at every instant that loving God whom he pictures as ever anxious to win the human heart?

Caitanya was born at Nadia in 1485 and came under the influence of the Madhva soot In youth he was a producy of

 $^{^1}$ Caran Das (1703–178°) founded a somewhat similar sect which professed to abolish blokstry and laid great stress on ethics. See Grierson a article Caran Das in E.R.E

But Vishanite writers disti guish Lifea desire and press love, just as feet and dyders are distinguished in Greek. See Dinesh Chandra Sen, Le. p. 485.

⁵ Dinesh Chandra Sen, History of Bragait Lenguage and Literature, pp. 134-5, ⁴ For Ceitanya so Dinesh Chandra Sen, History of Bengai Language and Lit, hap, ⁷ and Jai h Sarkar Ohentanya F Piti, amoust and inchings from the Castenge-Cariofarnia of Krishya Das (1590) founded on the sarlier Caltanya Cariora of Brindavan. Several of Caitanya a Chickera ware also volumi on a writers.

learning¹, but at the age of about seventeen while on a pilgrimage to Gaya began to display that emotional and even hysterical religious feeling which marked all his teaching. He swooned at the mention of Krishna's name and passed his time in dancing and singing hymns. At twenty-five he became a Sannyâsî, and at the request of his mother, who did not wish him to wander too far, settled in Puri near the temple of Jagannath. Here he spent the rest of his life in preaching, worship and ecstatic meditation, but found time to make a tour in southern India and another to Brindaban and Benares. He appears to have left the management of his sect largely to his disciples, Advaita, Nityânanda and Haridas, and to have written nothing himself. But he evidently possessed a gift of religious magnetism and exercised an extraordinary influence on those who heard him preach or sing. He died or disappeared before the age of fifty but apparently none of the stories about his end ment credence

Although the teaching of Caitanya is not so objectionable morally as the doctrines of the Vallabhis, it follows the same line of making religion easy and emotional and it is not difficult to understand how his preaching, set forth with the eloquence which he possessed, won converts from the lower classes by thousands He laid no stress on asceticism, approved of mailiage and rejected all difficult rites and ceremonies. The form of worship which he specially enjoined was the singing of Kîrtans or hymns consisting chiefly in a repetition of the divine names accompanied by music and dancing Swaying the body and repetition of the same formula or hymn are features of emotional religion found in the most diverse regions, for instance among the Rufais or Howling Dervishes, at Welsh revival meetings and in negro churches in the Southern States. It is therefore unnecessary to seek any special explanation in India but perhaps there is some connection between the religious ecstasies of Vaishnavas and Deivishes Within Caitanya's sect, caste was not observed He is said to have admitted many Moslims to membership and to have regarded all worshippers of Krishna as equal Though caste has grown up again, yet the old regulation is still in force inside the temple of Jagannath at Puri Within the sacred enclosure all are treated as of one caste and eat the

¹ He married the daughter of a certain Vallabha who apparently was not the founder of the Sect, as is often stated.

same sacred food. In Caltanya's words the mercy of God

regards neither tribe nor family

His theology¹ shows little originality. The desty is called Bhagavan or more frequently Hari. His majesty and omnipotence are personified as Nārāyana his beauty and eestasy as Krishna. The material world is defined as bheddbhedaprakdsa a manifestation of the deity as separate and yet not separate from him and the soul is mbhinnāmsa or a detached portion of him. Some souls are in bondage to Prakriti or Māyā others through faith and love attain deliverance. Reason is useless in religious matters, but ruce or spiritual feeling has a quick intuition of the divine.

Salvation is obtained by Bhakti faith or devotion which embraces and supersedes all other duties. This devotion means absolute self surrender to the deity and love for him which asks for no return but is its own reward. He who expects remuneration for his love acta as a trader. In this devotion there are five degrees (a) santi culm meditation (b) dasya servitude (c) sakhya friendship (d) vatsalya love like that of a child for its parent (e) madhurya love like that of a woman for a lover All these sentiments are found in God and this combined cestasy is an eternal principle identified with Hari himself just as in the language of the Gospels. God is love. Though Caitanya makes love the crown and culmination of religion the worship of his followers is not licentious, and it is held that the right frame of mind is best attained by the recitation of Arishna's names especially Hari

The earlier centro of Caltanya's sect was his hirthplace Nadla, but both during his life and afterwards his disciples frequented Brindaban and sought out the old sacred sites which were at that time neglected. At the beginning of the nineteenth century I ala Baba a wealthy Bengali merchant became a mendicant and visited Muttra. Though he had renounced the world, he still retained his husiness instincts and bought up the villages which contained the most celebrated shrines and were most frequented by pilgrims. The result was a most profitable

The theology of the sect may be studied in Rakedeva's commentary on the Vediants sûtrus and his Prameys Ratnávali, both contained in vol v of the Sacret Books of the Rindse. It would appear that the sect regards itself as a continuation of the Brahm —mpradáya but its tenets have more .a.mblanes to those of Vallabha.

speculation and the establishment of Caitanya's Church in the district of Braj, which thus became the holy land of both the great Krishnaite sects. The followers of Caitanya at the present day are said to be divided into Gosains, or ecclesiastics, who are the descendants of the founder's original disciples, the Vrikats or celibates, and the laity. Besides the celibates there are several semi-monastic orders who adopt the dress of monks but many. They have numerous maths at Nadia and elsewhere. Take the Vallabhis, this sect deifies its leaders. Caitanya, Nityânanda and Advaita are called the three masters (Prabhû) and believed to be a joint incarnation of Krishna, though according to some only the first two shared the divine essence. Six of Caitanya's disciples known as the six Gosains are also greatly venerated and even ordinary religious teachers still receive an almost idolatrous respect.

Though Caitanya was not a writer himself he exercised a great influence on the literature of Bengal. In the opinion of so competent a judge as Dinesh Chandra Sen, Bengali was raised to the status of a literary language by the Vishnuite hymn-writers just as Pali was by the Buddhists. Such hymns were written before the time of Caitanya but after him they became extremely numerous and their tone and style are said to change. The ecstasies and visions of which they tell are those described in his biographies and this emotional poetry has profoundly influenced all classes in Bengal. But there was and still is a considerable hostility between the Sâktas and Vishnuites.

4

A form of Vishnuism, possessing a special local flavour, is connected with the Maratha country and with the names of Nâmdev, Tukârâm² and Râmdâs, the spiritual preceptor of Sivaji The centre of this worship is the town of Pandharpur and I have not found it described as a branch of any of the four Vishnuite Churches but the facts that Nâmdev wrote in Hindi as well as in Marathi, that many of his hymns are included in the Granth, and that his sentiments show affinities to the

¹ No less than 159 padakartâs or religious poets are enumerated by Dinesh Chandra Sen Several collections of these poems have been published of which the principal is called Padakalpataru

² See Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, pp 87-99, and Nicol, Psalms of Maratha Saints which gives a bibliography For Nâmdev see also Macauliffe, The Sikh Religion, vol vi pp 17-76 For Ramdas see Rawlinson, Sivaji the Maratha, pp 116 ff

257

teaching of Nanak suggest that he belonged to the school of Ramanand. There is however a difficulty about his date Native tradition gives 1270 as the year of his hirth but the language of his poems both in Marathi and Hindi is said to be too modern for this period and to indicate that he lived about 14001 when he might easily have felt the influence of Ramanand, for he travelled in the north

Most of his poetry however has for its centre the temple of Pandharpur where was worshipped a deity called Vitthala Vittoba or Pandurang. It is said that the first two names are dialectic variations of Vishau but that Pandurang is an epithet of Siva2 There is no doubt that the delty of Pandharpur has for many centuries been identified with Krishna who as in Bengal, is god the lover of the soul But the hymns of the Marathas are less sensuous and Krishna is coupled not with his mistress Radha but with his wife Rukmini In fact Rukmini pati or husband of Rukmint is one of his commonest titles Namdev's opinions varied at different times and perhaps in different moods like most religious poets he cannot be judged by logic or theology Sometimes he inveigh a against idolatryunderstood as an attempt to limit God to an image—hut in other verses he sings the praises of Pandurang the local derty as the lord and creator of all His great message is that Godby whatever name he is called-is overywhere and accessible to all accessible without ceremonial or philosophy fasts and austerities are not needful nor need you go on pilgrim age Be watchful in your heart and always sing the name of Harr. Yoga, sacrifices and renunciation are not needful Love the feet of Hari Nelther need you contemplate the absoluto Hold fast to the love of Harr s name Says Nama be steadfast in singing the name and then Harr will appear to you?

³ Bhandarkar Le p. 92. An earlier poet of this country was Jifancivars who whose paraphrase of the Bhagarad-gitt in 1250. His writings are said to be the first great landmark in Harsthi Hierarchia.

Quoted by Bhandarkar p. 90. The subsequent quotations are from the same way to but I have sometimes slightly modified them and compared them with the original, though I have no pretention to be a Harathi scholar.

⁸ There is no new y hostility between the worship of Siva and of Vishyu. At Pandharpur playins visit first a tempts of Siva and then the principal shrine. This latter like the tempts of Jays so that a Part, is expected of having been a Baddhist shrine. It is caffed Vishya, the principal festival is in the Buddhist Lent and case is not obes of within its preciouts.

Tukârâm is better known than Nâmdev and his poetry which was part of the intellectual awakening that accompanied the rise of the Maratha power is still a living force wherever Marathi is spoken. He lived from 1607 to 1649 and was born in a family of merchants near Poona. But he was too generous to succeed in trade and a famine, in which one of his two wives died, brought him to poverty. Thenceforth he devoted himself to praying and preaching. He developed a great aptitude for composing rhyming songs in irregular metre¹, and like Caitanya he held services consisting of discourses interspersed with such songs, prepared or extempore. In spite of persecution by the Brahmans, these meetings became very popular and were even attended by the great Sivaji

His creed is the same as that of Nâmdev and finds expression in verses such as these "This thy nature is beyond the grasp of mind or words, and therefore I have made love a measure I measure the Endless by the measure of love he is not to be truly measured otherwise Thou art not to be found by Yoga, sacrifice, fasting, bodily exertions or knowledge O Keśava, accept the service which we render"

But if he had no use for asceticism he also feared the passions "The Endless is beyond, between him and me are the lofty mountains of desire and anger. I cannot ascend them and find no pass." In poems which are apparently later, his tone is more peaceful. He speaks much of the death of self, of purity of heart, and of self-dedication to God. "Dedicate all you do to God and have done with it. Tukâ says, do not ask me again and again nothing else is to be taught but this."

Maratha critics have discussed whether Tukârâm followed the monistic philosophy of Sankara or not and it must be confessed that his utterances are contradictory. But the gist of the matter is that he disliked not so much monism as philosophy. Hence he says "For me there is no use in the Advaita. Sweet to me is the service of thy feet. The relation between God and his devotee is a source of high joy. Make me feel this, keeping me distinct from thee." But he can also say almost in the language of the Upanishads. "When salt is dissolved in water, what remains distinct? I have thus become one in joy with thee and have lost myself in thee. When fire and camphor are

¹ Called Abhangs.

brought together, is there any black remnant? Tuka says thou and I were one light

5

There are interesting Vishnuite secta in Assam1 Until the sixteenth century Hindulsm was represented in those regions by Saktism which was strong among the upper classes though the mass of the people still adhered to their old tribal worships The first apostle of Vishnulam was Sankar Deb in the exteenth century. He preached first in the Aliem kingdom but was driven out by the opposition of Saktist Brahmans and found a refuge at Barpeta. He appears to have inculcated the worship of Krishna as the sole divine being and to have denouoced idolatry sacrifices and caste. These views were held even more strictly by his successor Madhab Deb a writer of reputo whose works such as the Namuhosha and Ratnavall are regarded as scripture by his followers. Though the Brahmans of Assam were opposed to the introduction of Vishnulsm and a section of them con tinued to instigate persecutions for two centuries or more yet when it became clear that the new teaching had a great popular following another section were anxions that it should not pass out of sacerdotal control and organized it as a legitimate branch of Hindulam While fully recognizing the deetrine of justifica tion by faith they also made provision for due respect to caste and Brahmanic authority

According to the last census of India³ the common view that Sankar Deb drew his inspiration from Caltanya meets with enticism in Assam. His blographics say that he lived 120 years and died in 1500. It has been generally assumed that his ago has been exaggerated but that the date of his death is correct. If it can be proved as contended that he was preaching in 1505 there would be no difficulty in admitting that he was independent of Caltanya and belonged to an earlier phase of the Vishnulte movement which produced the activity of Vallahha and the poetry of Vidyāpati. It is a further argument for this independence that he taught the worship of Vishnu only and not of Rādhā and discountenanced the use of images. On the other hand it is stated that he sejourned in Bengal and it

See Ellot, Hindulem in F m J.R.A.B 1010 pp. 1168-1186.
 Census of India 1911 Assum p. 41

appears that soon after his death his connection with the teaching of Caitanya was recognized in Assam

At present there are three sects in Assam Firstly, the Mahâpurushias, who follow more or less faithfully the doctrines of Sankar and Madhab They admit Sûdras as religious teachers and abbots, and lav little stress on caste while not entirely rejecting it They abstain almost entirely from the use of images in worship, the only exception being that a small figure of Krishna in the form of Vaikuntha Nâtha is found in their temples It is not the principal object of veneration but stands to the left of a throne on which lies a copy of the Nâmghosha¹ This, together with the foot-prints of Sankar and Madhab, receives the homage of the faithful The chief centre of the Mahapurushias is Barpeta, but they have also monasteries on the Majuli Island and elsewhere Secondly, the Bamunia monasteries, with a large lay following, represent a brahmanized form of the Mahapurushia faith. This movement began in the life-time of Madhab Many of his Brahman disciples seceded from him and founded separate communities which insisted on the observance of caste (especially on the necessity of religious teachers being Brahmans) but tolerated image-worship and the use of some kinds of flesh as food Though this sect was persecuted by the Ahom kings2, they were strong enough to maintain themselves A compromise was effected in the reign of Rudra Singh (1696-1714), by which their abbots were shown all honour but were assigned the Majuli Island in the upper Brahmaputra as their chief, if not only, residence This island is still studded with numerous Sattras or monasteries, the largest of which contain three or four hundred monks, known as Bhakats (Bhaktas) They take no vows and wear no special costume but are obliged to be celibate while they remain in the sattra The Mahâpurushia and Bamunia monasteries are of similar appearance, and in externals (though not in doctrine) seem to have been influenced by the Lamaism of the neighbouring regions of Sikhim and Tibet The temples are long, low, wooden buildings, covered by roofs of corrugated iron or thatched, and

¹ Some authorities state that the sacred book thus venerated is the Bhagavadgitâ, but at Kamalabari I made careful enquiries and was assured it was the Nâmghosha

² Especially Gadadhar Singh, 1681-96

containing inside a nave with two rows of wooden pillars which leads to a sinctuary divided from it by a screen. The third sect are the Moamarias of pointical rather than religious importance. They represent a democratia element recruited from non Illindia tribes which seceded even in the life time of Sankar Deb. They appear to reject nearly all Hindu observances and ta worship aboriginal deities as well as Krishna. Little is known of their religious teaching if indeed they have anything worthy of the name, but in the latter half of the eighteenth century they distracted the kingdam of Assam with a series of rebellions which were suppressed with atrocious cruelty.

Castanya is said to have admitted some Mohammedens as members of his sect. The precedent has not been folianed among most branches of his later adherents but a currous half secret rect found throughout Bengal in considerable numbers and called Kartabhajas1 appears to represent an eccentric development of his teaching in combination with Moliammerian cie Both Moslims and Hindus belong to this seet. They abserve the ordinary social customs of the class to which they belong but it is said that those who are nominal Moslims neither circumcize themselves nor frequent mosques. The founder called Ram Smaran Ial was born in the Nadia district about 1700 and his cluef doctrine is said to have been that there is only one God who is incornate in the Head of the sect or harta! For the first few generations the headship was invested in the founder and his descendants but dissensions occurred and there is naw no one head, the faithful can select any male member of the faunder's family as the object of their devation. The Karta claims to be the owner of every human body and is said to exact rent for the soul a tenancy thereof. Na distinction of caste or creed is recognized and hardly any ceremonies are prescribed but meat and wine are farbidden the mantra of the sect is to be repeated five times a day and Friday is held sacred These observances seem an imitation of Mahammedanism³

¹ See Censu of India 1904 Bengal pp. 183-4 and Bhattacharya II ad Custes and Sacts, pp. 483-488.

Karta, literally doer is the name given to the executive head of a joint family in Bengal. The sect pref r to call themselves libabajana or Bha, awanis.

Another mixed sect is that of the Dhamwin the Panna state of B indekhand, founded by one Prannath in the reign of Aurungr b. Their doctrine is a combination of Hindulum and Islam tending towards Krishnaiam. See Russell, Tribes and Caste of Cashel Propages p. 217

CHAPTER XXXI

AMALGAMATION OF HINDUISM AND ISLAM KABIR AND THE SIKHS

1

THE Kartabhajas mentioned at the end of the last chapter show a mixture of Hindiism and Mohammedanism, and the mixture1 is found in other sects some of which are of considerable importance A group of these sects, including the Sikhs and followers of Kabır, arose in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries Their origin can be traced to Râmânand but they cannot be called Vaishnavas and they are clearly distinguished from all the religious bodies that we have hitherto passed in review The tone of their waitings is more restrained and severe the worshipper approaches the deity as a servant rather than a lover caste is rejected as useless. Hindu mythology is eschewed or used sparingly Yet in spite of these differences the essential doctrines of Tulsi Das, Kabir and Nânak show a great resemblance They all believe in one deity whom they call by various names, but this deity, though personal, remains of the Indian not of the Semitic type He somehow brings the world of transmigration into being by his power of illusion, and the business of the soul is to free itself from the illusion and return to him Almost all these teachers, whether orthodox or heterodox, had a singular facility for composing hymns, often of high literary merit, and it is in these emotional utterances, rather than in dogmatic treatises, that they addressed themselves to the peoples of northern India

The earliest of these mixed sects is that founded by Kabir² He appears to have been a Mohammedan weaver by birth,

¹ It is exemplified by the curious word an had limitless, being the Indian negative prefix added to the arabic word had used in the Sikh Granth and by Caran Das as a name of God

² See especially G H Westcott, Kabir and the Kabir Panth, and Macauliffe, Silh Religion, vol vi pp 122-316 Also Wilson, Essays on the religion of the Hindus, vol. i pp 68-98 Garcin de Tassy, Histoire de la Littérature Hindoue, ii. pp 120-134 Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, pp 67-73

though tradition is not unanimous on this point! It is admitted hawever that he was brought up among Moslims at Benares but became a disciple of Ramanand. This suggests that he lived early in the fifteenth century Another tradition says that ha was summaned before Sikander Lodl (1489-1517) but tha details of his life are ovidently legendary. We only know that he was married and had a son that ha taught in narthern and perhaps central India and died at Maghar in the district of Gorakhpur There is significance hawever in the legend which relates that after his decease Hindus and Mahammedans disnuted as to whether his body should be burned or buried. But when they raised the cloth which covered the corpse they found underneath it only a heap of flowers. So the Hindus took part and burnt them at Benares and the Moslims buried the rest at Maghar His grave there is still in Moshin keeping

In teaching Kabir stands midway between the two religions but leaning to the sida of Hindinsm. It is clear that this Hindu hias became stronger in his followers, but it is not easy to separate his own teaching from subsequent embellishments for the numerous hymns and sayings attributed to him are collected in compilations made after his death such as the Bijak and tha Adigranth of the Sikhs. In hymns which sound authentic he puts Hindus and Mosiims on the same footing

Kabir is a child of Ram and Allah accepteth all Gurus and Pirs O God whether Allah or Ram I live by thy name

Make the mind the Lands the body its enclosing temple Conscience its prime teacher

Then O priest call men to pray to that mosque Which hath five gates.

The Hindus and Mussulmans have the same Lord.

But the farmairties of both creeds are impartislly condemned They are good riders who keep aloof from the Veda and horan Caste circumcision and idolatry are reprobated The Hindu deitles and their Incarnations are all dead. God was not

The name K. bir seems to me decisive.

Dadu who died about 1603 is said to have been fifth in spiritual descent from

From a hymn in which the spiritual life is represented as a ride. Macauliffe VL p. 156.

in any of them¹ Ram, it would seem, should be understood not as Râmacandra but as a name of God

Yet the general outlook is Hindu rather than Mohammedan God is the magician who brings about this illusory world in which the soul wanders² "I was in immobile and mobile creatures, in worms and in moths, I passed through many various births. But when I assumed a human body, I was a Yogi, a Yati, a penitent, a Brahmacâri sometimes an Emperor and sometimes a beggar." Unlike the Sikhs, Kabir teaches the sanctity of life, even of plants. "Thou cuttest leaves, O flower girl in every leaf there is life." Release, as for all Hindus, consists in escaping from the round of births and deaths. Of this he speaks almost in the language of the Buddha³

"Though I have assumed many shapes, this is my last
The strings and wires of the musical instrument are all worn out
I am now in the power of God's name
I shall not again have to dance to the tune of birth and death

Nor shall my heart accompany on the drum "

This deliverance is accomplished by the union or identification of the soul with God

"Remove the difference between thyself and God and thou shalt be united with him

Him whom I sought without me, now I find within me Know God by knowing him thou shalt become as he

When the soul and God are blended no one can distinguish them4"

But if he sometimes writes like Sankara, he also has the note of the Psalms and Gospels He has the sense of sin he thinks of God in vivid personal metaphors, as a lord, a bridegroom, a parent, both father and mother

"Save me, O God, though I have offended thee I forgot him who made me and did cleave unto strangers"

- ¹ But Harı is sometimes used by Kabir, especially in the hymns incorporated in the Granth, as a name of God
- ² Though Kabir writes as a poet rather than as a philosopher he evidently leaned to the doctrine of illusion (vivartavåda) rather than to the doctrine of manifestation or development (parināmavåda) He regards Mâyâ as something evil, a trick, a thief, a force which leads men captive, but which disappears with the knowledge of God "The illusion vanished when I recognized him" (xxxix.)
 - 3 He even uses the word nirvâna
- 4 From Kabir's acrostic Macauliffe, vi pp 186 and 188 It is possible that this is a later composition

and Amar Mul is little more than a loose Vedantism, somewhat reminiscent of Suffism¹

The teaching of Kabir is known as the Kabirpanth At present there are both Hindus and Mohammedans among his followers and both have monasteries at Maghar where he is buried. The sect numbers in all about a million². It is said that the two divisions have little in common except veneration of Kabir and do not intermix, but they both observe the practice of partaking of sacred meals, holy water³, and consecrated betel nut. The Hindu section is again divided into two branches known as Father (Bap) and Mother (Mai)

Though there is not much that is original in the doctrines of Kabir, he is a considerable figure in Hindi literature and may justly be called epoch-making as marking the first fusion of Hinduism and Islam which culminates and attains political importance in the Sikhs Other offshoots of his teaching are the Satnâmîs, Râdhâ-swâmıs and Dâdupanthıs The first were founded or reorganized in 1750 by a certain Jag-jivan-das They do not observe caste and in theory adore only the True Name of God but in practice admit ordinary Hindu worship The Râdhâ-swâmis, founded in 1861, profess a combination of the Kabirpanth with Christian ideas The Dâdupanthis show the influence of the military spirit of Islam They were founded by Dâdu, a cotton weaver of Ahmedabad who flourished in Akbar's reign and died about 1603 He insisted on the equality of mankind, vegetarianism, abstinence from alcohol and strict celibacy Hence the sect is recruited by adopting boys, most of whom are trained as soldiers In such conditions the Dâdupanthis cannot increase greatly but they number about nine thousand and are found chiefly in the state of Jaipur, especially in the town of Narama4

² The Census of 1901 gives 843,171 but there is reason to think the real numbers are larger

^{1 &}quot;The Âtmâ mingles with Paramâtmâ, as the rivers flow into the ocean Only in this way can Paramâtmâ be found. The Âtmâ without Śabda is blind and cannot find the path He who sees Âtmâ-Râm is present everywhere. All he sees is like himself There is nought except Brahmâ. I am he, I am the true Kabir." Westcott, p. 168

 $^{^3}$ Consecrated by washing in it wooden sandals supposed to represent the feet of Kabir It is stated that they believe they eat the body of Kabir at their sacred meal which perhaps points to Christian influence See Russell, $l\,c\,$ pp 239–240

⁴ See Russell, Tribes and Castes of Central Provinces, p 217, where it is said that some of them are householders

9

The Sikh religion is of special interest since it has created not only a political society but also customs so distinctive that those who profess it rank in common esteem as n separate race. The founder Nanak lived from 1469 to 1-38 and was born near Lahore. He was a lindu by birth but came under Voltammedan influence and conceived the idea of reconciling the two faiths. He was attracted by the doctrines of Kabir and did not at first claim to teach a new religion. He wished to unite Hindus and No links and described himself simply as Curn or teacher and his adherents as Sikhs or discusses.

He spent the greater part of his life wandering about In his and is said to have reached Mecca. A beautiful story relates that he fell asleen with his feet turned towards the knaba A mollah kicked him and a ked how he dared to turn his feet and not his head towards Cod. But he answered feet in n direction where Cod is not ... He was attended on his wanderings by Mardana a lute player who accompanied the lisms which he never failed to compose when a thought or adventure occurred to him. These compositions are similar to those of hable but seem to me of inferior ment. They are diffuse and inordinately long the Japh for Instance which every Sikh ought to recite as his daily prayer fills not le « than twenty octavo pages. Let beautiful and incisive passages are not wanting. When at the temple of Jagannath he was asked to take part in the evening worship at which lights were waved before the Lod while flowers and incense were presented on golden salvers studded with px ils. But he burst out into song2

The sun and moon O Lord are thy lamps the firmament thy salver and the orbs of the stars the pearls set therein

The perform of the sandal tree is thy incense the wind is thy fan all the forests are thy flowers. O Lord of light

Though Manak is full of Hindu allosions he is more Moham medan in tone than Kabir and the ritual of bikh temples is

* 0

See especially Macauliffe The Silk R I gion alx volumes.
 Macauliffe I, p. S.,

modelled on the Mohammedan rather than on the Hindu pattern The opening words of the Japji are "There is but one God, whose name is true, the Creatori" and he is regarded rather as the ruler of the world than as a spirit finding expression in it "By his order" all things happen "By obeying him" man obtains happiness and salvation "There is no limit to his mercy and his praises" In the presence of God "man has no power and no strength" Such sentiments have a smack of Mohammed and Nânak sometimes uses the very words of the Koran as when he says that God has no companion. And though the penetrating spirit of the Vedânta infects this regal monotheism, yet the doctrine of Mâyâ is set forth in imusual phraseology "God himself created the world and himself gave names to things. He made Mâyâ by his power seated, he beheld his work with delight"

In other compositions attributed to Nânak greater prominence is given to Mâyâ and to the common Hindu idea that creation is a self-expansion of the deity. Metempsychosis is taught and the divine name is Hari. This is characteristic of the age, for Nânak was nearly a contemporary of Cartanya and Vallabhâcârya. For Kabir, the disciple of Râmânanda, the name was Ram

Nânak was sufficiently conscious of his position as head of a sect to leave a successor as Guru², but there is no indication that at this time the Sikhs differed materially from many other religious bodies who reprobated caste and idolativy. Under the fourth Guru, Ram Das, the beginnings of a change appear. His strong personality collected many wealthy adherents and with their offerings he purchased the tank of Amritsar³ and built in its midst the celebrated Golden Temple. He appointed his son Arjin as Guru in 1581, just before his death, the succession was made hereditary and henceforth the Gurus became chiefs rather than spiritual teachers. Arjun assumed some of the insignia of royalty, a town grew up round the sacred

¹ The original is Kartâ purukh (=purusha), the creative male This phrase shows how Hindu habits of thought clung to Nânak

² The Guru of the Sikhs are (a) Nânak, 1469-1538, (b) Angada, 1538-1552, (c) Amardas, 1552-1575, (d) Ramdas, 1575-1581, (e) Arjun, 1581-1606, (f) Har-Govind, 1606-1639, (g) Har Rai, 1639-1663, (h) Har-Kisan, 1663-1666, (i) Teg Bahadur, 1666-1675, (i) Govind Singh, 1675-1708

³ Amritasaras the lake of nectar

tank and became the centre of a community a tax was collected from all Sikhs and they were subjected to special and often salutary legislation. Infantioide for instance was strictly for bidden. With a view of providing a code and standard Arjun compiled the Granth or Sikh scriptures for though hymns and prayers composed by Nanak and others were in use there was as yet no anthorized collection of them. The example of Moham medanism no doubt stimulated the desire to possess a sacred book and the veneration of the scriptures increased with time. The Granth now receives the same kind of respect as the Koran and the first sight of a Sikh temple with a large open volume on a reading-desk cannot fail to recall a mosque

Arjun's compilation is called the Adi-granth or original book to distinguish it from the later additions made by Guru Govind It comprises hymns and prayers by Nānak and the four Gurus who followed him (including Arjun himself) Rāmānand Kabir and others amounting to thirty five writers in all. The list is interesting as testifying to the existence of a great body of oral poetry by various authors ranging from Rāmānand who had not separated himself from orthodox Vishnuism to Arjun the chief of the Sikh national community It was evidently felt that all these men had one inspiration coming from one truth and even now unwritten poems of Nānak are current in Bihar The Granth is written in a special alphabet known as Gurmukhli and contains both prose and poetical pieces in several languages most are in old western Hindi's but some are in Panjah and Mārathi.

But though in compiling a sacred book and in uniting the temporal and spiritual power Arjun was influenced by the spirit of Mohammedanism this is not the sort of mitation which makes for peace. The combination of Hinduism and Islam resulted in the production of a special type of Hindu peculiarly distasteful to Moslims and not much loved by other Hindus Much of Arjun's activity took place in the later years of the Emperor Akbar This most philosophic and tolerant of princes abandoned Mohammedanism after 1579 remitted the special

This is the description of the dialect given by Grierson, the highest authority in such matters.

¹ It appears to be an arbitrary adaptation of the Deva-nagari characters. The shape of the letters is mostly the same but new values are suspend to them.

taxes payable by non-Moslims and adopted many Hindu observances Towards the end of his life he promulgated a new creed known as the Din-i-ilahi or divine faith. This eclectic and composite religion bears testimony to his vanity as well as to his large sympathies, for it recognized him as the viceregent or even an incarnation of God It would appear that the singular little work called the Allopanishad or Allah Upanishad was written in connection with this movement. It purports to be an Upanishad of the Atharva Veda and can hardly be described as other than a forgery It declares that "the Allah of the prophet Muhammad Akbar² is the God of Gods" and identifies him with Mitra, Varuna, the sun, moon, water, Indra, etc Akbar's religion did not long survive his death and never flourished far from the imperial court, but somewhat later (1656) Muhammad Dara Shukoh, the son of Shah Jehan, caused a Persian translation of about fifty Upanishads, known as the Oupnekhat3, to be prepared The general temper of the period was propitious to the growth and immunity of mixed forms of belief, but the warlike and semi-political character of the Sikh community brought trouble on it

Arjun attracted the unfavourable attention of Akbar's successor, Jehangir⁴, and was cast into prison where he died The Sikhs took up arms and henceforth regarded themselves as the enemies of the government, but their strength was wasted by internal dissensions. The ninth Guru, Teg-Bahadur, was executed by Aurungzeb. Desire to avenge this martyrdom and the strenuous character of the tenth Guru, Govind Singh (1675–1708), completed the transformation of the Sikhs into a church militant devoted to a holy war.

Though the most aggressive and uncompromising features of Sikhism are due to the innovations of Govind, he was so far from being a theological bigot that he worshipped Durgâ and

¹ See Rajendrala Mitra's article in JASB XL 1871, pp 170-176, which gives the Sanskrit text of the Upanishad Also Schrader, Catalogue of Adyar Library, 1908, pp 136-7 Schrader states that in the north of India the Allopanishad is recited by Brahmans at the Vasantotsava and on other occasions also that in southern India it is generally believed that Moslims are skilled in the Atharva Veda.

² I e, not the Allah of the Koran

This Persian translation was rendered word for word into very strange Latin by Anquetil Duperron (1801-2) and this Latin version was used by Schopenhauer
 He is said to have prayed for the success of the Emperor's rebellious son

IIINDUISM AND ISLAM was even said to have offered human saerifices. But the aim of all his ordinances was to make his followers an independent body of fighting men They were to return the salutation of no Hindu and to put to death every Mohammedan The community Hindu and to put to death every nonminarium the community was called Khalka 1 within it there was perfect equality overy man was to carry a sword and wear long hair but short trousers Converts or recruits came chiefly from the fighting tribes of the Jats but in theory admission was free The initistory ceremony which resembled byptism was performed with sugar and water stiffed with a sword and the neophyte vowed not to worship idols to how to none except a Sikh Gurn and never to turn his back on the enemy. To give these institutions better reignous sanction Govind composed a supplement to the Granth rengrous sametion Goving composed a supplementation connectaint of book of the tenth prince It consists of four parts all in verse and is said to inculcate war as persistently as Aanak had inculented meekness and Peace To give his institutions greater permanence and prevent future alterations Govind refused to appoint any human successor and bade the Sikhs consider the Granth as their Guru Whatsoever Jo shall ask of it it will show you he said and in obedience to his command the book is still invested with a kind of personality and known as Granth Sabib

Govind spent most of his time in wars with Aurungreb Towards the end of his life he retired into Mains and resided at a place called Danidama The accounts of his latter days ar a prace cancer Danisama and accounts of the factor ways are somewhat divergent According to one story he made his ero communicativergent According to one story ne made and peace with the Mughals and accepted a multary communication under Feater with the intugues and accepted a minimal community makes the successor of Annungzels but it is more commonly asserted the successor of Annuageed Due it is more commonly asserted that he was assassinated by a private enemy. Even more troublous were the days of his successor Banda Since Govind had abolished the Guruship he could not claim to be more than and accurated the Guruship ne could not claim to be more than a temporal chief but what he lacked in spiritual authority be made amends for in fansileism The eight years of his leadership were spent in a war of mutual extermination waged with the Moslims of the Panjsb and diversified only by internal diason sions At last he was captured and the sect was nearly annihilated by the Emperor Farukhalyar According to the This Arabic word is interpreted in this context as meaning the special portion (of God)

ordinary account this victory was followed by an orgy of torture and Banda was barbarously executed after witnessing during seven days the torments of his followers and kinsmen. We read with pleasure but incredulity that one division of the Sikhs believe that he escaped and promulgated his peculiar doctrines in Sind. Asiatics do not relish the idea that the chosen of God can suffer violent death

The further history of the Sikhs is political rather than religious, and need not detain us here Despite the efforts of the Mughals to exterminate them, they were favoured by the disturbed state of the country in the early decades of the eighteenth century, for the raids of Afghans and Persians convulsed and paralyzed the empire of Delhi The government of the Khalsa passed into the hands of a body of fanatics, called Akâlıs, but the decision of grave matters rested with a council of the whole community which occasionally met at Amritsar Every Sikh claimed to have joined the confederacy as an independent soldier, bound to fight under his military leaders but otherwise exempt from control, and entitled to a share of land This absolute independence, being unworkable in practice, was modified by the formation of Misals or voluntary associations, of which there were at one time twelve From the middle of the eighteenth century onwards the Sikhs were masters of the Panjab and their great chief Ranjit Singh (1797-1839) succeeded in converting the confederacy into a despotic monarchy Their power did not last long after his death and the Panjab was conquered by the British in the two wars of 1846 and 1849

With the loss of political independence, the differences between the Sikhs and other Hindus tended to decrease This was natural, for nearly all their strictly religious tenets can be paralleled in Hinduism. Guru Govind waged no war against polytheism but wished to found a religious commonwealth equally independent of Hindu castes and Mohammedan sultans. For some time his ordinances were successful in creating a tribe, almost a nation. With the collapse of the Sikh state, the old hatred of Mohammedanism remained, but the Sikhs differed from normal Hindus hardly more than such sects as the Lingâyats, and, as happened with decadent Buddhism, the unobtrusive pressure of Hindu beliefs and observances tended to obliterate

those differences. The Census of India 1901 enumerated three degrees of Sikhism The first comprises a few zealots called Akalia who observe all the precepts of Govind The second class are the Guru Govind Sikhs who observe the Guru s main commands especially the prohibition to smoke and oot the hair Lastly there are a considerable number who profess a respect for the Guru bot follow Hindu beliefs and usages wholly or in part Sikhism indeed reproduces on a small scale the changeableness and complexity of Hunduism and includes associations called Sahha whose members aim at restoring or maintaining what they consider to be the true faith. In 1901 there was a tendency for Sikhs to give up their peculiarities and describe themselves as ordinary Hindus but in the next decade a change of sentiment among these waverers caused the Sikh community as registered to increase by thirty-seven per cent, and a period of religious zeel is reported?

² Census of India, 1901 Panjab report, p. 182. Promunal Geographus of India, Panjab, Doule, 1916, p. 117

CHAPTER XXXII

ŚÂKTISM¹

Among the principal sub-divisions of Hinduism must be reckoned the remarkable religion known as Sâktism, that is the worship of Sakti or Siva's spouse under various names, of which Devî, Durgâ and Kâlî are the best known. It differs from most sects in not being due to the creative or reforming energy of any one human founder. It claims to be a revelation from Siva himself, but considered historically it appears to be a compound of Hinduism with un-Aryan beliefs. It acquired great influence both in the courts and among the people of north-eastern India but without producing personalities of much eminence as teachers or writers.

It would be convenient to distinguish Saktism and Tantrism, as I have already suggested. The former means the worship of a goddess or goddesses, especially those who are regarded as forms of Siva's consort. Vishnuites sometimes worship female deities, but though the worship of Lakshmî, Râdhâ and others may be coloured by imitation of Saktist practices, it is less conspicuous and seems to have a different origin. Tantrism is a system of magical or sacramental ritual, which professes to attain the highest aims of religion by such methods as spells, diagrams, gestures and other physical exercises. One of its bases is the assumption that man and the universe correspond as microcosm and macrocosm and that both are subject to the mysterious power of words and letters.

These ideas are not modern nor peculiar to any Indian sect They are present in the Vedic ceremonial, in the practices of the Yoga and even in the teaching of the quasi-mussulman sect of Kabir, which attaches great importance to the letters of the divine name. They harmonize with the common Indian view that some form of discipline or physical training is essential to

¹ See also chap NIV as to Saktism and Tantrism in Buddhism Copious materials for the study of Saktism and Tantrism are being made available in the series of tantric texts edited in Sanskrit and Tibetan, and in some cases translated by the author who uses the pseudonym A Avalon.

he religious life They are found in a highly developed form mong the Namhntiris and other Brahmans of sonthern India ho try to observe the Vedic rules and in the Far East among tuddhists of the Shingon or Chen yen sect! As a rule they acceive the name of Tantrism only when they are elaborated yto a system which claims to be a special dispensation for this lige and to supersede more arduous methods which are politely rit aside as practicable only for the hero saints of happier times in ntries like salvation by faith is a simplification of religion alt on mechanical rather than emotional lines though its st fictency in emotion often finds strange compensations

T But Tantrism is analogous not so much to justification by h th as to sacramental ritual The parallel may seem shocking dut most tantric ceremonies are similar in idea to Christian craments and may be called sacramental as correctly as fagreal. Even in the Anglican Church baptism includes binking with water (abhishoka) the aign of the cross (nyāsa) sol a formula (mantra) and if any one supposes that a child m reated is sure of heaven whereas the inture of the unbaptized splubious he holds like the Tantrists that spiritual ends can ar attained by physical means And in the Roman Church so ere the rate includes exoreism and the use of salt oil and is its the parallel is still closer Christian mysticism has had beich to do with symbolism and even with alchemy' and wl pastrianism which is generally regarded as a reasonable big gron attaches extraordinary importance to holy spells midndian religions are not singular in this respect though the Zofompromising thoroughness with which they work out this rele other ideas leads to startling results

So The worship of female deities becomes prominent somewhat und in Indian hterature and it does not represent-not to the likde extent as the Chinese cult of Kwan yin for example - the

er ideals of the period when it appears. The goddesses of laidRig Veda are insignificant they are little more than names san grammatically often the feminino forms of their consorts bet this Veda is evidently a special manual of prayer from the h many departments of popular religion were excluded. In

BullSee A males du M sée Outmat, Tome viir, El Do-In Dron. Gestes d' l'officiant les cértmonies mysiques des sectes Tendal et Eligon, 1899 whites Underhill, Mystersm chaps, vi and vii.

Fre Dhalla, Zoronstrien Theology p. 118.

the Athaiva Veda many spirits with feminine names are invoked and there is an inclination to personify bad qualities and disasters as goddesses But we do not find any goddess who has attained a position comparable with that held by Durgâ, Cybele or Astarte, though there are some remarkable hymns¹ addressed to the Earth But there is no doubt that the worship of goddesses (especially goddesses of fertility) as great powers is both ancient and widespread We find it among the Egyptians and Semites, in Asia Minor, in Greece, Italy, and among the Kelts The goddess Anahit, who was worshipped with immoral rites in Bactria, is figured on the coins of the Kushans and must at one time have been known on the north-western borders of India At the present day Sîtalâ and in south India Mariamman are goddesses of smallpox who require propitiation, and one of the earliest deities known to have been worshipped by the Tamily is the goddess Kottavai² Somewhat obscure but widely worshipped are the powers known as the Mothers, a title which also occurs in Keltic mythology They are groups of goddesses varying in number and often malevolent As many as a hundred and forty are said to be worshipped in Gujarat The census of Bengal (1901) records the worship of the earth, sun and rivers as females, of the snake goddesses Manasâ and Jagat Gaurî and of numerous female demons who send disease, such as the seven sisters, Ola Bibi, Jogini and the Churels, or spirits of women who have died in childbirth

The rites celebrated in honour of these deities are often of a questionable character and include dances by naked women and offerings of spirituous liquors and blood. Similar features are found in other countries. Prostitution formed part of the worship of Astarte and Anahit, the Tauric Artemis was adored with human sacrifices and Cybele with self-inflicted mutilations. Similarly offerings of blood drawn from the sacrificer's own body are enjoined in the Kâlikâ Purâna. Two stages can be distinguished in the relations between these cults and Hinduism. In the later stage which can be witnessed even at the present day an aboriginal goddess or demon is identified with one of the aspects (generally a "black" or fierce aspect) of Siva's

¹ Specially Ath Veda, xii 1

² Village deities in south India at the present day are usually female See Whitehead, Village Gods, p 21

spouse¹ But such identification is facilitated by the fact that goddesses like kâli Bliauravi Chinnamastakā are not products of purely Hindu imagination but represent carlier stages of amalgamation in which Hindu and aboriginal ideas are already compocoded. When the smallpox goddess is identified with Kâli the procedure is correct for some popular forms of kâli are little mere than an aboriginal deity of pestilence draped with Hindu imagery and philosophy.

Some Hindu scholars demur to this derivation of Såktism from lower cults. They point to its refined and philosophic aspects they see in it the worship of a goddess who can be as merciful as the Madonna but yet since she is the goddess of nature combines in one shape info and death. May not the grosser forms of Såktism be perversions and corruptions of an ancient and higher faith? In support of this it may be urged that the Buddhist goddess Tara is as a rule a beautiful and benevolent figure though she can be terrible as the enemy of ovil and has clear affinities to Durga. Yet the history of Indian thought does not support this view but rather the view that Hinduism incorporated certain ancient ideas true and striking as ancient ideas often are but without purging them sufficiently to make them acceptable to the majority of educated Indians.

The Yajur Veda* associates Rudra with a female delty called Ambika or mother who is however his sister not his spouse. The earliest forms of the latter seem to connect her with mountains. She is Uma Haimavati the daoghter of the Himalayas, and Parvati she of the mountains and was perhaps originally a sacred peak. In an interesting but brief passage of the Kena Upanishad (uri 12 and rv. 1) Uma Haimavati explains to the gods that a being when they do not know is Brahman in later times we hear of a similar goddess in the Vindhyas Maharani. Vindhyaśvari who was connected with human saunifices and Thuge? Siva s consort like her Lord has many forms classified as white or bengmant and hlack or terrible Uma belongs to the former class but the latter (such as Kali

Thus Cand is considered as identical with the wood goddess Baseli worshipped in the jungles of Bongal and Orises. See J.A. 1872, p. 187

Vaj Banh, 3, 57 and Taittir Br z, 6, 10, 4.

² Crooks, Fopular Religion of Northern India 1, 03. Monier William Brahm. and Hindseins, p. 57 gives an intensiting account of the shrine of Käll at Vindhykaal said to have been formerly frequented by Thugs.

Durgâ, Câmundâ, Candâ and Karalâ) are more important¹ Female deities bearing names like these are worshipped in most parts of India, literally from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin, for the latter name is derived from Kumârî, the Virgin goddess² But the names Sâkta and Sâktism are usually restricted to those sects in Bengal and Assam who worship the Consort of Siva with the rites prescribed in the Tantras

Sâktism regards the goddess as the active manifestation of the godhead. As such she is styled Sakti, or energy (whence the name Sâkta), and is also identified with Mâyâ, the power which is associated with Brahman and brings the phenomenal world into being. Similar ideas appear in a philosophic form in the Sânkhya teaching. Here the soul is masculine and passive its task is to extricate and isolate itself. But Prakriti or Nature is feminine and active to her is due the evolution of the universe she involves the soul in actions which cause pain but she also helps the work of liberation. In its fully developed form the doctrine of the Tantras teaches that Sakti is not an emanation or aspect of the deity. There is no distinction between Brahman and Sakti. She is Parabrahman and parâtparâ, Supreme of the Supreme

The birthplace of Saktism as a definite sect seems to have been north-eastern India⁴ and though it is said to be extending in the United Provinces, its present sphere of influence is still

- ¹ This idea that deities have different aspects in which they practically become different persons is very prevalent in Tibetan mythology which is borrowed from medieval Bengal
- ² Though there are great temples erected to goddesses in S India, there are also some signs of hostility to Śāktism See the curious legends about an attendant of Siva called Bhringi who would not worship Pārvatī Hultzsch, South Indian Inscriptions, π in p 190
- ³ There is a curious tendency in India to regard the male principle as quiescent, the female as active and stimulating. The Chinese, who are equally fond of using these two principles in their cosmological speculations, adopt the opposite view. The Yang (male) is positive and active. The Yin (female) is negative and passive.
- 4 The Mahânırvâṇa Tantra seems to have been composed in Bengal since it recommends for sacrificial purposes (vi. 7) three kinds of fish said to be characteristic of that region. On the other hand Buddhist works called Tantras are said to have been composed in north western India. Udyâna had an old reputation for magic and even in modern times Sâktism exists in western Tibet and Leh. It is highly probable that in all these districts the practice of magic and the worship of mountain goddesses were prevalent, but I find little evidence that a definite Sâkta sect arose elsewhere than in Bengal and Assam or that the Sâktist corruption of Buddhism prevailed elsewhere than in Magadha and Bengal.

chiefly Bengal and Assam¹ The population of these countries is not Aryan (though the Bengali language bears witness to the strong Aryan influence which has prevailed there) and is largely composed of immigrants from the north belonging to the Tibeto-Burman Mon Khmer and Shan families These tribes remain distinct in Assam but the Bengah represents the fumon of such invaders with a Munda or Dravidian race leavened by a little Aryan blood in the higher castes In all this region we hear of no ancient Brahmanic settlements no ancient centres of Vedic or even Puranic learning and when Buddhism decayed no body of Brahmanic tradition such as existed in other parts of India imposed its authority on the writers of the Tantras Even at the present day the worship of female spirits only half acknow ledged by the Brahmans prevalls among these people and in the past the national derities of many tribes were goddesses who were propitisted with human sacrifices. Thus the Chutiyas of Sadiya used to adore a goddess called Kesai Khati-the eater of raw flesh The rites of these deities were originally performed by tribal priests, but as Hindu influence spread the Brahmans gradually took charge of them without modifying their character in essentials Popular Bengali poetry represents these goddesses as desiring worship and feeling that they are slighted they persecute those who ignore them but shower blessings on their worshippers even on the obdurate who are at last compelled to do them homage The language of mythology could not describe more clearly the endeavours of a plebenan cult to obtain recognition2

The Mahabharata contains hymne to Durga in which she is said to love offerings of fleah and wine but it is not likely that Saktism or Tantinam—that is a system with special scriptures

¹ But the Brahm us of isolated kee-littles, like Salars in the Bombay Presidency are said to be Säktas and the Käficuliyas of S. India are described as a Säktist seet.

³ The law-giver Baudhayana scenns to have regarded Anga and Vanga with suspicion, f. 1 13, 14

See especially the story of Man - Devi in Dineah Chandra Sen (Beng Long and Lt. 257), who says the cariflest literary version dates from the twelfth century. But doubtless the story is much older.

⁴ Virtian hap vi. (not in all man). Bhishman chap vivil Also in the Harrisman w. 3230 ff. Pargitor consisters that the Devi Middlemya was probably composed in the fifth or sixth contary. Chap vix. of the Lotus Sôtra contains a spell invoking a goddess under many names Though this chapter is an addition to the original work, it was transit et alm to Chinese benefit 265 and 316.

and doctrines—was prevalent before the seventh century a D for the Tantras are not mentioned by the Chinese pilgrims and the lexicon Amara Kosha (perhaps c 500 a D) does not recognize the word as a designation of religious books—Bâna (c 630) gives more than once in his romances lists of sectaries but though he mentions Bhâgavatas and Pâśupatas, he does not speak of Sâktas¹—On the other hand Tantrism infected Buddhism soon after this period. The earlier Tibetan translations of the Tantras are attributed to the ninth century—MSS of the Kubjikâmata and other Tantras are said to date from the ninth and even from the seventh century and tradition represents Sankarâcârya as having contests with Sâktas²—But many Tantras were written in the fifteenth century and even later, for the Yogini Tantra alludes to the Koch king Bishwa Singh (1515–1540) and the Meru Tantra mentions London and the English

From the twelfth to the sixteenth century, when Buddhism, itself deeply infected with Tantrism, was disappearing, Sâktism was probably the most powerful religion in Bengal, but Vishnuism was gaining strength and after the time of Caitanya proved a formidable rival to it. At the beginning of the fifteenth century we hear that the king of the Ahoms summoned Brahmans to his Court and adopted many Hindu rites and beliefs, and from this time onward Sâktism was patronized by most of the Assamese Rajas although after 1550 Vishnuism became the religion of the mass of the people. Sâktism never inspired any popular or missionally movement, but it was powerful among the aristocracy and instigated persecutions against the Vishnuites.

The more respectable Tantras³ show considerable resemblance to the later Upanishads such as the Nrisinhatâpanîya and Râmatâpanîya, which mention Sakti in the sense of creative energy⁴ Both classes of works treat of magical formulæ (mantras)

- 1 But he does mention the worship of the Divine Mothers $\,$ Harshacar vii 250 and Kâdamb 134
- $^2\,$ Hymns to the Devî are also attributed to him but I do not know what evidence there is for his authorship
- ³ As pointed out elsewhere, though this word is most commonly used of the Sakta scriptures it is not restricted to them and we hear of both Buddhist and Vaishnava Tantras
- ⁴ The Adhyâtma Râmâyana is an instance of Sâktist ideas in another theological setting. It is a Vishnuite work but Sitâ is made to say that she is *Prakrit* who does all the deeds related in the poem, whereas Râma is *Purusha*, inactive and a witness of her deeds.

and the construction of mystic diagrams or yentras. This resemblance does not give us much assistance in chronology for the dates of the later Upanishads are very uncertain, but it shows how the Tantras are connected with other branches of Hindu thought

The distinction between Tantras and Puranas is not always well marked The Bhagavata Purana countenances tantric rites1 and the Agni Purans (from chapter xxi onwards) bears a strong resemblance to a Tantra. As a rule the Tantras contain less historical and legendary matter than the Puranas and more directions as to ritual. But whereas the Puranas approve of both Vedic rites and others, the Tantras insist that ceremonies other than those which they prescribe are now useless They maintain that each age of the world has its own special revelation and that in this age the Tantra-fastra is the only scripture Thus in the Mahanirvana Tantra Siva says The fool who would follow other doctrines heedless of mine is as great a ginner as a parricide or the murderer of a Brahman or of a woman The Vedic rites and mantras which were efficacious in the first age have ceased to have power in this They are now as powerless as makes whose fangs have been drawn and are like dead things The Kularnava Tantra (1 79 ff) inveighs against those who think they will obtain salvation by Vedic sacrifles or asceticism or reading sacred hooks whereas it can be won only by tantric rites

Various lists of Tantras are given and it is generally admitted that many have been lost. The most complete hut somewhat theoretical enumeration divides India and the adjoining lands into three regions to each of which sixty four Tantras are assigned. The best known names are perhaps Mahanirvana Saradatiliska Yogunf, Kularnava and Rudra Yamala. A Tantra

See for full list Avalon, Principles of Tanzo, pp. lrv-lrvii. A collection of thirty-seven Tantras has been published at Calcutta by Babu P. sit Mohun Chatterjee and a low have been published separately

II. iii. 47-8; XI. v. 23 and 31. Probably Vishamits not Säktist Tantrus are meant but the Puras distingui has between Vedic revelation meant for previous sages and tantele revelation meant for the present day. So too Kullikus Bhatta the commentator on Hanu who was a Bengull and probably lived in the fifteenth contary says (on W n II. I) that Smit is twofold, Vedic and tantric. Statused standard existing Hantiles.

Translated by Avalon, 1913, also by Manmatha Nath Dutt, 1900.

Analysed in J.A O.S xxIII. I. 1002.

Edited by Taranatha Vidyaratna, with introduction by A. Avalon 1917

is generally cast in the form of a dialogue in which Siva instructs his consort but sometimes vice versa. It is said that the former class are correctly described as Âgamas and the works where the Sakti addresses Siva as Nigamas¹ Some are also called Yâmalas and Dâmaras but I have found no definition of the meaning of these words. The Prapañcasâra Tantra² professes to be a revelation from Nârâyana

Saktism and the Tantras which teach it are generally condemned by Hindus of other sects³ It is arguable that this condemnation is unjust, for like other forms of Hinduism the Tantras make the liberation of the soul their object and prescribe a life of religious observances including asceticism and meditation, after which the adept becomes released even in this life But however much new tantic literature may be made accessible in future, I doubt if impartial criticism will come to any opinion except that Saktısm and Tantrısm collect and emphasize what is superficial, trivial and even bad in Indian religion, omitting or neglecting its higher sides If for instance the Mahânirvâna Tantra which is a good specimen of these works be compared with Sankara's commentary on the Vedânta Sûtras, or the poems of Tulsi Das, it will be seen that it is woefully deficient in the excellences of either But many tantric treatises are chiefly concerned with charms, spells, amulets and other magical methods of obtaining wealth, causing or averting disease and destroying enemies, processes which even if efficacious have nothing to do with the better side of religion4

The religious life prescribed in the Tantras⁵ commences with initiation and requires the supervision of the Guru The object of it is *Siddhi* or success, the highest form of which is spiritual perfection *Siddhi* is produced by *Sâdhana*, or that method of

³ Saturical descriptions of Saktism are fairly ancient, eg Karpura Mañjarî, Harvard edition, pp 25 and 233

⁵ For what follows as for much else in this chapter, I am indebted to Avalon's translation of the Mahânirvâna Tantra and introduction

¹ See Avalon, Principles of Tantra, p lxi But these are probably special meanings attached to the words by tantric schools Nigama is found pretty frequently, eg Manu, iv 19 and Lalita vistara, xii But it is not likely that it is used there in this special sense

² Edited by Avalon, 1914

⁴ Tantrism has some analogy to the Fêng shui or geomancy of the Chinese Both take ancient superstitions which seem incompatible with science and systema tize them into pseudo sciences, remaining blind to the fact that the subject matter is wholly imaginary

training the physical and psychic faculties which realizes their potentialities. Tantino training assumes a certain constitution of the universe and the repetition in miniature of this constitution in the human body which contains various nervous centres and subtle channels for the passage of energy inknown to vulgar anatomy. Thus the Sakti who pervades the universe is also present in the body as Kunjalini a serpentane coil of energy and it is part of Sadhana to arouse this energy and make it mount from the lower to the higher centres. Kunjalini is also present in sounds and in letters. Hence if different parts of the body are touched to the accompaniment of appropriate mantras (which rate is called nyass) the various Saktis are made to dwell in the human frame in suitable positions.

The Tantras recognize that human beings are not equal and that codes and rituals must vary according to temperament and capacity Three conditions of men, called the animal heroic and divine are often mentioned and are said to characterize three periods of his-youth manhood and age or three classes of mankind, non tantriets ordinary tantriets and adepts These three conditions clearly correspond to the three Gupas Also men or rather Hindus belong to one of seven groups or stages according to the religious practices which it is best for them to follow Saktasts apparently demur² to the statement commonly made by Indians as well as by Europeans that they are divided into two sects the Dakshipacanns or right-hand worshippers whose ritual is public and decent and the Vamacarins who meet to engage in secret but admittedly immoral orgies. But for practical purposes the division is just although it must not be supposed that Dakahınacarıns necessarily condemn the secret worship They may consider it as good for others hut not for themselves. Saktusts apparently would prefer to state the matter thus. There are seven stages of religion. First come Vedio Vishnuite and Sivaite worship all three inferior and then Dakahinacara, interpreted as meaning favourable worship that is favourable to the accomplishment of higher purposes because the worshipper now begins to understand the nature of Devi the great goddess. These four kinds of worship are all said to belong to prawritts or active life. The other three considered to be higher require a special initiation and belong to nivritis the

Avalon, M him Tan, pp. harix, laxx.

path of return in which passion and activity are suppressed. And here is propounded the doctrine that passion can be destroyed and exhausted by passion², that is to say that the impulses of eating, drinking and sexual intercourse are best subjugated by indulging them. The fifth stage, in which this method is first adopted, is called Vâmâcâra³. In the sixth, or Siddhântâcâra⁴, the adept becomes more and more free from passion and prejudice and is finally able to enter Kaulâcâra, the highest stage of all. A Kaula is one who has passed beyond all sects and belongs to none, since he has the knowledge of Brahman. "Possessing merely the form of man, he moves about this earth for the salvation of the world and the instruction of men⁵."

These are aspirations common to all Indian religion The peculiarity of the Tantras is to suppose that a ritual which is shocking to most Hindus is an indispensable preliminary to their attainment. Its essential feature is known as pancatativa, the five elements, or pancamakāra the five m's, because they all begin with that letter, namely, madya, māṃsa, matsya, mudrā, and maithuna, wine, meat, fish, parched grain and copulation. The celebration of this ritual takes place at midnight, and is called cakra or circle. The proceedings begin by the devotees seating themselves in a circle and are said to terminate in an indiscriminate orgy. It is only fair to say that some Tantras inveigh against drunkenness and authorize only moderate drinking. In all cases it is essential that the wine, flesh, etc.,

- 1 "The eternal rhythm of Divine Breath is outwards from spirit to matter and inwards from matter to spirit. Devî as Mâyâ evolves the world. As Mahâmâyâ she recalls it to herself. Each of these movements is divine. Enjoyment and liberation are each her gifts." Avalon, Mahân Tan p. cxl.
- ² Yair eva patanam dravyaih siddhis tair eva coditâ—Kulârnava Tantra, v 48 There is probably something similar in Taoism See Wieger, *Histoire des Croyances religieuses en Chine*, p 409 The Indian Tantrists were aware of the dangers of their system and said it was as difficult as walking on the edge of a sword or holding a tiger
- ³ Vâmâcâra is said not to mean left-hand worship but woman (vâmâ) worship This interpretation of Dakshina and Vâmâcâra is probably fanciful
 - ⁴ Sometimes two extra stages Aghora and Yogâcâra are inserted here
 - ⁵ Mahân Tan x 108 A Kaula may pretend to be a Vaishnava or a Saiva
- Although the Tantras occasionally say that mere ritual is not sufficient for the highest religions, yet indispensable preliminary is often understood as meaning sure means. Thus the Mahânirvâna Tantra (x 202, Avalon's transl) says "Those who worship the Kaulas with panca tativa and with heart uplifted, cause the salvation of their ancestors and themselves attain the highest end."
- ⁷ But on the other hand some Tantras or tantrac treatises recommend crazy abominations

should be formally dedicated to the goddess without this prehimoary indulgence in these pleasures is sinful. Indeed it may be said that apart from the ceremonial which they inculcate the general principles of the Tantras breathen hiberal and intelligent spirit. Caste restrictions are minimized travelling is permitted. Women are honoured they can act as teachers the huming of widows is forbidden? gril widows may remarry? and the murder of a woman is pecoliarly helinous. Prostitution is denounced. Whereas Christianity is sometimes accused of restricting its higher code to Church and Sundays, the opposite may be said of Tantrism. Outside the temple its morality is excellent.

A work like the Mahanirvana Tantra presents a refined form of Saktism modified so far as may be in conformity with ordinary Hindu usage? But other features Indubitably connect it with aborginal cults. For instance there is a legend which relates how the body of the Saktl was cut into pieces and scattered over Assam and Bengal This story has an uncouth and barbarous air and seems out of place even in Puranio mythology It recalls the tales told of Osins Orpheus and Halfden the Black and may be ultimately traceable to the idea that the dismemberment of a delty or a human representative ensures fertility Until recently the Khonds of Bengal used to hack human victims in pieces as a sacrifice to the Earth Goddess and throw the shreds of flesh on the fields to secure a good harvest. In Sanskrit literature I have not found any authority for the dismemberment of Satl earlier than the Tantras or Upapuranas (e.g. Kalika) but this late appearance does not mean that the legend is late in itself but merely that it was not countcoanced by Sanskrit writers until medieval times. Various reasons for the dismemberment are given and the incident is rather awkwardly tacked on to other stories. One commoo versico relates that wheo Satt (one of the many forms of Saktı) died of vexatico because her husband Siva was insulted by her

¹ Mahil ! Tant. x. 79. Bhartra saba kulciani na dahet kulakami im

^{* 16} xr 67

² E.q. It does not prearibe human sacrifices and counsels moderation in the use of wine and maitheau.

See Frazer a Adonis, Allis and Onris pp. °69-°73 for these and other stories of dismemberment.

See Frazer Golden Dough Spirits of the Corn vol. 1. 245 and authorities quoted.

father Daksha, Siva took up her corpse and wandered distractedly carrying it on his shoulder. In order to stop this penance Vishnu followed him and cut off pieces from the corpse with his quoit until the whole had fallen to earth in fifty-one pieces. The spots where these pieces touched the ground are held sacred and called piths. At most of them are shown a rock supposed to represent some portion of the goddess's body and some object called a bhairabi, left by Siva as a guardian to protect her and often taking the form of a lingam. The most important of these piths are Kâmâkhyâ near Gauhati, Faljur in the Jaintia Parganas, and Kalighat in Calcutta²

Though the Saktı of Sıva is theoretically one, yet since she assumes many forms she becomes in practice many deities or rather she is many deities combined in one or sometimes a sovereign attended by a retinue of similar female spirits. Among such forms we find the ten Mahâvidyâs, or personifications of her supernatural knowledge, the Mahâmâtrıs, Mâtrıkâs or the Great Mothers, allied to the aboriginal goddesses already mentioned, the Nâvakas or mistresses, the Yoginis or sorceresses, and fiends called Dâkınîs But the most popular of her manıfestations are Durgâ and Kâlî The sects which revere these goddesses are the most important religious bodies in Bengal, where they number this ty-five million adherents The Durgâpûja is the greatest festival of the year in north-eastern India³ and in the temple of Kalighat at Calcutta may be seen the singular spectacle of educated Hindus decapitating goats before the image of Kâlî It is a black female figure with gaping mouth and protruded tongue dancing on a prostrate body⁴, and

- ¹ Images representing this are common in Assam
- ² Hsüan Chuang (Watters, vol 1 chap vII) mentions several sacred places in N W India where the Buddha in a previous birth was dismembered or gave his flesh to feed mankind. Can these places have been similar to the piths of Assam and were the original heroes of the legend deities who were dismembered like Sati and subsequently accommodated to Buddhist theology as Bodhisattvas?
- ³ It is an autumnal festival A special image of the goddess is made which is worshipped for nine days and then thrown into the river. For an account of the festival which makes its tantric character very clear see Durga Puja by Pratapachandra Ghosha, Calcutta, 1871
- 4 One explanation given is that she was so elated with her victories over giants that she began to dance which shook the Universe Siva in order to save the world placed himself beneath her feet and when she saw she was trampling on her husband, she stopped But there are other explanations

Another of the strangely barbanc legends which cluster round the Sakti is

adorned with skulls and horrid emblems of destruction. Of her four hands two carry a sword and a severed head but the other two are extended to give blessing and protection to her wor shippers. So great is the crowd of enthusiastic suppliants that it is often hard to approach the shrine and the nationalist party in Bengal who elamour for parliamentary institutions are among the goddess s devotees.

It is easy to criticize and condemn this worship. Its outward signs are repulsive to Europeans and its inner meaning strange for even those who pray to the Madonna are startled by the idea that the divine nature is essentially feminine! Yet this idea has deep roots in the heart of Bengal and with it another idea the terrors of death plague and storm are half but only half revelations of the goddess mother who can be smiling and tender as well Whatever may be the origin of Kall and of the strange images which represent her she is now no she-devil who needs to be propitiated but a reminder that birth and death are twins that the horrors of the world come from the same source as its grace and beauty and that cheerful acceptance of the delty a terrible manifestations is an essential part of the higher spiritual life. These ideas are best expressed in the songs of Rama Prasada Sen (1718-1775) which still reign supreme in the villages of Bengal and show that this strange worship has really a hold on millions of Indian rustics? The directness and chlidlike simpleity of his poems have caused an Indian critic to compare him to Blake Though the mother beat the child he sings the child enes mother mother and chings still

filastrated by the figure called Chin m takis. It represents the goddess as carrying her own head which she has just out off while from the neck spout fountains of blood which are drunk by her attendants and by the me end head itself.

Yet the English mystle Jalian the anthoress of Norwich (c. 1400), insists on the motherhood as well as the fatherhood of God. "God is our mother brother and Saviour." As verily God is our father so verily God is our mothe.

So too in an inscription found at Capus (C.I.N. 3580) Isis is addressed as no state to omnia.

The Pow addressed in Swinburne's poems Mater Trampholes Herika, The Pilgrims and Dolores is really a conception very similar to Bakti.

These ideas find frequent e pr min the works of Bunkim Chandra Chatter joe, Dinesh Chandra Sen and Slater Nivedita.

Bos Dinosh Chandra Sen, Hist. Beng Long and Lit. pp. 71 721 Even the leonoclast Devendranath Tagore speaks of the Universal Mother Ses Ausobsog n. 240. tighter to her gament True, I cannot see thee, yet I am not a lost child I still cry mother, mother "

"All the miseries that I have suffered and am suffering, I know, O mother, to be your mercy alone"

I must confess that I cannot fully sympathize with this worship, even when it is sung in the hyinns of Râma Prasâda, but it is clear that he makes it tolerable just because he throws aside all the magic and ritual of the Tantras and deals straight with what are for him elemental and emotional facts. He makes even sceptics feel that he has really seen God in this strange guise

The chief sanctuary of Saktism is at Kamakhya (or Kamaksha) on a hill which stands on the banks of the Brahmaputra, about two miles below Gaithati It is mentioned in the Padma Piliana The temples have been rebuilt several times, and in the eighteenth century were munificently endowed by an Ahom king, and placed under the management of a Brahman from Nadia in Bengal, with reversion to his descendants who bear the title of Parbativa Gosains Considerable estates are still assigned to their upkeep There are ten1 shrines on the hill dedicated to various forms of the Sakti The situation is magnificent, commanding an extensive prospect over the Brahmaputra and the plans on either bank, but none of the buildings are of much architectural ment. The largest and best is the temple dedicated to Kâmâkhyâ herself, the goddess of sexual desire It is of the style usual in northern India, an unlighted shrine surmounted by a dome, and approached by a rather ample vestibule, which is also imperfectly lighted. An inscription has been preserved recording the restoration of the temple about 1550 but only the present basement dates from that time, most of the superstructure being recent Europeans may not enter but an image of the goddess can be seen from a side door. In the depths of the shrine is said to be a eleft in the rock, adored as the Yoni of Saktı In front of the temple are two posts to which a goat is tied, and decapitated daily at noon Below the principal shrine is the temple of Bhairavî Human saerifices were offered here in comparatively recent times, and it is not denied that they would be offered now if the law allowed Also it is not denied

¹ So I was told, but I saw only six, when I visited the place in 1910

that the rites of the five ms already mentioned are frequently performed in these temples and that Aghoris may be found in them The spot attracts a considerable number of pilgrims from Bengal and a wealthy devotee has built a villa on the hill and pays visits to it for the purpose of taking part in the rites. I was informed that the most esteemed scriptures of the sect are the Yoguni Tantra the Mahangrana Tantra and the Kalika Purana. This last work contains a section or chapter on blood1 which gives rules for the performance of human sacrifices. It states however that they should not be performed by the first three castes which is perhaps a way of saying that though they may be performed by non Aryans under Brahmanie auspices they form no part of the Aryan religion But they are recommended to princes and ministers and should not be performed without the consent of princes. The ritual bears little resemblance to the Vedue sacrifices and the essence of the ceremony is the presentation to the goddess of the victim's severed head in a vessel of gold silver copper hrass or wood but not of iron Tho axe with which the decapitation is to be performed is solemnly conseerated to Kuli and the victum is worshipped before immelation The sagnificer first thinks of Brahma and the other gods as being present in the victim's body and then prays to him directly as being all the gods in one When this has been done says Siva who is represented as himself revealing these rules the victim is even as myself This identification of the haman victim with the god has many analogies elsewhere particularly among the Khonda

It is remarkable that this barbarous and immoral worship though looked at askance except in its own holy places is hy no means confined to the lower easter. A series of apologics composed in excellent English (but sometimes anonymous) attest the sympathy of the educated So far as theology and metaphysics are concerned these defeaces are plausible The Saktus identified with Prakriti or with the Maya of the Advasta philosophy and defined as the energy coexistent with Brahman which creates the world But attempts to palliate the ceremonial such as the argument that it is a consecration and limitation of the appetites because they may be gratified only in the service

Rudhirádhyáya. Translated in As. Researches v 1798, pp. 371-391
 See Frazer op. csf. p. *10.

of the goddess, are not convincing. Nor do the Saktas, when able to profess their faith openly, deny the nature of their rites or the importance attached to them. An oft-quoted tantric verse represents Siva as saying Maithunena mahayogî mama tulyo na samsayah. And for practical purposes that is the gist of Saktist teaching

The temples of Kâmâkhyâ leave a disagreeable impression an impression of dark evil haunts of lust and bloodshed, akin to madness and unrelieved by any grace or vigour of art. For there is no attempt in them to represent the temble or voluptuous aspects of Hinduism, such as find expression in sculpture elsewhere. All the buildings, and especially the modern temple of Kâlî, which was in process of construction when I saw the place, testify to the atrophy and paralysis produced by erotic forms of religion in the artistic and intellectual spheres, a phenomenon which finds another sad illustration in quite different theological surroundings among the Vallabhâcârya sect at Gokul near Muttra.

It would be a poor service to India to palliate the evils and extravagances of Saktısm, but still it must be made clear that it is not a mere survival of barbaric practices. The writers of the Tantras are good Hindus and declare that their object is to teach liberation and union with the Supreme Spirit The ecstasies induced by tantric rites produce this here in a preliminary form to be made perfect in the liberated soul This is not the craze of a few hysterical devotees, but the faith of millions among whom many are well educated In some aspects Sâktısm ıs sımılar to the erotic Vishnuite sects, but there is little real analogy in their ways of thinking For the essence of Vishnusm is passionate devotion and self-surrender to a deity and this idea is not prominent in the Tantras The strange inconsistencies of Sâktism are of the kind which are characteristic of Hinduism as a whole, but the contrasts are more violent and the monstrosities more conspicuous than elsewhere, wild legends and metaphysics are mixed together, and the peace that passes all understanding is to be obtained by orgies and offerings of blood.

CHAPTER XXXIII

HINDU PHILOSOPHY

1

Pintosorny is more closely connected with religion in India than in Europe. It is not a dispassionate scientific investigation hut a practical religious quest. Even the Nava school which is concerned chiefly with formal logic promises that by the removal of false knowledge it can emancipate the soul and give the bliss of salvation. Nor are the expressions avatem or school of philosophs commonly used to render darlana altogether happy. The word is derived from the root drift to see and means a way of looking at things. As such a way of looking is supposed to be both comprehen ive and onlerly it is more or less what we call philosophical but the points of view are so special and to various that the result is not always what we call a philosophical system. Madhava at list of Darvanas Includes Buddhism and Jainlim which are commonly regarded as separate religions as well as the Lasapata and Saiva which are sects of Hindnian. The Damana of Jamini is merely a discussion of general questions relating to exercises the Nahva Darsana examines louic and thetone the Limniya Darsana treats of grammar and the nature of language, but claims that it ought to be studied as the means for attaining the chief end of man 2

Six of the Damanan have received special prominence and are often called the six Orthodox Schools. They are the Nyaya and Valseshika. Saakhya and Valseshika. Saakhya and Valseshika.

I In the Sarv darians safgrabs, the Lest known compendants of Indian philosophy

[•] J C. Chatterjis definition of Indian philosophy (in his fastion Realism, p. 1) interesting "By Hinda philosophy I mean that branch of the ancient learning "of the Hindas which demonstrates by reasoning propositions with regard to "(a) what a man coght to do in order to g in true happiness or (b) what he "coght to reviline by direct sperience in order to be radically and abouttely freed from suffering and to be absolutely forced in order to be radically and abouttely freed from suffering and to be absolutely forced and lines of reasoning in their support being retablished by duly qualified "suthorities."

or Vedânta The rest are either comparatively unimportant or are more conveniently treated of as religious sects. The six placed on the select list are sufficiently miscellaneous and one wonders what principle of classification can have brought them together The first two have little connection with religion, though they put forward the emancipation of the soul as their object, and I have no space to discuss them They are however important as showing that realism has a place in Indian thought in spite of its marked tendency to idealism¹ They are concerned chiefly with an examination of human faculties and the objects of knowledge, and are related to one another The special doctrine of the Vaiseshika is the theory of atoms ascribed to Kanâda It teaches that matter consists of atoms (anu) which are eternal in themselves though all combinations of them are liable to decompose The Sânkhya and Yoga are also related and represent two aspects of the same system which is of great antiquity and allied to Buddhism and Jainism Mîmâmsâs are consecutive expositions of the teaching scattered throughout the Vedic texts respecting ceremonial and the knowledge of God respectively The second Mîmâmsâ, commonly called the Vedanta, is by far the more interesting and important

The common feature in these six systems which constitutes their orthodoxy is that they all admit the authority of the Veda This implies more than our phrases revelation or inspiration of the Bible Most of the Darsanas attach importance to the pramanas, sources or standards of knowledge They are variously enumerated, but one of the oldest definitions makes them three perception (pratyaksha), inference (anumâna) and scripture (śabda) The Veda is thus formally acknowledged to have the same authority as the evidence of the senses. With this is generally coupled the doctrine that it is eternal. It was not composed by human authors, but is a body of sound existing from eternity as part of Brahman and breathed out by him when he causes the whole creation to evolve at the beginning of a world period The reputed authors are simply those who have, in Indian language, seen portions of this self-existent teaching This doctrine sounds more reasonable if restated in the form that words are the expression of thought, and that if thought is the eternal essence of both Brahman and the soul,

¹ See Chatterji's work above cited.

a similar eternity may attach to words. Some such idea is the origin of the Christian doctrine of the Logos and in many religions we find such notions as that words have a creative efficacy. To that he who knows the name of a thing has power over it. Among Mohammedans the Koran is supposed to be not merely an inspired composition but a pre-existing book revealed to Mohammed piecemeal.

It is curious that both the sacred texts-the Veda and the Koran—to which this supernatural position is ascribed should be collections of obviously human, incongruous and often insignificant documents connected with particular occasions and in no way suggesting or claiming that they are anterior to the ordinary life of man on earth It is still more extraordinary that systems of philosophy should profess to hase themselves on such works. But in reality Hindu metaphysicians are not more bound hy the past than their colleagues in other lands They do not take sompture and ask what it means but evolve their own systems and state that they are in accordance with it Sometimes scripture is ignored in the details of argument. More often the metaphymeian writes a commentary on it and holdly proves that it supports his views though its apparent meaning may be hostile. It is clear that many philosophic commentaries have been written not because the anthors really drew their inspiration from the Upanishads or Bhagavad-gita hnt because they dared not neglect such important texts. All the Vedantist schools labour to prove that they are in harmony not only with the Upanishade but with the Brahma-sutras. The philosophers of the Sankhya are more detached from literature hut though they ignore the existence of the deity they acknow ledge the Veds as a source of knowledge Their recognition however has the air of a concession to Brahmanic sentiment Isolated theories of the Sankhya can be supported by isolated passages of the Upanishads but no impartial critic can maintain that the general doctrines of the two are compatible That the Brahmans should have been willing to admit the Sankhya as a possible form of orthodoxy is a testimony both to its importance and to their liberality

It is this idea which disposes educated Hindus to believe in the m giral or sermantal power of mystic syllables and letters, though the use of such spells seems to European incredible folly

It is remarkable that the test of orthodoxy should have been the acceptance of the authority of the Veda and not a confession of some sort of theism. But on this the Brahmans did not insist. The Vedanta is truly and intensely pantheistic or theistic, but in the other philosophies the Supreme Being is either climinated or plays a small part. Thus while works which seem to be merely scientific treatises (like the Nyâya) set before themselves a religious object, other treatises, seemingly religious in scope, ignore the deity. There is a strong and ancient line of thought in India which, basing itself on the doctrine of Karma, or the inevitable consequences of the deed once done, lays stress on the efficacy of ceremonies or of asceticism or of knowledge without reference to a Supreme Being because, if he exists, he does not interfere with the workings of Karma, or with the power of knowledge to release from them

Even the Vedânta, although in a way the quintessence of Indian orthodoxy, is not a scholastic philosophy designed to support recognized dogma and ritual It is rather the orthodox method of soaring above these things It contemplates from a higher level the life of religious observances (which is the subject of the Pûrva Mîmâmsâ) and recognizes its value as a preliminary, but yet rejects it as inadequate. The Sannyasi or adept follows no caste observances, performs no sacrifices, reads no scriptures His religion is to realize in meditation the true nature, and it may be the identity, of the soul and God Good works are of no more importance for him than rites, though he does well to employ his time in teaching But Karma has ceased to exist for him "the acts of a Yogi are neither black nor white," they have no moral quality nor consequences. This is dangerous language and the doctrine has sometimes been abused But the point of the teaching is not that a Sannyasi may do what he likes but that he is perfectly emancipated from material bondage Most men are bound by their deeds, every new act brings consequences which attach the doer to the world of transmigration and create for him new existences deeds of the man who is really free have no such trammelling effects, for they are not prompted by desire nor directed to an object But since to become free he must have suppressed all desire, it is hardly conceivable that he should do anything which could be called a sin But this conviction that the task of the

sage is not to perfect any form of good conduct but to rise above both good and evil imparts to the Darśanas and even to the Upanishads a singularly non-ethical and detached tone The Yog does no harm but he has less benevolence and active sympathy than the Buddhist monk. It was a feeling that such an attitude has its dangers and is only for the few who have fought their way to the heights where it can safely be adepted that led the Brahmans in all ages to lay stress on the house-holder's life as the proper preparation for a philosophic old age. Despite utterances to the contrary they never as a body approved the ideal of a life entirely devoted to asceticism and not occupied with social drites during one period. The extra ordinary ease with which the higher phases of Indian thought shake off all formalities social, religious and ethical was counterhalanced by the multitudinous regulations devised to keep the majority in a law shiding life.

None of the six Daráanas concern themselves with ethics. The more important deal with the transcendental progress of sages who have avowedly abandoned the life of works and even those which treat of that lower life are occupied with ritual and logic rather than with anything which can be termed moral science. We must not infer that Indian literature is altogether numberal. The doctring of Karma is intensely ethical and ethical discussions are more prominent in the Epies than in Homer besides being the subject of much gnomio and didactic poetry. But there is no mistaking the fact that the Hindu seeks for salvation by knowledge. He feels the power of deeds hut it is only the lower happiness which lies in doing good works and enjoying their fruits. The higher hiss consists in being entirely free from the bondage of deeds and Karma.

All the Darsanas have as a common principle this idea of Karma with the attendant doctrines that rehirth is a consequence of action and that salvation is an escape from rehirth. They all treat more or less of the sources and standards of knowledge and all recognize the Veda as one of them There is not much more that can be said of them all in common for the Vedanta ignores matter and the Saakhya ignores God but they all share a conviction which presents difficulties to Europeans. It is that the state in which the mind ceases to think discursively and is concentrated on itself is not only desurable but the summum

borum The European is inclined to say that such a state is distinguished from non-existence only by not being permanent. But the Hindu will have none of this. He holds that mind and thought are material though composed of the subtlest matter, and that when thought ceases the immaterial soul (purusha or âtman) far from being practically non-existent is more truly existent than before and enjoys untroubled its own existence and its own pature.

Of the three most important systems the Sankhya, Toga and Vedanta the first and last are on most points opposed both are ancient, but perhaps the products of different intellectual centres. In one sense the Yoga may be described as a theistic modification of the Sankhya from another and perhaps juster point of view it appears rather as a very ancient science of asceticism and contemplation susceptible of combination with various metaphysical theories.

2

We may consider first of all the Sankhya¹ Tradition ascribes its invention to Kapila but he is a mere name unconnected with any date or other circumstance. It is probable that the principal ideas of the Sankhya germinated several centuries before our era but we have no evidence whatever as to when they were first formulated in Sûtras. The name was current as the designation of a philosophical system fairly early² but the accepted text-books are all late. The most respected is the Sankhya-pravacana³, attributed to Kapila but generally assigned by European critics to the fourteenth century and Considerably more ancient but still clearly a metrical epitome of a system already existing, is the Sankhya-Karika a poem of seventy verses which was translated into Chinese about 560 and and may be a few centuries older. Max Muller regarded the Tattvasam sa, a short tract consisting chiefly of an enumeration of

topics as the most ancient Sankhya formulary but the opinion of scholars as to its ago is not unanimous. The name Sankhya is best interpreted as signifying enumeration in allusion to the predilection of the school for numbered lists a predilection equally noticeable in early Buddhism

The object of the system set forth in these works is strictly practical In the first words of the Sankhya pravacana the completo cessation of suffering is the end of man and the Sankhya is devised to enable him to attain it Another formula divides the contents of the Sankhya into four topics-(a) that from which man must liberate himself or suffering (b) libera tion or the cessation of suffering (c) the cause of suffering or the failure to discriminate between the sool and matter (d) the means of liberation, or discriminating knowledge This division obviously resembles the four Truths of Buddhism The object proposed is the same and the method analogous though not identical for Buddhism speaks as a religion and lays greater stress on conduct

The theory of the Sankhya briefly stated is this There exist uncreated and from all eternity on the one side matter and on the other individual souls. The world as we know it is due entirely to the evolution of matter Suffering is the result of souls being in bondage to matter but this bondage does not affect the nature of the soul and in one sense is not real for when souls acquire discriminating knowledge and see that they are not matter then the bondage ceases and they attain to eternal peace

The system is thus founded on dualism the eternal antithesis between matter and soul. Many of its details are comprised in the simple enumeration of the twenty five Tattvas or principles¹ as given in the Tattva-samasa and other works. Of these one is Purusha the soul or self which is neither produced nor productive and the other twenty four are all modifications of Prakriti or matter which is unproduced hot prodoctive Prakriti means the original ground form of external existence (as distinguished from Vikrit modified form). It is uncreated and indestructible but it has a tendency to variation or evolu-

Or topics. It is difficult to find any one English word which on one the twenty five tattvas, for they include both general and special ideas, mind and matter on the one hand; special organs on the other

tion The Sânkhya holds in the strictest sense that ex nihilo nihil fit. Substance can only be produced from substance and properly speaking there is no such thing as origination but only manifestation. Causality is regarded solely from the point of view of material causes, that is to say the cause of a pot is clay and not the action of the potter. Thus the effect or product is nothing else than the cause in another shape production is only manifestation and destruction is the resolution of a product into its cause. Instead of holding like the Buddhists that there is no such thing as existence but only becoming, the Sânkhya rather affirms that there is nothing but successive manifestations of real existence. If clay is made into a pot and the pot is then broken and ground into clay again, the essential fact is not that a pot has come into existence and disappeared but that the clay continuously existing has undergone certain changes.

The tendency to evolution inherent in matter is due to the three gunas They are sattva, explained as goodness and happiness, rajas, as passion and movement, and tamas, as darkness, heaviness and ignorance The word Guna is not easy to translate, for it seems to mean more than quality or mode and to signify the constituents of matter Hence one cannot help feeling that the whole theory is an attempt to explain the unity and diversity of matter by a phrase, but all Hinduism is permeated by this phrase and theory When the three gunas are in equilibrium then matter Prakriti is quiescent, undifferentiated and unmanifested But as soon as the equilibrium is disturbed and one of the gunas becomes preponderant, then the process of differentiation and manifestation begins The disturbance of equilibrium is due to the action of the individual Purushas or souls on Prakriti, but this action is mechanical and due to proximity not to the volution of the souls and may be compared to the attraction of a magnet for iron Thus at the beginning of the evolutionary process we have quiescent matter in equilibrium over against this are souls innumerable, equally quiescent but exerting on matter a mechanical force This upsets the equilibrium and creates a movement which takes at first the form of development and later of decay and collapse Then matter returns to its quiescent state to be again excited by the Purushas and commence its world-making evolution anew The

¹ Sânkh Prayac 1 96

doctrine that evolution dissolution and quiescence succeed one another periodically is an integral part of the Sāhkhya¹

The unmodified Prakriti stands first on the list of twenty five principles When evolution begins it produces first Buddhi or intellect, secondly Ahamkara which is perhaps best rendered by individuality and next the five Tanmatras or subtle elements Buddhi though meaning intellect is used rather in the sense of ascertaining or perception. It is the faculty by which we distinguish objects and perceive what they are It differs also from our conception of intellect in being like Ahambars and all the subsequent developments of Prakrit material and must not be confused with the immaterial Purusha or soul. It is in fact the organ of thought not in the sense of the brain or anything tangible but a subtle substratum of all mental processes But m what sense is it possible to say that this Buddhi exists apart from individuals who have not come into being at this stage of cosmic evolution? This difficulty is not met by talking as some commentators do of cosmio as well as individual Buddhi for even if all Prakriti is illuminated by Buddhi at this stage it is difficult to see what result can occur To make the process of development coherent we must think of it not as a series of chronologically successive stages but rather as a logically connected series and an analysis of completely evolved beings just as we might say that bones are covered with flesh and flesh with skin without affirming that the bones have a separate and prior existence Aharpkara which is like Briddh strictly speaking a physical organ means Ego-maker and denotes the sense of personality and individuality almost the will. In the language of Indian philosophy it is the delusion or misconception which makes the soul imagine itself a personal agent and think I see I hear I slay I am slain, whereas the soul is really incapable of action and the acts are those of Prakrita.

The five subtle elements are the essences of sound tonch colour savour and odour conceived as physical principles imperceptible to ordinary beings, though gods and Yogis can perceive them The name Tanmatra which signifies that only indicates that they are concerned exclusively with one sense

¹ Garbe Die Schliges Philosophie, p. 223. He considers that it aproad thence to other schools: This involves the seemption that the Schlighty is prior to Buddhism and J histo.

Thus whereas the gross elements, such as earth, appeal to more than one sense and can be seen, felt and smelt, the subtle element of sound is restricted to the sense of hearing. It exists in all things audible but has nothing to do with their tangibility or visibility There remain sixteen further modifications to make up the full list of twenty-four They are the five organs of sense1, the five organs of action2, Manas or mind, regarded as a sixth and central sense, and also as the seat of will, and the five gross elements earth, water, light, air and ether The Sankhya distinguishes between the gross and the subtle body The latter, called lingasarîra, is defined in more than one way, but it is expressly stated in the Kârikâs3 that it is composed of "Buddhi and the rest, down to the subtle elements" It practically corresponds to what we call the soul, though totally distinct from Purusha or soul in the Sânkhya sense It constitutes the character and essential being of a person. It is the part which transmigrates from one gross body to another, and is responsible for the acts committed in each existence. Its union with a gross body constitutes birth, its departure death Except in the case of those who attain emancipation, its existence and transmigration last for a whole world-period at the end of which come quiescence and equilibrium In it are imprinted the Samskâras4, the predispositions which pass on from one existence to another and are latent in the new-born mind like seeds in a field

By following the evolution of matter we have now accounted for intellect, individuality, the senses, the moral character, will, and a principle which survives death and transmigrates. It might therefore be supposed that we have exhaustively analysed the constitution of a human being. But that is not the view of the Sânkhya. The evolution of Buddhi, Ahamkâra, the subtle body and the gross body is a physical process and the result is also physical, though parts of it are of so fine a substance that ordinary senses cannot perceive them. This physical organism becomes a living being (which term includes gods and animals) when it is connected with a soul (purusha) and consciousness depends on this connection, for neither is matter when isolated conscious, nor is the soul, at least not in our sense of the word

¹ Ears, skin, eyes, tongue and nose

Voice, hands, feet, organs of excretion and generation
 Verse 40
 Cf the Buddhist Sankhâras

Though the soul is neither the life which ends at death (for that is the gross hody) nor yet the life which passes from existence to existence (for that is the subtle body) yet it is the vitalizing element which renders life possible

The Sankhya like Jamism regards souls as innumerable and distinct from one another The word Purusha must have originally referred to the manikin supposed to inhabit the body and there is some reason to think that the earliest teachers of the Sankhya held that it was infinitely small But in the existing text books it is described as infinitely large. It is immaterial and without beginning end parts dimensions or qualities incapable of change motion or action These definitions may be partly due to the influence of the Vedanta and though we know little about the historical development of the Sankhya there are traces of a compromise between the old teaching of a soul held in bondage and struggling for release and later conceptions of a soul which heing infinite and passionless hardly seems capable of submitting to hondage Though the soul cannot be said to transmigrate to act or to suffer still through consciousness it makes the suffering of the world felt and though in its essence it remains eternally unchanged and unaffected yet it experiences the reflection of the suffering which goes on Just as a crystal (to use the Indian simile) allows a red flower to be seen through it and remains unchanged although it seems to become red so does the sonl remain unchanged by sorrow or joy although the illusion that it suffers or rejoices may be present in the consciousness

The task of the soul is to free itself from illusion and thus from hondage. For strictly speaking the bondage does not exist it is caused by want of discrimination. Like the Vedânta the Sâńkhya regards all this troubled life as being so far as the soul is concerned mere illusion. But while the Vedânta hids the soul know its identity with Brahman the Sâńkhya hids it isolate itself and know that the acts and feelings which seem to be its own have really nothing to do with it. They are for the soul nothing hit a spectacle or play originating in its connection with Prakriti and it is actually said. Wherefore no soul is bound or is liberated or transmigrates. It is Prakriti, which has many hodily forms which is hound liberated and trans

migrates" It is in Buddhi or intellect, which is a manifestation of Prakriti, that the knowledge of the difference between the soul and Prakriti must arise Thus though the Sânkhya reposes on a fundamental dualism, it is not the dualism of good and evil Soul and matter differ not because the first is good and the second bad, but because the first is unchangeable and the second constantly changing. Matter is often personified as a Her motives are unselfish and she works for the liberation of the soul "As a dancer after showing herself on the stage ceases to dance, so does Prakriti cease when she has made herself manifest to the soul" That is to say, when a soul once understands that it is distinct from the material world, that world ceases to exist for that particular soul, though of course the play continues for others "Generous Prakriti, endowed with Gimas, causes by manifold means without benefit to herself, the benefit of the soul, which is devoid of Gunas and makes no return¹" The condition of the liberated soul, corresponding to the moksha and nirvana of other systems, is described as Kaivalya, that is, complete separation from the material world, but, as among Buddhists and Vedântists, he who has learnt the truth is liberated even before death, and can teach others He goes on living, just as the wheel continues to revolve for some time after the potter has ceased to turn it After death, complete liberation without the possibility of re-birth is attained The Sânkhya manuals do not dwell further on the character of this liberation we only know that the eternal soul is then completely isolated and aloof from all suffering and material things Liberation is compared to profound sleep, the difference being that in dreamless sleep there is a seed, that is, the possibility of return to ordinary life, whereas when liberation is once attained there is no such return

Both in its account of the world process and in its scheme of salvation the Sânkhya ignores theism in the same way as did the Buddha Indeed the text-books go beyond this and practically deny the existence of a personal supreme deity. We are told² that the existence of God cannot be proved, for whatever exists must be either bound or free and God can be neither. We cannot think of him as bound and yet he cannot be free like an emancipated soul, for freedom implies the absence of desire and hence

¹ Sânkh Kâr 59-61

² Sânkh Pravac I 92-95.

of the impulse to creato Similarly1 the consequences of good and evil deeds are due to Karma and not to the government of God Such a ruler is inconceivable for if he governs the world according to the action of Karma his existence is superfluous and if he is affected by selfish motives or desire then he cannot be free. It is true that these passages speak of there being no proof of God s existence and hence commentators both Indian and European who skrink from atheism represent the Sankhya as suspending judgment. But if a republican constitution duly describes the President and other authorities in whom the powers of government are vested can we argue that it is not un monarchical because it does not expressly say there is no king! In the Sankhya there is no more place for a deity than for a king in a republican constitution. Moreover the Sûtras en deavour to prove that the idea of God is inconceivable and self-contradictory and some commentaries speak plainly on this subject? Thus the Sinkhya tattva kaumudi commenting on Karika 57 argues that the world cannot have been created by God whether we suppose him to have been impelled by selfish ness or kindness. For if God is perfect he can have no need to create a world. And if his motive is kindness is it reasonable to call into existence beings who while non-existent had no suffering simply in order to show kindness in relieving them from suffering! A benevolent deity ought to create only happy creatures, not a mixed world like the one we see?

Arguments like this were not condemned by the Brahmans so strongly as we should expect, but they did not like them and though they did not excommunicate the Sankhya in the same way as Buddhism they greatly preferred a theistic variety of it called Yora.

The Yoga and Sankhya are mentioned together in the Svetasvatara Upanishad and the Bhagavad-gitas says that he sees truly who sees them as one The difference has in treatment

Rankh Prayac, v 2-12.

Thus Sloth Pravac v 46 says Tatkartuh porushayabhivat and the commentary explains livars pretibed hid Ill feelish "supply the words, because we dany that there is a suppress God.

Nevertheless the commentator Vijfilina Bhikahu (c. 1500) tries to explain away this attesim and to recordle the filshhya with the Vedinta. See Garbe e proface to his edition of the Sédihya-pravaona-bhishya.

⁴ VL 13.

rather than in substance Whereas the Sankhya is mainly theoretical, the principal topic of the Yoga is the cultivation of that frame of mind which leads to emancipation and the methods and exercises proper to this end Further, the Yoga recognizes a deity This distinction may seem of capital importance but the god of the Yoga (called Îśvara or the Lord) is not its foundation and essence as Brahman is of the Vedânta¹ Devotion to God is recognized as one among other methods for attaining emancipation and if this particular procedure, which is mentioned in relatively few passages, were omitted, the rest of the system would be unaffected. It is therefore probable that the theistic portions of the Yoga are an addition made under Brahmanic influence But taking the existing Sûtras of the two philosophies, together with their commentaries, it may be said that the Yoga implies most of the Sankhya theory and the Sânkhya most of the Yoga practice, for though it does not go into details it prescribes meditation which is to be perfected by regulating the breathing and by adopting certain postures I have already spoken of the methods and discipline prescribed by the Yoga and need not dwell further on the topic now

That Buddhism has some connection with the Sânkhya and Yoga has often been noticed² Some of the ideas found in the Sânkhya and some of the practices prescribed by the Yoga are clearly anterior to Gotama and may have contributed to his mental development, but circumspection is necessary in the use of words like Yoga, Sânkhya and Vedânta. If we take them to mean the doctrinal systems contained in certain sûtras, they are clearly all later than Buddhism. But if we assume, as we may safely do, that the doctrine is much older than the manuals in which we now study it, we must also remember that when we leave the texts we are not justified in thinking of a system but merely of a line of thought. In this sense it is clear that many ideas of the Sânkhya appear among the Jains, but the Jains know nothing of the evolution of matter described by the Sânkhya manuals and think of the relation of the soul to matter

¹ Isvara is apparently a purusha like others but greater in glory and untouched by human infirmities. Yoga sûtras, r 24-26

² It is a singular fact that both the Sânkhya kârikâ bhâshya and a treatise on the Vaiseshika philosophy are included in the Chinese Tripitaka (Nanjio, Cat Nos 1300 and 1295) A warning is however added that they are not "the law of the Buddha"

in a more materialistic way The notion of the separate eternal soul was the object of the Buddha s persistent polemics and was apparently a popular doctrine when he began preaching The ascetic and meditative exercises prescribed by the Yoga were also known before his time and the Pitakaa do not hide the fact that he received instruction from two Yogis But though he was acquainted with the theories and practices which grew into the Yoga and Sankhya, be did not found his religion on them for he rejected the idea of a soul which has to be delivered and did not make salvation dependent on the attainment of trances If there was in his time a systematic Sankhya philosophy explaining the nature of suffering and the way of release it is strange that the Pitakes contain no oriticism of it for though to us who see these ancient sects in perspective the resemblance of Buddhism to the Sankhya is clear there can be little doubt that the Buddha would have regarded it as a most erroneous heresy because it proposes to attain the same objects as his own teaching but by different methods

Sankhya ideas are not found in the oldest Upanishads but they appear (though not in a connected form) in those of the second stratum such as the Svetāsvatara and Katha It there fore seems probable though not proven that the origin of these ideas is to be sought not in the early Brahmanic schools but in the intellectual atmosphere non theistic non-secordotal but audaciously speculative which prevailed in the central and eastern part of northern India in the auth century BC The Sankhya recognizes no merit in sacrifices or indeed in good works of any kind even as a preliminary discipline and in many details is un Brahmanio Unlike the Vedanta Sûtras it does not exclude Sudras from higher studies but states that there are eight classes of gods and five of animals but only one of men A teacher must have himself attained emancipation but there is no provision that be must be a Brahman Perhaps the fables and parables which form the basis of the fourth book of the Sankhya Satras point to some more popular form of instruction annular to the discourses of the Buddha. We may suppose that this ancient un Brahmanie school took shape in several sects especially James and Buddhism and used the Yoga discipline But the value and efficacy of that discipline were admitted almost universally and several centuries later it was

formulated in the Sûtras which bear the name of Patañjali in a shape acceptable to Brahmans, not to Buddhists If, as some scholars think, the Yoga sûtras are not earlier than 450 A D 1 it seems probable that it was Buddhism which stimulated the Brahmans to codify the principles and practice of Yoga, for the Yogâcâra school of Buddhism arose before the fifth century The Sânkhya is perhaps a somewhat similar brahmanization of the purely speculative ideas which may have prevailed in Magadha and Kosala² Though these districts were not strongholds of Brahmanism, yet it is clear from the Pitakas that they contained a considerable Brahman population who must have been influenced by the ideas current around them but also must have wished to keep in touch with other Brahmans The Sânkhya of our manuals represents such an attempt at conciliation It is an elaboration in a different shape of some of the ideas out of which Buddhism spring but in its later history it is connected with Brahmanism rather than Buddhism. When it is set forth in Sûtras in a succinct and isolated form, its divergence from ordinary Brahmanic thought is striking and in this form it does not seem to have ever been influential and now is professed by only a few Pandits, but, when combined in a literary and eclectic spirit with other ideas which may be incompatible with it in strict logic, it has been a mighty influence in Indian religion, orthodox as well as unorthodox Such conceptions as Prakriti and the Gunas colour most of the post-Vedic religious literature Their working may be plainly traced ın the Mahâbhârata, Manu and the Purânas³, and the Tantras identify with Prakriti the goddesses whose worship they teach. The unethical character of the Sankhya enabled it to form the strangest alliances with aboriginal beliefs

¹ See Jacobi, *J A O S* Dec 1910, p 24 But if Vasubandhu lived about 280–360, as is now generally believed, allusions to the Yogâcâra school in the Yogâ sûtras do not oblige us to place the sûtras much later than 300 A.D since the Yogâcâra was founded by Asanga, the brother of Vasubandhu

² I find it hard to accept Deussen's view (*Philosophy of the Upanishads*, chap x) that the Sânkhya has grown out of the Vedânta

³ See eg Vishnu Purâna, I chaps 2, 4, 5 The Bhagavad gîtâ, though almost the New Testament of Vedantists, uses the words Sânkhya and Yoga in several passages as meaning speculative truth and the religious life and is concerned to show that they are the same See II. 39, III 3, V 4, 5

•

Unlike the Sankhya the Vedanta Is seen in its most influential and perhaps most advantageous aspect when stated in its most obstract form. We need not enquire into its place of origin for it is clearly the final intellectual product of the schools which produced the Upanishads and the literature which preceded them and though it may be difficult to say at what point we are justified in applying the name Vedanta to growing Brih manie thought, the growth is continuous. The name means simply End of the Vede. In its ideas the Vedanta shows great breadth end freedom vet it respects the prejudices and proprietles of Brahmanism. It teaches that Cosi is all things but interdicts this knowledge to the lower castes, it treats rites as a merely preliminary discipline but it does not deny their value for certain states of life.

The Vedanta is the boldest and the most characteristic form of Indian thought. For Asia and perhaps for the world at large Buddhirm is more important but on Indian sell it has been vanquished by the Vedanta especially that form of it known as the Advalta. In all ages the main idea of this philo sophy has been the same and may be summed up in the formula that the soul is God and that God is everything. If this formula is not completely accurate—end a sentence which both translates and epitomizes alien metaphysics can hardly aspire to complete accuracy—the error lies in the fact to which I have called attention elsewhere that our words. God and soul do not cover quite the same ground as the Indian words which they are used to translate.

Many scholars both Indian and European will demur to the high place here assigned to the Advaita philosophy. I am far from claiming that the doctrine of Sankarn is either primitive or unchallenged. Other forms of the Vedânta existed before him and became very strong after him. But so far as a synthesis of opinions which are divergent in dotalls can be just he gives a just synthesis and elaboration of the Upanishads. It is true that his teaching as to the higher and lower Brahman and as to Mâyâ has affinities to Mahayanist Buddhism and that later seets were

21

¹ It is purhaps hardly processary to add that there has been endices discussion as to the sense and manner in which the soul is God.

repelled by the severe and impersonal character of his philosophy, but the doctrine of which he is the most thorough and eminent exponent, namely that God or spirit is the only reality and one with the human soul, asserts itself in almost all Hindu sects, even though their other doctrines may seem to contradict it

This line of thought is so persistent and has so many ramifications, that it is hard to say what is and what is not If we take literature as our best guide we may distinguish four points of importance marked by the Upanishads, the Brahma-Sûtras, Sankara and Râmânuja

I have said something elsewhere of the Upanishads These works do not profess to form a systematic whole (though later Hinduism regards them as such) and when European scholars speak of them collectively, they generally mean the older members of the collection These may justly be regarded as the ancestors of the Vedânta, masmuch as the tone of thought prevalent in them is incipient Vedântism. It rejects dualism and regards the universe as a unity not as plurality, as something which has issued from Brahman or is pervaded by Brahman and in any case depends on Brahman for its significance and Brahman is God in the pantheistic sense, totally disconnected with mythology and in most passages impersonal The knowledge of Brahman is salvation he who has it, goes to Brahman or becomes Brahman More rarely we find statements of absolute identity such as "Being Brahman, he goes to Brahman1" But though the Upanishads say that the soul goes to or is Brahman, that the world comes from or is-Brahman. that the soul is the whole universe and that a knowledge of these truths is the one thing of importance, these ideas are not combined into a system They are simply the thoughts of the wise, not always agreeing in detail, and presented as independent utterances, each with its own value

One of the most important of these wise men is Yâjñavalkya², the hero of the Brihad Aranyaka Upanishad and a great name, to whom are ascribed doctrines of which he probably never heard The Upanishad represents him as developing and completing the views of Sândilya and Uddâlaka Âruni The former taught³ that the Âtman or Self within the heart, smaller than

¹ Brihad Åran iv 4 6, th i iv 10 "I am Brahman"
² See above Book ii chaps v and vi ³ Cl

³ Chând Up III 14

but the emphasis and direction of the thought are different The Sânkhya looks at the world and says that salvation lies in escape into something which has nothing in common with it But the Vedântist looks towards Brahman, and his pessimism is merely the feeling that everything which is not wholly and really Brahman is unsatisfactory In the later developments of the system, pessimism almost disappears, for the existence of suffering is not the first Truth but an illusion the soul, did it but know it, is Brahman and Brahman is bliss. So far as the Vedânta has any definite practical teaching, it does not wholly despise action Action is indeed inferior to knowledge and when knowledge is once obtained works are useless accessories, but the four stages of a Brahman's career, including household life, are approved in the Vedânta Sûtras, though there is a disposition to say that he who has the necessary religious aptitudes can adopt the ascetic life at any time. The occupations of this ascetic life are meditation and absorption or samâdhi, the state in which the meditating soul becomes so completely blended with God on whom it meditates, that it has no consciousness of its separate existence1

As indicated above the so-called books of Sruti or Vedic literature are not consecutive treatises, but rather responsa prudentium, utterances respecting ritual and theology ascribed to poets, sacrificers and philosophers who were accepted as authorities When these works came to be regarded as an orderly revelation, even orthodoxy could not shut its eyes to their divergences, and a comprehensive exegesis became necessary to give a conspectus of the whole body of truth This investigation of the meaning of the Veda as a connected whole is called Mîmâmsâ, and is divided into two branches, the earlier (pûrva) and the later (uttara) The first is represented by the Pûrvamîmâmsâ-sûtras of Jaimini² which are called earlier (pûrva) not in the chronological sense but because they deal with rites which come before knowledge, as a preparatory stage It is interesting to find that Jaimini was accused of atheism and defended by Kumârıla Bhatta The defence is probably just, for Jaimini does

¹ Maitrayana Bråh Upanishad, vi 20 "Having seen his own self as The Self he becomes selfless, and because he is selfless he is without limit, without cause, absorbed in thought"

There is nothing to fix the date of this work except that Kumarila in commenting on it in the eighth century treats it as old and authoritative. It was perhaps composed in the early Gupta period

not so much deny God as ignore him. But what is truly extraordinary though characteristic of much Indian literature about ritual is that a work dealing with the general theory of religious worship should treat the deity as an Irrelevant tople. The Purva minamsa discusses ecremonles prescribed by an eternal solf-existing Veda. The reward of sacrifice is not given hy God. When the result of an act does not appear at once Jaimni teaches that there is all the same produced a super sensuous principle called apûrra which bears fruit at a later time and thus a sacrifice leads the offerer to heaven. This theory is really tantamount to placing magic on a philosophic hasis

Badardyana s sutras which represent the other branch of the Mimama show a type of thought more advanced and profound than Jalmini s They consist of 5.5 aphonems-less than a fifth of Jaimini's voluminous work-and represent the out come of considerable discussion posterior to the Upanishads for they cite the opinions of seven other teachers and also refer to Badarayana himself hy name Hence they may be a compendium of his teaching made hy his pupils. Their date is anknown hut Sankara evidently regards thom as ancient and there were several commentators before him! Like most sutras these aphorisms are often obscare and are hardly lateaded to be more than a mnemotechnic summary of the doctrine to be supple mented hy oral instruction or a commentary Hence it is difficult to define the teaching of Badarayana as distinguished from that of the Upanishads on the one hand and that of his commentators on the other or to say exactly what stage he marks in the development of thought except that it is the stage of attempted synthesis. Ho teaches that Brahman is the origin of the world and that with him should all knowledge religion and effort be concerned. By meditation on him the soul is released and somehow associated with him. But it is not clear that we have any warrant for finding in the sutras (as does Sankara) the distinction between the higher and lower Brahman or the doctrine of the unreality of the world (Maya) or the absolute identity of the individual soul with Brahman We are

* Such attempts must have begun early The Maitriyan Upanishad (n. 3) talks of Sarvopanishadvidyā, the science of all the Upanishads.

¹ Keith in J.R.A.S. 1907 p. 492 says it is becoming more and more probable that Bédarâyana cannot be dated after the Christian era. Jacobi in JAO.S 1911 p. 29 concludes that the Brahma-streas were composed between 200 and 450 A.D.

told that the state of the released soul is non-separation (avibhâga) from Brahman, but this is variously explained by the commentators according to their views. Though the sûtras are the acknowledged text-book of Vedântism, their utterances are in practice less important than subsequent explanations of them. As often happens in India, the comment has overgrown and superseded the text

The most important of these commentators is Sankarâcarya¹ Had he been a European philosopher anxious that his ideas should bear his name, or a reformer like the Buddha with little respect for antiquity, he would doubtless have taken his place in history as one of the most original teachers of Asia. But since his whole object was to revive the traditions of the past and suppress his originality by attempting to prove that his ideas are those of Bâdarây and and the Upanishads, the magnitude of his contribution to Indian thought is often under-rated. We need not suppose that he was the inventor of all the ideas in his works of which we find no previous expression. He doubtless (like the Buddha) summarized and stereotyped an existing mode of thought but his summary bears the uninistakeable mark of his own personality.

Sankara's teaching is known as Advaita or absolute monism Nothing exists except the one existence ealled Brahman or Paramâtman, the Highest Self Brahman is pure being and thought (the two being regarded as identical), without qualities Brahman is not intelligent but is intelligence itself. The human soul (jîva) is identical with the Highest Self, not merely as a part of it, but as being itself the whole universal indivisible Brahman This must not be misunderstood as a blasphemous assertion that man is equal to God The soul is identical with Brahman only in so far as it forgets its separate liuman existence, and all that we call self and individuality A man who has any pride in himself is ipso facto differentiated from Brahman as much as is possible. Yet in the world in which we move we see not only differentiation and multiplicity but also a plurality of individual souls apparently distinct from one another and from Brahman This appearance is due to the principle of Mâyâ which is associated with Brahman and is the cause of the phenomenal world If Mâyâ is translated by illusion it must

¹ See above, p 207 ff

tion is of great importance, for it enables him to reconcile passages in the scriptures which otherwise are contradictory. Worship and meditation which make Iśvara their object do not lead directly to emancipation. They lead to the heavenly world of Iśvara, in which the soul, though glorified, is still a separate individual existence. But for him who meditates on the Highest Brahman and knows that his true self is that Brahman, Mâyâ and its works cease to exist. When he dies nothing differentiates him from that Brahman who alone is bliss and no new individual existence arises.

The crux of this doctrine is in the theory of Mâyâ If Mâyâ appertains to Brahman, if it exists by his will, then why is it an evil, why is release to be desired? Ought not the individual souls to serve Brahman's purpose, and would not it be better served by living gladly in the phenomenal world than by passing beyond it? But such an idea has rarely satisfied Indian thinkers If, on the other hand, Mâyâ is an evil or at least an imperfection, if it is like rust on a blade or dimness in a mirror, if, so to speak, the edges of Brahman are weak and break into fragments which are prevented by their own feebleness from realizing the unity of the whole, then the mind wonders uneasily if, in spite of all assurances to the contrary, this does not imply that Brahman is subject to some external law, to some even more mysterious Beyond But Sankara and the Brahma-sûtras will not tolerate such doubts According to them, Brahman in making the world is not actuated by a motive in the ordinary sense, for that would imply human action and passion, but by a sportive impulse1 "We see in every-day life," says Sankara, "that certain doings of princes, who have no desires left unfulfilled, have no reference to any extraneous purpose but proceed from mere sportfulness We further see that the process of inhalation and exhalation is going on without reference to any extraneous purpose, merely following the law of its own nature Analogously, the activity of the Lord also may be supposed to be mere sport, proceeding from his own nature without reference to any purpose2" This

 $^{^1}$ Vedânta sûtras, 11. 1 32–3, and Sankaras's commentary, SBE vol. xxxiv pp 356–7 Râmânuja holds a similar view and it is very common in India, $e\,g$ Vishnu Pur 1 chap 2

² See too a remarkable passage in his comment on Brahma sûtras, ii 1 23 "As soon as the consciousness of non difference arises in us, the transmigratory "state of the individual soul and the creative quality of Brahman vanish at once,

is no worse than many other explanations of the scheme of things and the origin of ovil but it is not really an explanation. It means that the Advalta is so engrossed in cestatic contemplation of the omnipresent Brahman that it pays no attention to a mere by product like the physical universe. How or why that universe with all its imperfections comes to exist it does not explain

Let the boldness and ample aweep of Sankara s thought have in them something greater than logic 1 something recalling the grandeur of plains and seas limited only by the horizon nay rather those abyses of space wherein on clear nights worlds and sums innumerable are scattered like sparks by what be would call God s playfulness. European thought attains to these alititudes hat cannot live in them for long it demands and funcies for itself just what Sankara will not grant the motive of Brahman the idea that he is working for some consummation not that he was is and will be oternally complete unaffected by the drama of the universe and yet identical with souls that know him

Even in India the austere and impersonal character of Sankara e system provoked dissent. He was accused of being a Biddhist in disguise and the accusation raises an interesting question in the history of Indian philosophy to which I have referred in a previous chapter. The affinity existing between the Madhyamika form of Buddhist metaphysics and the earlier Vedanta can hardly be disputed and the only question is which borrowed from the other. Such questions are exceedingly difficult to decide for from time to time new ideas arose in India permeated the common intellectual atmosphere and were worked up by all sects into the forms that suited each best. In the present instance all that can be said is that certain ideas about the unreality of the world and about absolute and relative "the whole phenomenon of plantity which springs from wrong knowledge being "the whole phenomenon of plantity which springs from wrong knowledge being

[&]quot;the whole phenomenon of plarality which springs from wrong knowledge being sublated by perfect knowledge and what becomes then of the creation and the faults of not doing what is beneficial and the like?"

¹ Although San's as commentary is a piece of severe ratio-ination, especially in its continuouslid parts, yet he holds that the knowledge of Ben's in depends not on re-sening but on scripture and intuition. "The pressuitation before the mind of the Highest Ball's effected by meditation and devotion. Brah. Sut. III. 2.24. See too his comments on L. 1 2 and II. 1 11

See Sukhtankar Teachings of Veild in according to Réménuja, pp. 17-19
 W Der sellers Veildain, and De la Vallée Poussin in J.R.A.S 1910, p. 129

truth appear in several treatises both Brahmanic and Buddhist, such as the works of Sankara and Nâgârjima and the Gaudapâdakârikâs, and of these the works attributed to Nâgârjima seem to be the oldest. It must also be remembered that according to Chinese accounts Bodhidharma preached at Nanking in 520 a doctrine very similar to the advarta of Sankara though expressed in Buddhist phraseology.

Of other forms of Vedântism, the best known is the system of Râmânuja generally called Visishtâdvaita¹ It is an evidence of the position held by the Vedânta philosophy that religious leaders made a commentary on the Sûtras of Bâdarâyana the vehicle of their most important views. Unlike Sankara, Râmânuja is sectarian and identifies his supreme deity with Vishnu or Nârâyana, but this is little more than a matter of nomenclature. His interpretation is modern in the sense that it pursues the line of thought which leads up to the modern sects. But that line of thought has ancient roots. Râmânuja followed a commentator named Bodhâyana who was anterior to Sankara, and in the opinion of so competent a judge as Thibaut he gives the meaning of Bâdarâyana in many points more exactly than his great rival. On the other hand his interpretation often strains the most important utterances of the Upanishads.

Râmânuja admits no distinction between Brahman and Îśvara, but the distinction is abolished at the expense of abolishing the idea of the Higher Brahman, for his Brahman is practically the Îśvara of Sankara Brahman is not without attributes but possessed of all imaginable good attributes, and though nothing exists apart from him, like the antithesis of purusha and prakriti in the Sânkhya, yet the world is not as in Sankara's system merely Mâyâ Matter and souls (cit and acit) form the body of Brahman who both comprises and pervades

¹ This term is generally rendered by qualified, that is not absolute, Monism But South Indian scholars give a slightly different explanation and maintain that it is equivalent to Visishtayor advartam or the identity of the two qualified (visishta) conditions of Brahman Brahman is qualified by cit and acit, souls and matter, which stand to him in the relation of attributes. The two conditions are Kâryâvasthâ or period of cosmic manifestation in which cit and acit are manifest and Karanâ vasthâ or period of cosmic dissolution, when they exist only in a subtle state within Brahman These two conditions are not different (advartam). See Śrinivas Iyengar, J. R. A. S. 1912, p. 1073 and also Sri Râmânuyâcârya. His Philosophy by Rajagopa lacharyar.

all things which are merely modes of his existence. He is the mner ruler (antaryamin) who is in all elements and all buman souls. The texts which speak of Brahman as being one only without a second are explained as referring to the state of pralays or absorption which occurs at the end of each Kalpa At the conclusion of the period of pralays be re-emits the world and individual souls by an act of volition and the souls begin the round of transmigration Salvation or release from this round is obtained not by good works but hy knowledge and meditation on the Lord assisted by his grace. The released soul is not identified with the Lord but enjoys near him a personal existence of eternal bliss and peace. This is more like European theism than the other doutrines which we have been considering The difference is that God is not regarded as the creator of matter and souls Matter and souls consist of his substance But for all that he is a personal desty who can be loved and worshipped and whereas Sankara was a religious philosopher Ramanua was rather a philosophic theologian and founder of a church I have already spoken of his activity in this sphere

4

The epics and Puranas contain philosophical discussions of considerable length which make little attempt at consistency. Yet the line of thought in them all is the same. The chief tenets of the theistic Sānkhya-Yoga are assumed matter soil and God are separate existences: the soil wishes to move towards God and away from matter. Yet when Indian writers glorify the deity they rarely abstain from identifying him with the universe. In the Bhagavad gits and other philosophical cantos of the Mahabbarata the contradiction is usually left without an attempt at solution. Thus it is stated categorically that the world consists of the perishable and imperishable is a matter and soil, but that the supreme spirit is distinct from both.

¹ Compare the phrase of Kests in a letter quoted by Bosanquet, Gifford Lectures for 1912, p. 66. "As various as the lives of men are, so various become their souls and thus does God make individual beings, souls, identical souls of the sparks of his own — nee."

This tenet is justified by Brihad Aran. Up. 11. 3 ff. which is a great text for Rimanuja s school. He who dwells in the carth (water etc.) and within the carth (or is different from the carth) whom the carth knows not, whose body the earth is, who rokes the carth within, be is threalf the ruler within, the immortal."

Bhac.-glt4, xv 16, 17

Yet in the same poem we pass from this antithesis to the monism which declares that the deity is all things and "the self seated in the heart of man" We have then attained the Vedantist point of view Nearly all the modern sects, whether Sivaite or Vishnuite, admit the same contradiction into their teaching, for they reject both the atheism of the Sankhya and the immaterial-18m of the Advaita (since it is impossible for a practical religion to deny the existence of either God or the world), while the irresistible tendency of Indian thought makes them describe their deity in pantheistic language. All strive to find some metaphysical or theological formula which will reconcile these discrepant ideas, and nearly all Vishnuites profess some special variety of the Vedânta called by such names as Visishtâdvaita, Dvaitâdvaita, Śuddhâdvaita and so on They differ chiefly in their definition of the relation existing between the soul and God Only the Mâdhvas entirely discard monism and profess duality (Dvaita) and even Madhva thought it necessary to write a commentary on the Brahma-sûtras to prove that they support his doctrine and the Sivaites too have a commentator, Nîlakantha, who interprets them in haimony with the Saiva Siddhanta There is also a modern commentary by Somanaradittvar which expounds this much twisted text agreeably to the doctrines of the Lingayat sect

In most fundamental principles the Sivaite and Saktist schools agree with the Visishtadvaita but their nomenclature is different and their scope is theological rather than philosophical In all of them are felt the two tendencies, one wishing to distinguish God, soul and matter and to adjust their relations for the purposes of practical religion, the other holding more or less that God is all or at least that all things come from God and return to him But there is one difference between the schools of sectarian philosophy and the Advaita of Sankara which goes to the root of the matter Sankara holds that the world and individual existences are due to illusion, ignorance and misconception they vanish in the light of true knowledge. Other schools, while agreeing that in some sense God is all, yet hold that the universe is not an illusion or false presentment of him but a process of manifestation or of evolution starting from him1 It is not precisely evolution in the European sense, but rather

¹ The two doctrines are called Vivariavada and Parinamavada

a rhythmic movement of duration and extent inexpressible in figures in which the Supreme Spirit alternately emits and reabsorbs the universe As a rule the higher religious life sims at some form of union or close association with the deity beyond the sphere of this process. In the evolutionary process the Valshnavas interpolate between the Supreme Spirit and the phenomenal world the phases of conditioned spirit known as Sankarshana etc in the same way the Sivaite schools increase the twenty four tatteas of the Sankhya to thirty-six1 The first of these tatters or principles is Siva corresponding to the highest Brahman The next phase is Sadasiva in which differentiation commences owing to the movement of Sakti the active or female principle Siva in this phase is thought of as having a hody composed of mantras. Saktu also known as Bindu or Suddhamaya is sometimes regarded as a separate taitra hut more generally as inseparably united with Siva. The third tatted is Isvara or Siva in the form of a lord or personal deity and the fourth is Suddhavidya or true knowledge explained as the principle of correlation between the experiencer and that which is experienced. It is only after these that we come to Maya meaning not so much illusion as the substratum in which Karma inheres or the protoplasm from which all things grow Between Maya and Purusha come five more tatters called envelopes. Their effect is to enclose and limit thus turning the divine spirit into a human soul.

Saktast accounts of the evolutionary process give greater prominence to the part played by Sakta and are usually meta physiological if the word may be pardoned, masmuch as they regard the cosmic process as the growth of an embryo an idea which is as old as the Vedas* It is impossible to describe even in outline these manifold cosmologies but they generally speak of Sakti, who in one sense is identical with Siva and merely his active form but in another sense is identified with Prakrita coming into contact with the form of Siva called Prakasa or light and then solidifying into a drop (Bindu) or germ which divides At some point in this process arise Nada or sound, and

¹ These are only the more subtle totton. There are also 60 gross ones. See for the whole subject Schomerus Der Caiva-Steldhints, p. 129

It also finds caparative in myths about the division of the delty into male and female halves, the cosmic egg, etc., which are found in all strate of Indian literature.

Sabda-brahman, the sound-Brahman, which manifests itself in various energies and assumes in the human body the form of the mysterious coiled force called Kundalinî¹ Some of the older Vishnuite writings use similar language of Sakti, under the name of Lakshmî, but in the Visishţâdvaita of Râmânuja and subsequent teachers there is little disposition to dwell on any feminine energy in discussing the process of evolution

Of all the Darśanas the most extraordinary is that called Raseśvara or the mercurial system² According to it quicksilver, if eaten or otherwise applied, not only preserves the body from decay but delivers from transmigration the soul which inhabits this glorified body. Quicksilver is even asserted to be identical with the supreme self. This curious Darśana is represented as revealed by Siva to Sakti and it is only an extreme example of the tantric doctrine that spiritual results can be obtained by physical means. The practice of taking mercury to secure health and long life must have been prevalent in medieval India for it is mentioned by both Marco Polo and Bernier³

5

A people among whom the Vedânta could obtain a large following must have been prone to think little of the things which we see compared with the unseen of which they are the manifestation. It is, therefore, not surprising if materialism met with small sympathy or success among them. In India the extravagances of asceticism and of mystic sensualism alike find devotees, but the simple philosophy of Let us eat and drink for to-morrow we die, does not commend itself. Nevertheless it is not wholly absent and was known as the doctrine of Brihaspati Those who professed it were also called Câivâkas and Lokâyatikas⁴. Brihaspati was the preceptor of the gods and his

² Sarva darsana sangraha, chap ix For this doctrine in China see Wieger Histoire des Croyances religieuses en Chine, p 411

³ See Yule's Marco Polo, II pp 365, 369

¹ An account of tantric cosmology can be found in Avalon, Mahân Tantra, pp xix-xxxi See also Avalon, Prapancasâra Tantra, pp 5 ff. Srinivâsa Iyengar, Indian Philosophy, pp 143 and 295 ff, Bhandarkar, Vaishn and Saivism, pp 145 ff

⁴ See Rhys Davids' note in his Dialogues of the Buddha on Digha Nilâya, Sutta v pp 166 ff He seems to show that Lokâyata meant originally natural philosophy as a part of a Brahman's education and only gradually acquired a bad meaning The Arthasâstra also recommends the Sânkhya, Yoga and Lokâyata systems

be merely theological animus, but still it is possible that there may be a connection between the Cârvâkas and the extreme forms of Mahayanıst nıhılısm Schrader¹ ın analysıng a sıngular work, called the Svasamvedyopanishad, says it is "inspired by the Mahâyânist doctrine of vacuity (sûnya-vâda) and proclaims a most radical agnosticism by asserting in four chapters (a) that there is no reincarnation (existence being bubble-like), no God, no world that all traditional literature (Sruti and Smiti) is the work of conceited fools, (b) that Time the destroyer and Nature the originator are the rulers of all existence and not good and bad deeds, and that there is neither hell nor heaven, (c) that people deluded by flowery speech cling to gods, sacred places, teachers, though there is in reality no difference at all between Vishnu and a dog, (d) that though all words are untrue and all ideas mere illusions, yet liberation is possible by a thorough realization of Bhâvâdvaita" But for this rather sudden concession to Hindu sentiment, namely that deliverance is possible, this doctrine resembles the tenets attributed to the Cârvâkas

¹ Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Adyar Library, 1908, pp 300-1